



AGENDA



GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE WORKGROUP MEETING



October 18, 2007



GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

WORKGROUP MEETING AGENDA

Thursday, October 18, 2007

10:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.

California Student Aid Commission
10811 International Drive, California Room
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

MEMBERS

Mary Lindsey, Chair
Sharon Bowles, Vice Chair
Kate Jeffrey
Lora Jo Bossio
Mary Robinson
Susan Gutierrez
Anthony Martinez
Timothy Bonnel

Marco De La Garza
Ricardo Tejada
Frederick Holland
Lisa Douglass
Sally Pace
Noelia Gonzales
Louise McClain, Commissioner
Liaison

TAB ITEM

Housekeeping

1. Payment Periods for Non-traditional Based Schools – Workgroup Leader, Bryan Dickason
2. Selection Criteria – Workgroup Leader, Mary Robinson

Adjournment

Information/Action Item

GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Payment Periods for Non-traditional Based Schools

This is the second meeting of this workgroup to discuss Cal Grant payment periods for schools which are non-term or have non-standard terms. At the July 18, 2007 workgroup meeting the suggestion was made to further explore the Pell Grant model for payments to these schools. Staff has provided materials from the Federal Student Aid Handbook for this discussion.

Recommended Action: Provide staff with information about the Pell Grant model for payments to non-term schools or schools with non-standard terms and generate recommendations for the Cal Grant payment model.

Responsible Staff: Bryan Dickason, Manager
Cal Grant Operations Branch

Calculating Pell Grant Awards

CHAPTER 3

Pell Grant awards are based on the EFC on the student's SAR or ISIR, the academic year structure (see Chapter 1), and the student's cost of attendance (see Chapter 2). The scheduled award amounts are specified on the Payment Schedules released by the Department prior to each award year. For term-based programs, awards for part-time students are also based on enrollment status, using the part-time charts in the Pell Grant Disbursement Schedules.

In this chapter, we'll show you how to take the award amount for the year and calculate Pell Grant payments for your students, using the appropriate formula for the term or nonterm calendar in the academic program.

SCHEDULED AWARD, AWARD YEAR, & ANNUAL AWARD

The Scheduled Award is the maximum amount the student can receive during the award year, if he or she attends *full-time* for a *full* academic year. The award year begins on July 1 of one year and ends on June 30 of the next year. For example, the 2007-2008 award year begins July 1, 2007, and ends June 30, 2008.

The student's *Scheduled Award* is established by the Pell Grant Payment Schedule that the Department issues prior to the start of each award year. The amount of the Scheduled Award is always taken from the Full-Time Payment Schedule, and is based on the student's EFC and Cost of Attendance.

The Scheduled Award is a maximum that can't be exceeded, even if the student transfers to another school or attends for a period longer than one academic year during the award year. For example, if a full-time student attends fall and spring semesters, and those terms encompass an academic year, the student would have no remaining eligibility in that award year for a summer term. (However, you can use the student's Pell Grant eligibility for the coming award year to pay a student for a summer term or other crossover payment period, as described later in this chapter.)

The annual award is the maximum amount a student would receive during a full academic year for a given enrollment status, EFC, and COA. Note that for a full-time student, the annual award will be the same as the Scheduled Award.

CHAPTER 3 HIGHLIGHTS

- Pell Grant calculations for:
 - Credit-hour term programs with fall through spring standard terms that provide 30+ weeks of instructional time (Formula 1 or Formula 3)
 - Credit-hour term programs with fall through spring standard terms that provide less than 30 weeks of instructional time (Formula 2 or Formula 3)
 - Any credit-hour term programs including nonstandard term programs (Formula 3)
 - Clock-hour programs and nonterm credit-hour programs (Formula 4)
 - Summer terms, crossover payment periods, and mini-sessions.
 - Transfer students
 - Recalculations (required and optional) when EFC, cost, or enrollment status changes

Scheduled Award limit

34 CFR 690.63(g)

Appendices

- Appendix A - Formula 2: Calculations for standard-term programs with less than 30 weeks in fall through spring
- Appendix B - Formula 5: Calculations for correspondence study programs
- Appendix C - Formula summaries for all five Pell formulas

2007-2008 Pell Payment Schedules

The 2007-2008 Pell Payment Schedules can be found on the IFAP website on the same page as the FSA Handbook Vol. 3

Multiple award provision

The law and regulations allow for the possibility of a second Scheduled Award during an award year under certain conditions, subject to available funding. If funds are available, we will inform you through a Federal Register notice and electronic announcement.

HEA Sec. 401(b)(6)(A), 34 CFR 690.67

Enrollment status under consortium agreement

The enrollment status of a student attending more than one school under a consortium agreement is based on all the courses taken that apply to the degree or certificate at the home institution. The disbursing school may have to make some adjustments if the coursework at the other school is measured in different units.

At a term school, a part-time student will have an *annual award* that is less than the Scheduled Award. If the student attends part-time, the student’s annual award is taken from the 3/4-time, 1/2-time, or less-than-1/2-time disbursement schedules.

For instance, if a student’s Scheduled Award is \$4,310, but the student is enrolled as a 1/2-time student in a term program, the student’s annual award would only be \$2,155.

Cost	Full-Time Payment Schedule Expected Family Contribution						Cost	Half-Time Disbursement Schedule Expected Family Contribution					
	0	500	1000	1500	2500	3000		0	500	1000	1500	2500	3000
1,000							1,000						
2,000							2,000						
3,000							3,000						
\$4,500 +						4310	\$4,500 +						2155

Enrollment status for cooperative education

In a cooperative education program, your school assesses the work to be performed by the student and determines the equivalent academic course load. The student’s enrollment status is based on the equivalent academic course load.

The annual award is for a full academic year, and must be divided into payments for the payment period using the formulas described in this chapter. Note that if a student only attended half of an academic year, the student could receive no more than one-half of the annual award.

Consortium Different Units Example

Chris is taking 6 semester hours at Hart University, the home institution, and 9 quarter hours at Sarven Technical Institute. To determine his enrollment status, Hart needs to convert the hours at Sarven into semester hours. Because a quarter hour is about two-thirds of a semester hour, Hart multiplies the number of quarter hours by two-thirds:

$9 \text{ quarter hours} \times 2/3 = 6 \text{ semester hours}$

Then the hours taken at both schools can be added together:

6 semester hrs. at Hart
 + 6 semester hrs. at Sarven
 12 semester hours

Linda is also taking 6 semester hours at Hart University and 9 quarter hours at Sarven Technical Institute, but her home institution is Sarven Technical Institute. Because Sarven is paying her, it needs to convert the semester hours taken at Hart into quarter hours:

$6 \text{ semester hours} \times 3/2 = 9 \text{ quarter hours}$

Then, the hours taken at both schools can be added together:

9 quarter hrs. at Sarven
 + 9 quarter hrs. at Hart
 18 quarter hours

TERMS AND PAYMENT METHODS

Generally, if all the coursework is scheduled to be completed within a specific time frame, the program can be considered term-based. Term-based programs can have either standard terms or nonstandard terms. Generally, Pell Grants are calculated differently for the two types of terms. Standard term programs may be treated similarly to nonstandard term programs if the program does not conform to a traditional academic calendar.

Standard terms

Standard terms are semesters, trimesters, or quarters, as these words are traditionally used. In traditional usage, an individual semester or trimester provides about 14 to 17 weeks of instructional time and full-time is defined as at least 12 semester or trimester hours. The program’s academic calendar generally consists of three terms, one each in fall, spring, and summer. In traditional usage of the term “quarter,” an individual quarter provides about 10 to 12 weeks of instructional time, and full-time is defined as at least 12 quarter hours. The program’s academic calendar generally includes three quarters in the fall, winter, and spring and often a summer quarter as well.

Nonstandard terms

Any term that isn’t one of the standard terms described above is a nonstandard term. Sometimes schools refer to terms by standard names when they are, in fact, nonstandard terms. For example, a program may be made up of terms called quarters but progress is measured in semester hours.

Nonterm programs

Nonterm programs may be measured in either clock hours or credit hours. If the courses of a program are not offered in an academic calendar requiring the completion within the beginning and end dates of the terms, it is likely a nonterm program.

Ground rules for Pell

Fractions

When using fractions, be careful to multiply first, and then divide to avoid an incorrect result. For example, here’s the correct way to prorate a \$2,130 Scheduled Award for a payment period that is a nonstandard term of 10 weeks of instructional time.

$$\$2,150 \times \frac{10}{30} \text{ is multiplied as } \frac{2,150 \times 10}{30} = 716.67$$

In this case, if you divide the fraction to get a decimal (.333333...) and then round the decimal either down (.33) or up (.34), your calculation will result in a number that’s too low (709.50) or too high (731).

Rounding

Previously, schools were required to round to the nearest dollar when making disbursements. However, the Common Origination and Disbursement System (COD) accepts cents in payment amounts. Schools are not required to round disbursements to the nearest dollar, but can if they choose. Your school’s policy of rounding, whether to the nearest dollar or cent, must be applied consistently to all students. Note that COD has very specific format requirements for payment amounts.

When rounding disbursements, round up if the decimal is .50 or higher; round down if it’s less than .50. For instance, if a calculation results in a payment of \$516.50, round up to \$517. If the calculation result is \$516.49, round down to \$516.

If you’re rounding disbursements for a student who is expected to be enrolled for more than one payment period in the award year, you have to alternate rounding up and rounding down to ensure that the student receives the correct amount for the year. For example, if a student had a Scheduled Award of \$1,025 to be paid in two payment periods, the first payment would be \$513 (rounded up from \$512.50), and the second payment would be \$512 (rounded down to ensure that the student isn’t overpaid for the year).

The same principle applies when there are three or more payment periods in the award year. For instance, if the student has a Scheduled Award of \$1,100 and enrolls as a full-time student at a school using quarter terms, the payment for each term would come to \$366.66. If the school is rounding disbursements, the first two payments would be rounded up to \$367, and the last payment would be rounded down to \$366 to reach the total of \$1,100.

CREDIT-HOUR TERM-BASED PROGRAMS

Annual award based on enrollment status

In a term-based program, academic progress is always measured in credit hours, and the student’s annual award depends on his or her enrollment status. Your school’s standards for enrollment status must meet the minimum regulatory requirements, which are discussed in further detail in *Volume I: Student Eligibility (Chapter 1)*.

Academic calendar & enrollment status changes

Because the academic calendar for a program determines which Pell formula you use, you need to review the conditions for the use of each formula if the calendar for the program changes. This is particularly true if you are using Formulas 1 and 2, since they have the most restrictive conditions.

If a student’s enrollment status changes during the year, your school may have to recalculate the student’s Pell Grant payment based on the new enrollment status. At the end of this chapter we’ll discuss when a school is required to recalculate due to a change in enrollment status.

34 CFR 690.63
34 CFR 690.80(b)

Including remedial coursework in enrollment status

When figuring enrollment status, your school must include any reduced-credit or noncredit remedial coursework designed to increase the student’s ability to pursue his or her program of study. See the discussion of “Enrollment Status” in Volume I: Student Eligibility (Chapter 1).

Variations in enrollment status standards

If a program uses standard terms, for Pell Grants only, the enrollment status standards in the program don't have to be proportional—for instance, a program could have a 15-hour standard for full-time enrollment, but set a 9-hour minimum for 3/4-time status and a 6-hour minimum for 1/2-time status.

In addition, your school's academic standard may differ from the enrollment standard used by the financial aid office for FSA purposes. For example, your school may define full-time as six hours during the summer; however, the financial aid office uses 12 hours as full-time for all terms including the summer term. Your school must apply its FSA full-time enrollment standards consistently to all students enrolled in the same program of study for all FSA purposes. 34 CFR 668.2, 34 CFR 690.2

For standard terms, the minimum enrollment standards are:

- Full-time: 12 semester hours per semester/trimester
12 quarter hours per quarter
- 3/4-time: 9 semester hours per semester/trimester
9 quarter hours per quarter
- 1/2-time: 6 semester hours per semester/trimester
6 quarter hours per quarter
- Less than 1/2-time: less than half of the workload of the minimum full-time requirement.

For nonstandard term enrollment standards, see p. 3-33. If the student is enrolled full-time, then the annual award is the Scheduled Award, which is based on the full-time Payment Schedule.

If the student is attending part-time, you must use the 3/4-time, 1/2-time, or less than 1/2-time disbursement schedules, depending on the number of credit hours in which the student enrolls. If the student is enrolled less-than-half-time, it will also affect the cost components that are used in the student's Budget (see Chapter 2). *Schools do not have the discretion to refuse to pay an eligible part-time student.*

Enrollment status for students taking regular and correspondence courses

If a student is enrolled in a noncorrespondence study program, but correspondence coursework is combined with regular coursework, the correspondence courses must meet the following criteria to be included in the student's enrollment status:

- The courses must apply toward the student's degree or certificate or must be remedial work to help the student in his or her course of study.
- The courses must be completed during the period required for the student's regular coursework, e.g., a term.
- The amount of correspondence work counted can't be more than the number of credit hours of regular coursework in which the student is enrolled.

If the student is taking at least a half-time load of correspondence courses, the student would be paid as at least a half-time student, regardless of the credit hours of regular coursework. A student will be paid as a less-than-half-time student for any combination of regular and correspondence work that is less than 6 credit hours or the appropriate equivalent of half-time.

On the appropriate full-time or part-time Payment or Disbursement schedules, use the student's Cost of Attendance and EFC to look up the Pell annual award for the year at that enrollment status. Most student aid software programs, such as EDEExpress, will do this for you automatically, but we have included a printed version of the 2007-2008 schedules at the end of this chapter for your reference.

Pell Grant payments by term

Pell Grants must be paid in installments over the course of a program of study to help meet the student's cost in each payment period. The payment period affects when Pell funds are disbursed and the exact amount to be disbursed. For credit-hour term programs, the payment period is the term. If the student doesn't enroll in one of the terms, he or she won't receive a portion of the award for that payment period. If the student's enrollment status changes in the next term, his or her annual award will be different for that term. (See discussion of terms and payment methods.)

Enrollment Status for Enrollment in Correspondence and Regular Coursework				
<i>Regular Work</i>	<i>Correspondence Work</i>	<i>Adjusted Total Course Work</i>	<i>Enrollment Status</i>	
3	3	6	Half time	
3	6	6	Half time	
3	9	6	Half time	
6	3	9	Three-quarter time	
6	6	12	Full time	
2	6	6	Half time	

This chart assumes that the school defines full-time enrollment as 12 credit hours per term, and half-time enrollment as 6 credit hours per term. As you can see in the second and third examples, the number of correspondence hours counted in the total course load was adjusted so that the correspondence hours never exceeded the regular hours taken. Note that in the last example, the student is eligible for payment based on half-time enrollment in correspondence courses, despite the fact that the student only took 2 credit hours of regular coursework.

FORMULA 1 : STANDARD TERM PROGRAMS WITH ACADEMIC CALENDARS OF 30+ WEEKS

For you to be able to use Formula 1, the program:

- must have an academic calendar that consists of standard terms; two semesters or trimesters, or three quarters—in the fall through spring;
- must have at least 30 weeks of instructional time in fall through spring terms;
- must not have overlapping terms; and
- must define full-time enrollment for each term in the award year as at least 12 credit hours and must measure progress in credit hours.

The term is the payment period, and you divide the student’s award by the number of terms in the program’s academic year.

Formula 1: Basic Calculation

To qualify for Formula 1, the program must use standard terms and have an academic calendar of 2 semesters or trimesters, or 3 quarters, and full-time enrollment must be at least 12 credit hours. In Formula 1, the annual award is simply divided by the number of terms in the fall through spring.

Take the case of Jeff, who is enrolled full-time in a program that has an academic year of 30 weeks of instructional time and 24 semester hours. The program has fall and spring semesters that provide a total of 30 weeks of instruction and a 12 week summer nonstandard term with 12 semester hours as full-time. Jeff has a Scheduled Award of \$3,000, and since he is enrolled full-time, that is also his annual award. Since the fall through spring has standard terms, it doesn’t matter that the summer term is nonstandard; you still calculate summer payment based on Formula 1.

$$\frac{\$3,000}{2} = \$1,500 \text{ disbursement for each payment period}$$

The same formula would be used if Jeff enrolled in a program that has fall, winter, and spring quarters that provide at least 30 weeks of instruction. The only difference is that Jeff’s annual award of \$3,000 is divided by 3.

$$\frac{\$3,000}{3} = \$1,000 \text{ disbursement for a quarter}$$

Note that Jeff is receiving a full Scheduled Award because he is attending for two terms as a full-time student and has no remaining eligibility for the summer payment period included in the award year. Next, we’ll show other situations where a student might have remaining eligibility for summer, or can be paid for summer out of the next award year.

Requirements to be able to use Formula 1

34 CFR 690.63(a)(1)

Basic Pell calculations

Pell payment schedules: 34 CFR 690.62

Pell formulas: 34 CFR 690.63

“Crossover” payment periods (e.g., summer sessions):

34 CFR 690.64

Consistent use of formula

You must use the same formula for a program for all payment periods in an award year.

Standard term composed of shorter terms or modules

Remember that you can combine shorter terms or modules into a standard term that meets the requirements for Formula 1. See the discussion of academic calendars in Chapter 1 for examples.

Alternate calculation

If your school has a summer term, you may wish to use an alternate calculation that spreads the Scheduled Award over the summer term as well if your students attend full-time, year round.

Alternate schedules for low tuition costs

Use the alternate schedules to look up the annual award for students whose:

- tuition plus dependent care and/or disability expenses are less than \$675 (based on full-time full-year costs);
- total cost of attendance is \$3,400 or higher; and
- EFC is 700 or less.

In addition, the law now specifically provides that schools that charged only fees in lieu of tuition as of October 1, 1998, can count those fees as tuition for this calculation.

Formula 1: Enrollment status change

Let's say that one of your students, Micki, enrolls full-time in the fall semester. She has a cost of \$10,000 and EFC of 100, so her Scheduled Award, taken from the full-time Payment Schedule, is \$4,260. Since she's attending full-time, this is also her annual award. If your school defines its academic year as 30 weeks of instructional time and 24 semester hours, Micki's annual award is divided by 2 to arrive at the disbursement for the fall semester.

$$\frac{\$4,260}{2} = \$2,130 \text{ for Fall}$$

Micki decides that a full-time schedule is too ambitious, so she enrolls in the spring term as a 3/4-time student. Her EFC is the same, and even though her tuition is slightly less, the Pell award is still based on full-time costs. However, her annual award is now based on the 3/4-time disbursement schedule, so her spring payment will be less than her fall payment.

$$\frac{\$3,195}{2} = \$1,597.50 \text{ for Spring}$$

Note that Micki's Scheduled Award is still \$4,260, and she has only received \$3,727.50. This means that she is still eligible for up to \$532.50 in Pell funds from this award year if she attends a summer term that is part of the same award year. (We'll discuss other summer term payment options later in this chapter.)

Formula 1: Alternate calculation

If you're working with a standard-term program that meets the rules for Formula 1, the regulations give you an option to divide the annual award by the number of all the terms (including the summer term) in the award year. Schools that use this alternate calculation have programs where full-time students attend year round. The alternate calculation ensures that students get Pell payments in all terms in the award year. The disadvantage is that a student who misses one of the terms (such as a summer term), won't get a full Scheduled Award for that year.

34 CFR 690.63(b)(3)(ii)

If you choose to use this alternate calculation, you must:

- use the alternate calculation for *all* students enrolled in the same program of study;
- use the alternate calculation for all payment periods in the award year;
- increase the number of weeks of instructional time in the academic year defined for the student's program to include the number of weeks of instructional time in the summer term; and
- include the costs for the additional term in the Pell cost of attendance.

Your school may also include the number of credit hours for the additional term in your definition of the academic year for the student's program.

For example: Kevin enrolls as a full-time student in a 2-year associate degree program at Ivers College (IC). The academic calendar consists of two 15-week semesters. The program also has a summer semester that is the same length.

IC decides to use the alternate calculation to distribute the award over all three terms, as its students attend full-time throughout the award year. IC defines the academic year as 36 semester hours and 45 weeks of instructional time (both the weeks and the credit hours for the summer term are included in the academic year). Kevin's Scheduled Award is \$3,600. He's attending full-time, and so his annual award is the same. Using the alternate calculation, ICC divides the annual award by the payment periods in the award year.

$$\frac{\$3,600}{3} = \$1,200 \text{ disbursement per term}$$

FORMULA 2: STANDARD-TERM PROGRAMS WITH LESS THAN 30 WEEKS IN THE FALL THROUGH SPRING

Formula 2 may be used for programs that would qualify for Formula 1 except that the program’s academic calendar provides less than 30 weeks of instructional time in the fall through spring terms. Like Formula 1, it simplifies the calculation payments by providing for the same calculation for all payment periods in the award year. Only a small number of schools use Formula 2; therefore, it is covered in Appendix A of this chapter.

FORMULA 3: GENERAL FORMULA FOR ANY TERM-BASED PROGRAM

Any term-based program may use this formula for Pell calculations, but you *must* use this formula for a term-based program that does not qualify for formulas 1 or 2. For instance, a program that uses only nonstandard terms.

To calculate the payment for the term, you must prorate the annual award that you looked up on the appropriate Pell Grant Payment or Disbursement Schedule. Unlike the term calculation in Formula 1, the annual award can’t simply be divided evenly among the terms. Instead, you must multiply the annual award by a fraction that represents the weeks of instructional time in the term divided by the weeks of instructional time in the program’s academic year.

$$\frac{\text{weeks* in term}}{\text{weeks* in academic year (at least 30)}}$$

If the resulting amount is more than 50% of the annual award, your school must make the payment in at least two disbursements in that payment period regardless of whether the term is a standard term or a nonstandard term. A single disbursement for a payment period can never be more than 50% of the annual award. You may disburse more than 50% of the annual award once the student has completed half of the weeks of instructional time in the program’s academic year definition.

Enrollment status standards for nonstandard terms

If you are using Formula 3 for a program that has standard terms, the minimum enrollment standards previously discussed would still apply for the standard terms. However, if a program has nonstandard terms, the enrollment standard must be calculated for the nonstandard terms. The full-time enrollment status is determined for a nonstandard term based on the length of the term in relation to the academic year**

$$\text{Credit hours in academic year} \times \frac{\text{weeks* in nonstandard term}}{\text{weeks* in academic year (at least 30)}}$$

** If the resulting number isn’t a whole number, it is rounded up to the next whole number. For example, 3.3 is rounded up to 4, if the program’s coursework is offered in whole credits. If the program’s coursework is offered in fractions, the full-time enrollment status need not be rounded. For example, 3.3 would remain 3.3 as full-time and a student taking 3.4 credits in the term would be full-time.

Disbursing more than 1/2 the annual award and the 50% Requirement

34 CFR 690.63(f)

If the disbursement for the payment period results in more than 1/2 of the annual award and occurs after half of the weeks of instructional time have passed during the payment period, you can make a disbursement of the full payment for the payment period.

EXAMPLE: Your school has a program that must use Formula 3. The program has 3 terms with 17, 14, and 6 weeks of instructional time and defines its academic year as 30 weeks of instructional time and 24 semester hours. Debbie is attending half-time for all three terms. Her payments for each payment period are 17/30, 14/30, and 6/30 of her half-time annual award. For the first term, you may disburse 15/30 of her award at the beginning of the term and the final 2/30 only after the 15th week of instructional time in the term. However, if Debbie establishes eligibility in the 16th week of the term, you can make a disbursement of 17/30 of the annual award at that time. Her award for the 2nd and 3rd terms may be disbursed in a single disbursement.

When to use Formula 3

- If a term program uses only nonstandard terms, or if a term program has standard terms, but does not qualify for formulas 1 or 2, you must use Formula 3 for Pell calculations.
- Any term program can opt to use Formula 3. However, standard term programs that qualify for Formula 1 or 2 generally

Regulatory citations

Formula 3 described: 34 CFR 690.63(a)(3)

Enrollment status for nonstandard terms:

34 CFR 690.63(d)(1)(ii)

Disbursement cannot exceed 50% of the annual award:

34 CFR 690.63(f)

Fractions

Remember when using fractions, multiply first, and then divide. Dividing the fraction first to produce a decimal can cause an error if you need to round the decimal up or down.

*These fractions use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which are not necessarily the same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

After your school has determined the number of credit hours required for full-time enrollment, your school can then determine the less-than-full-time status for the nonstandard term using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Credit hours student takes in the nonstandard term}}{\text{Credit hours required for full-time enrollment in the nonstandard term}}$$

Formula 3: Payments for standard terms

Hope College has a semester-based program with a 2-semester academic calendar that comprises 28 weeks of instructional time. The program's academic year is defined as 24 semester hours and 30 weeks of instructional time. If both semesters are 14 weeks in length, the Pell payment for a full-time student with a Scheduled Award of \$4,050 would be calculated as follows:

$$\frac{14 \text{ weeks* in term}}{30 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \times \$4,050 = \$1,890$$

Formula 3: Payments for nonstandard terms of equal length

Just a few miles down Rio Road from Hope, Crosby University has a program that consists of four 8-week terms. Crosby University defines the academic year as 40 quarter hours and 32 weeks of instructional time. Because this program does not use standard terms (semesters, trimesters, or quarters), Crosby University must use Formula 3 to calculate Pell disbursements for students in the program. Let's use the example of a student who attends all four terms for 10 quarter hours each term in the 2007-08 award year, and has a Scheduled Award of \$3,700.

Because the program has nonstandard terms, Crosby University must determine the number of credit hours required for full-time enrollment in each term, as follows:

$$\frac{8 \text{ weeks* in term}}{32 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \times 40 \text{ quarter hours} = 10 \text{ quarter hours}$$

A student enrolled for 7 hours could be paid as a half-time student ($7/10 = .7$, which is less than $3/4$ [.75] but greater than $1/2$ [.5]) Since the student in our example will be enrolled for 10 hours each term, she is a full-time student and her annual award is the same as her Scheduled Award. This is a term-based, credit-hour program, so the payment period is the term.

To determine the student's payment for each payment period, multiply her annual award by the length of the nonstandard term compared to the length of the academic year:

$$\frac{8 \text{ weeks* in term}}{32 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \times \$3,700 = \$925$$

*These fractions use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which will not necessarily be the same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

**Formula 3: Payments for nonstandard
terms of unequal length**

Owen is enrolled in a semester-hour program at Hart University that has a 10-week nonstandard term between two 12-week nonstandard terms. The terms do not overlap. The academic year for the program is defined as 34 weeks of instructional time and 24 semester hours. Courses are offered in whole credits. Hart must use Formula 3 to calculate Pell Grant payments for students in this program. Owen's Scheduled Award is \$3,060. He enrolls for 6 semester hours in each of the three terms. Because the program has nonstandard terms, Hart must determine the number of credit hours required for full-time enrollment in each term, as follows. For the first and third term:

$$\frac{12 \text{ weeks* in term}}{34 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \quad \times \quad 24 \text{ semester hours} = 8.47 \text{ (round up to 9)}$$

For the second term:

$$\frac{10 \text{ weeks* in term}}{34 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \quad \times \quad 24 \text{ semester hours} = 7.06 \text{ (round up to 8)}$$

A student must enroll in 9 semester hours (rounded up from 8.47) in the first and third terms, and 8 semester hours (rounded up from 7.06) in the second term, to be full-time. Owen is enrolled half-time in the first and third terms (6 semester hours/9 semester hours = .67). He is enrolled three-quarter time in the second term (6 semester hours/8 semester hours = .75). The cost of attendance does not need to be prorated because the fall through spring terms provide the same number of weeks of instructional time as in the academic year definition. Further, the school has determined the costs for a full-time student for a full academic year.

Based on a cost of attendance of \$8,745 and an EFC of 1214, the half-time disbursement schedule shows that Owen is eligible for an annual award of \$1,530. Because this is a term-based credit-hour program, the payment period is the term. To calculate Owen's payment for the first and third terms, the school uses the fraction 12/34:

$$\frac{12 \text{ weeks* in term}}{34 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \quad \times \quad \$1,530 = \$540$$

Owen's payment for each of the first and third terms will be \$540.

Since Owen's enrollment status for the middle term is three-quarter time, the payment for that term is based on a three-quarter-time annual award of \$2,295. To calculate the payment for the middle term, the school uses the fraction 10/34:

$$\frac{10 \text{ weeks* in term}}{34 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \quad \times \quad \$2,295 = \$675$$

Owen's payment for the middle term (the second payment period) is \$675*These fractions use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which will not necessarily be the same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

Requirements for using Formula 4

34 CFR 690.63(a) and (e)

All clock-hour and nonterm credit-hour programs must use Formula 4.

Formula 4: 34 CFR 690.63(a)(4),(e) and (f)

Enrollment status standards for clock-hour and other nonterm programs

For nonterm programs, the enrollment minimums are:

Full-time in credit hours: 24 semester hours, 24 trimester hours, or 36 quarter hours per academic year.

Less than 1/2-time status is defined as less than half of the workload of the minimum full-time requirement.

Full-time in clock hours: at least 24 clock hours per calendar week.

Coursework completion requirement & withdrawal/re-entry

Note that students in nonterm programs must successfully complete a payment period to receive subsequent payments. This will be discussed in Volume 4 as one of the disbursement rules.

We'll discuss the effect of withdrawal and re-entry into a program in Volume 5.

Receiving less than the Scheduled Award due to crossover

A student may also receive less than a Scheduled Award in an award year, if the program crosses award years and the student's Pell Grant award in one of the award years is for a portion of the program that is less than a full academic year

Full-time students' completion

- For nonterm programs, you must consider whether students are progressing at the minimum full-time rate or a greater rate.
- If no students are progressing at a full-time rate in a program with fewer hours than the Title IV academic year, you must determine the weeks of instructional time it would take a full-time student to complete the hours in the lesser of the program or academic year. For example, a 30 quarter-hour program has an academic calendar in which students complete the program in 30 weeks of instructional time. With a defined academic year of 36 quarter credits and 30 weeks of instructional time, the students in the program are not full-time. A full-time student would complete 30 quarter credits in not greater than 25 weeks of instructional time. Therefore, the institution must use 25 in the numerator of the first fraction of the Pell calculation.
- If the program is at least an academic year in length and no

FORMULA 4: CLOCK-HOUR AND NONTERM CREDIT-HOUR PROGRAMS**Checking 1/2-time enrollment status**

For clock-hour programs and for nonterm credit-hour programs, enrollment status only makes a difference if the student is attending less than half time. If that's the case, only certain components of the cost of attendance are used. (See discussion in Chapter 2.)

The annual award for a student in a clock-hour or nonterm credit-hour program is taken from the full-time Payment Schedule, even if the student is attending less than full-time. This requirement includes using the full-time Payment Schedule for certain low-cost students (see sidebar on low tuition costs, p.31).

Calculating payment amounts

Pell Grants must be paid in installments over the course of the academic year or program of study to help meet the student's cost in each payment period. The payment period determines when Pell funds are disbursed and the exact amount to be disbursed. You must use the rules discussed in Chapter 1 to determine the payment periods for clock-hour and nonterm credit-hour programs.

In nonterm programs, the student's Pell award is not reduced for part-time enrollment unless the student is enrolled less than half-time in which case the student's cost of attendance must be adjusted. However, if the program is less than an academic year (in either clock/credit hours or weeks of instructional time), students enrolled in that program won't receive a full Scheduled Award.

As in the case of the other formulas, you must perform comparable prorations of the award for each payment period in the student's program. The calculation for the payment period prorates a student's Scheduled Award based on weeks of instructional time most full-time students are attending and the credit/clock hours in the payment period as they compare to the defined academic year. The first step in determining the payment for a payment period involves prorating the student's Scheduled Award by the least of:

Weeks* for most full-time students to complete hours in program

Weeks* in program's academic year (at least 30 for credit-hr, at least 26 for clock-hr)

or

Weeks* for most full-time students to complete hours in academic year

Weeks* in program's academic year (at least 30 for credit-hr, at least 26 for clock-hr)

or

One

*These fractions use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which are not necessarily the same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

Note that the result of this multiplication won't ever be greater than the Scheduled Award. Because the Scheduled Award is the amount for a full-time student, the numerators of the fractions use the weeks of instructional time needed for most full-time students to complete the lesser of the hours in the program or academic year. You must determine the weeks of instructional time it takes most full-time students to complete the hours in the program or the academic year.

The next step is to take into account the clock/credit hours in the payment period. To account for the hours, you must multiply the result of the first step by the following fraction, the result of which is the payment for the payment period:

$$\frac{\text{Clock/credit hours in the payment period}}{\text{Clock/credit hours in the program's academic year}}$$

students are progressing at full-time, you will default to multiplying the Scheduled Award by one (1).

- If the students are progressing at a faster rate than the minimum full-time standard, you must determine how many weeks of instructional time it takes for most full-time students to complete the lesser of the hours in the program or academic year. For example, you determine that, in a self-paced bachelor's degree program, students on average complete 24 semester hours in 24 weeks of instructional time across the program. With an academic year defined as 24 semester hours and 30 weeks of instructional time, the first step of the Pell calculation of a payment period is 24/30.

Payments for credit-hour nonterm program (Formula 4)

Evers is enrolled at Tinkers Technical Institute (TTI) and has a Scheduled Award of \$3,900. His program is 24 quarter hours and most full-time students complete the program in 20 weeks of instructional time. The academic year for the program is defined as 36 quarter hours and 30 weeks of instructional time. TTI has established two payment periods of 12 quarter hours and 10 weeks* each for Evers' program. To determine the disbursement for the payment period, TTI must first multiply the Scheduled Award by a fraction representing the proportion of weeks of instructional time for most full-time students to complete the hours in the program:

$$\frac{20 \text{ weeks* in program}}{30 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \times \$3,900 = \$2,600$$

TTI then multiplies the result by a fraction representing the proportion of credit hours for the payment period compared to the academic year:

$$\frac{12 \text{ quarter hours in payment period}}{36 \text{ quarter hours in academic year}} \times \$2,600 = \$866.67$$

Evers' payment for the first payment period will be \$866.67. Evers can receive this payment when he begins the program. Because students don't earn any of the 24 quarter hours until they complete the entire program, TTI can make the payments of \$866.67 for the second payment period after TTI has determined that Allen has successfully completed 12 quarters hours and 10 weeks of instructional time of the program.

Payments for clock-hour program (Formula 4)

Chance is enrolled in a 650-clock-hour program at Tinkers Technical Institute (TTI) and is eligible for a Scheduled Award of \$2,150. Most of the full-time students in the program finish it within 22 weeks of instructional time. TTI defines the academic year for the program based on the regulatory minimums: 900 clock hours and 26 weeks of instructional time. To calculate Chance's payment, TTI calculates the payment for each payment period as follows:

$$\frac{22 \text{ weeks* in program}}{26 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \times \$2,150 = \$1,819.23$$

$$\frac{325 \text{ clock hrs in payment period}}{900 \text{ clock hours in academic year}} \times \$1,819 = \$656.86$$

*The fractions in these examples use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which will not necessarily be same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

Chance's payment for the first payment period will be \$656.86. She can get this payment when she begins the program. She can receive her second payment of \$656.86 after she successfully completes the 325 clock hours in the first payment period.

FORMULA 5: CORRESPONDENCE STUDY

Formulas 5A & 5B are formulas that must be used for correspondence students. Because there are only a small number of Pell Grants made to correspondence students, the formula for correspondence study programs is covered in Appendix B of this chapter.

SUMMER TERMS & OTHER “CROSSOVER PAYMENT PERIODS”

Payment periods don't always fall neatly into one award year or another. A new award year starts every July 1. When a payment period falls into two award years—that is, it begins before July 1 and ends on July 1 or later—it's called a “crossover payment period.”

The formula for calculating the payment for a crossover payment period is the same as that for any other payment period in the award year. However, you must check the student's remaining eligibility if a student has already received payments for previous payment periods in the award year and the crossover period is assigned to the earlier award year.

Payment from either award year

You can make a payment for a crossover payment period out of either award year, if the student has a valid output document for the award year selected. However, if more than six months of the payment period is in a given award year, the Pell payment must be made from that award year.

The decision about which award year to use is usually based on the student's remaining eligibility in the earlier award year. You can assign the crossover payment period to either award year, on a student-by-student basis—you do not have to attribute the crossover period to a particular award year for all students. For instance, if a student had already been paid for two semesters as a full-time student for a full 30-week academic year in the 2006-2007 award year, the student would have been paid a full Scheduled Award for that year. In this case you might choose to pay the student for the crossover payment period out of the 2007-08 award year, provided the student is eligible for Pell based on a SAR or ISIR for that year (if the student attended part-time or didn't attend for a full academic year, the student might be eligible for at least a portion of the normal disbursement from the 2006-2007 award year for the crossover period).

You may also attribute the crossover payment period to a particular award year for all students enrolled in that period. For instance, you could attribute your summer session in 2008 to the 2007-08 award year for the purposes of all Pell payments for that period. However, if you attribute the crossover period to the 2007-08 award year for all students, you must pay Pell awards to all students enrolled in that payment period who have remaining Pell eligibility in the 2007-08 award year.

Scheduled Award limit and crossover payment periods

In most cases, the Pell Grant calculations assure that a student doesn't receive more than a Scheduled Award, but for some students, you will need to check the student's remaining eligibility before paying the student. In particular, if the student is attending more than an academic year's worth of courses in the same award year, the student could run out of eligibility for Pell. This most commonly happens with summer terms or crossover payment periods.

34 CFR 690.64

Alternate calculation that includes summer term

As noted earlier, if you're working with a standard-term program that meets the rules for Formula 1 or Formula 2, you may divide the annual award by the number of all the terms (including the summer term) in the award year. The advantages and disadvantages of this approach were discussed in the examples accompanying Formula 1.

Term schools: using the right formula for summer session

If your school offers a summer term in addition to Fall through Spring terms that qualify for Formula 1 or 2, you will calculate the student’s payment for the summer term using the same Formula that you used to calculate payments for the other terms in the award year to which the summer term is assigned. If you use Formula 3 for Pell Grant calculations in any of the terms in an award year, then you must use Formula 3 for *all* terms in that program that occur in that award year, including the fall through spring terms. (Note that if your program is a standard-term program in the fall through spring and does not define full-time enrollment in the summer as at least 12 credit hours, you must use Formula 3 for Pell calculations for all terms in the award year.)

With regard to enrollment status, your school must apply its definition of full-time status for the summer term consistently for *all* FSA program purposes.

The cost of attendance for summer terms

Costs for summer terms are figured in the same way as for any other payment period; that is, the costs are based on a full academic year. If your school has fall and spring semesters that comprise an academic year, you can’t add the costs for the summer term to the costs for the fall and spring semesters. The award for the summer term is still based on the costs for one academic year. However, if the academic year definition includes the summer term, then the costs for the summer term *must* be included in the cost for a full academic year.

Scheduled Award limit for summer term

Peter enrolls three-quarter time in the fall, spring, and summer terms at Hildebrand University. His Scheduled Award is \$3,000 and his three-quarter time annual award is \$2,250. Using Formula 1, Hildebrand determines that Peter can receive \$1,125 for each term.

For the fall and spring semesters, he’ll receive a total of \$2,250. If Hildebrand wants to pay him for summer from the 2007-08 award year as well, it needs to see how much eligibility he has left. Subtracting the amount already received from the \$3,000 Scheduled Award, Hildebrand discovers that Peter only has \$750 of Pell eligibility left. Therefore, Peter can only receive \$750, instead of \$1,125, for the summer term.

As an alternative, Hildebrand could also pay Peter a full Pell disbursement for the summer term from the 2008-2009 award year, but that would reduce the amount of Pell that Peter could get for subsequent 08-09 terms. In the example below, Peter’s 08-09 eligibility would be exhausted in the Spring term, since he will be attending full-time in Spring 2009, even though he qualified for a higher Scheduled Award in 08-09.

Option 1: Pay Summer from 2007-08 Scheduled Award (\$3,000)

Fall 07 = \$1,125 (3/4-time)	Spring 08 = \$1,125 (3/4-time)	Summer 08 = \$750 (remaining eligibility)
---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

Option 2: Pay Summer from 2008-09 Scheduled Award (\$3,200)

Summer 08 = \$1,200 (3/4-time)	Fall 08 = \$1,200 (3/4-time)	Spring 09 = \$800 (remaining eligibility)
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--

If the student was previously enrolled in the award year, you may be able to use the same cost of attendance for the summer term that it used for the immediately preceding term that the student attended. However, this isn't possible if the costs are different from the fall through spring such as a different tuition charge per credit hour or you are required to recalculate the cost of attendance. (See the end of this chapter for information on when recalculations are required.) If it's necessary to base the student's cost of attendance on the summer term, you must prorate the summer costs to establish the cost for an academic year. (See Chapter 2 on prorating costs in the Pell Grant program.)

If the summer session is the first term in the award year for that student (for example, your school is paying a student for the summer 2007 term from the 2007-2008 award year), you must establish the student's full-year cost based on the costs for the *summer* term. If the student enrolls in another term in that award year, you may have to recalculate the student's costs for the later term.

Summer minisessions

If a term-based school offers a series of minisessions that overlap two award years (by "crossing over" the June 30 end date for one award year), these minisessions may be combined and treated as one term. However, schools are not required to combine these minisessions.

When you combine minisessions into a single term (i.e., payment period), the weeks of instructional time in the combined term are the weeks from the beginning of the first minisession to the date the last minisession ends. The student's enrollment status for the entire payment period must be calculated based on the total number of credits the student is projected to take for all sessions. You must project the enrollment status for a student on the basis of the credits the student has:

- pre-registered or registered to take for all sessions;
- committed to take for all sessions in an academic plan or enrollment contract; or
- committed to take for all sessions in some other document.

When you combine the minisessions into a single term, a student cannot be paid more than the amount for one payment period for completing any combination of the minisessions. If the minisessions are not combined into a single payment period, you must treat each minisession as a separate nonstandard term using Formula 3 to calculate Pell Grant awards. Unless for each minisession you define full-time as at least 12 credit hours, you must use Formula 3 for each of the minisessions (If you use Formula 3 for the crossover term, remember that you must also use it for all other terms in the award year, including Fall through Spring.)

If the minisessions are combined in a single term and a student does not begin attendance in all of the minisessions, recalculation of prior disbursements is required based on the resulting changed enrollment status as discussed later in this chapter.

Minisession Enrollment Status Example

Bob is enrolled in a summer session with three-week minisessions that his school, Falcon University, has combined into 1 term. Falcon U. is using Formula 1 to calculate Bob's combined term, and knows as such they must define full-time enrollment as at least 12 credit hours, even though the individual component minisessions may have originally considered full-time to be something less than 12 credit hours. Bob is enrolled for 6 credits during the combined summer minisession term. Bob's enrollment status is equal to the proportion of his credits to the school's definition of full-time for the combined term. Therefore, Bob should be credited with half-time enrollment status for the combined summer term.

Combined minisessions into standard term

Brian enrolls part time at Hildebrand University which defines its academic year as 24 semester hours and 30 weeks of instructional time. In addition to fall and spring semesters, Hildebrand offers three summer minisessions. Each minisession provides 4 weeks of instructional time. Hildebrand can either combine the minisessions into a single nonstandard term, or treat each session as a separate nonstandard term. The school chooses to combine the sessions into a single payment period providing 12 weeks of instructional time with full-time enrollment in this period defined as 12 semester hours. If Hildebrand meets the conditions for use of Formula 1 in its fall and spring semesters, it can use Formula 1 to calculate Pell payments for this summer session.



Brian enrolls for 3 semester hours in each of the minisessions, so he’s enrolled three-quarter time (9 hours total in the combined term). His Scheduled Award is \$3,500 and his annual award (from the 3/4-time disbursement schedule) is \$2,475. To calculate Brian’s payment, Hildebrand simply divides the annual award by 2, the number of terms in the fall through spring: $\$2,475 / 2 = \$1,237.50$.

Brian can receive \$1,237.50 for the combined summer session if it’s the first term of the award year. If he received payments for the fall and spring semesters from the same award year, the school would need to check his remaining eligibility to see how much he could be paid for the summer session. (See the earlier example of the Scheduled Award limit for a summer term.)

Minisessions treated as nonstandard terms

Suppose Hildebrand didn’t combine these minisessions. If it defined full-time enrollment for each 4-week minisession as less than 12 semester hours, it would have to calculate all Pell payments for the program using Formula 3. Because these are nonstandard terms, Hildebrand would have to determine Brian’s enrollment status for each mini-session by prorating the standard for full-time enrollment in a full academic year (24 semester hours):

$$24 \text{ semester hours} \times \frac{4 \text{ weeks* in term}}{30 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} = 3.2 \text{ semester hours (round up to 4**)}$$

For each of the 4-week terms, a full-time student must enroll in 4 semester hours, and based on that standard, the 3 semester hours that Brian is attending in each minisession counts as 3/4 time enrollment status. Note that Hildebrand would use the Pell cost of attendance for a full-time student attending a full academic year. Hildebrand would determine his payment for each minisession (assuming his Scheduled Award remains unchanged across both award years) using the following calculation:

$$\frac{4 \text{ weeks* in term}}{30 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \times \$2,475 = \$330.00$$

Brian would receive \$330 for each of the minisessions, for a total of \$990 for the summer. Again, these payments for one or more minisessions that are in the prior award year may need to be reduced if Brian had previously received payments for the fall and spring semesters in the same award year.

** since Hildebrand only offers courses in whole credits

*These fractions use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which are not necessarily the same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

TRANSFER STUDENTS

The Pell payment for a transfer student is calculated in the same way as for any new student. That is, you must calculate payments for each payment period following the rules given in this chapter. However, a transfer student's remaining Pell eligibility is reduced if the student received Pell funds for the same award year at any prior schools. You can identify the student's prior Pell disbursements when you review his or her Financial Aid History in NSLDS (see sidebar).

Transfer student cites

34 CFR 690.65
Mid-year transfer
"Dear Colleague" Letter GEN-00-12
Percent of remaining eligibility
34 CFR 690.65(d)

NSLDS Financial Aid History and Transfer Monitoring

Before disbursing FSA funds to a transfer student, you must obtain a financial aid history for the student and you must inform NSLDS about the transfer student so that you can receive updates through the Transfer Student Monitoring Process.

The financial aid history will not only identify Pell Grant disbursements that the student received at other schools, but tell you if the student is ineligible for any FSA aid due to default or overpayment, or if the student has reached annual or aggregate limits for Stafford loans.

See Volume 1, Chapter 3, for a more detailed discussion of these requirements.

Why percentages are used

The reason for using percentages is that a transfer student may have different Scheduled Awards, for example, the costs of attendance at the two schools may be different. The percentages are also used to compare the portions of a student's total eligibility that have been used at both schools. (If the student's Scheduled Award is the same at both schools, the financial aid administrator can find the amount of the student's remaining eligibility simply by subtracting the amount received at the first school from the Scheduled Award.)

Calculating remaining eligibility

Once you've identified the Pell amounts that a transfer student has already received for the ongoing award year, you must calculate the percentage of the Scheduled Award that has been used. This percentage is calculated by dividing the amount disbursed at the previous school by the student's Scheduled Award at that school.

$$\frac{\text{Pell disbursed at prior school}}{\text{Scheduled Award at prior school}} = \% \text{ of Scheduled Award used}$$

Then subtract this percentage from 100%. The result is the maximum percentage of the Scheduled Award that the student may receive at your school.

Note that a transfer student receives the same payments as any other student until the limit (100% of a Scheduled Award) is reached. You give the student the full amount for each payment period, rather than trying to ration the remaining amount by splitting it evenly across the remaining terms.

Payment period for a transfer student at a nonterm school

When a student transfers into a nonterm credit-hour or clock-hour program at a new school, that student is starting a new payment period. For nonterm programs, you must use the payment period rules described in Chapter 1 to determine the payment periods for the remainder of the student's program.

However, for a transfer student, the length of the program is the number of clock hours or credit-hours and the number of weeks, that the student will be required to complete in the new program. If the remaining hours in a clock-hour program are half an academic year or less, then the remaining hours constitute one payment period. For a nonterm credit-hour program, if the remaining credit hours *or weeks* are half an academic year or less, then the remaining hours and weeks constitute one payment period.

Retaking Coursework

For term-based credit-hour programs, students may generally receive FSA funds for retaking coursework and the credits may be included in the total number of credits that the student is taking when determining enrollment status as long as your school gives the student additional credit for the repeated course and the student is making satisfactory academic progress. Generally, schools do not give a student

credit for repeating a course to earn a better grade, unless the student fails a course the first time and receives no credit for the failure.

The treatment of repeated coursework is different for students in nonterm credit-hour and clock-hour programs. For more details and examples on retaking coursework, see the full discussion in Volume 4, chapter 2, *Disbursing FSA Funds*.

**Transfer student example (calculating remaining eligibility)
(one remaining term at new school)**

Luna attends fall and winter terms at Lewis College in St. Louis using nonstandard terms. She then transfers to Clark University in Omaha for the spring semester. The aid administrator at Clark University checks NSLDS, which shows that Luna received \$1,003 in Pell payments and had a \$1,700 Scheduled Award. Luna is eligible for a \$2,100 Scheduled Award at Clark. To determine how much Luna can be paid, the aid administrator at Clark first figures out what percentage of the Scheduled Award she received at her first school:

$$\frac{\$1,003 \text{ disbursed at Lewis}}{\$1,700 \text{ Scheduled Award at Lewis}} = 59\%$$

Subtracting this percentage from 100%, the aid administrator finds that Luna is eligible for 41% of her Scheduled Award at Clark. The Scheduled Award is multiplied by this percentage to find the dollar amount of Luna's remaining eligibility.

$$41\% \times \$2,100 \text{ Scheduled Award} = \$861 \text{ remaining Pell eligibility}$$

A student with a \$2,100 Scheduled Award would ordinarily receive a \$1,050 payment for one semester (if enrolled full-time). However, Luna can't be paid more than \$861, because she has received 59% of the Scheduled Award at Lewis College.

Transfer student example (two remaining terms at new school)

Dmitri transfers to Bylsma Conservatory during the award year and enrolls for two terms. He would ordinarily receive a \$500 payment for each term. However, his remaining eligibility, based on payments at the previous school, is only \$600. Rather than "rationing" this amount by splitting it into two \$300 payments for the two terms, Bylsma must pay Dmitri \$500 for the first term and the remainder (\$100) for the second term in accordance with the requirements for calculating the payment for the payment period. This way, Dmitri will receive the full payment he's entitled to for the first term, even if he doesn't return for the second term.

Calculating a Pell award when a student who owes an overpayment returns to a standard term-based educational program in the same award year

Consider a student who is eligible for Federal Pell Grant funds and who transfers from one school (school A) to another school (school B) within the same award year. Before paying any Pell funds to the student, school B must determine the percentage of eligibility remaining to the student. After transferring, a student's remaining Pell Grant eligibility during an award year is equal to the percentage of the student's *scheduled award* that remains unused, times the student's scheduled award at the new school.

School B may pay the student a Pell Grant only for that portion of an academic year in which the student is enrolled and in attendance at school B. The grant must be adjusted, as necessary, to ensure that the funds received by the student for the award year do not exceed the student's scheduled award for that award year.

The award for each payment period is calculated using the (full) scheduled award. The student receives a full award until the student has received 100% of the student's remaining eligibility. This avoids a school having to ration the remaining amount by splitting it evenly across the remaining terms.

To calculate a transfer student's remaining eligibility, school B must first determine what percentage of the scheduled award the student used at school A. On the student's current ISIR, on the Financial Aid History Page, in a section headed ***Pell Payment Data***, school B will find an entry for ***% Sch. Used***. School B subtracts the percentage listed under ***% Sch. Used*** from 100%. The remainder is the unused percentage of the student's scheduled award — the percentage the student may receive at school B. (One uses percentages rather than dollars because a transfer student may have different scheduled awards at the two schools, and using percentages rather than dollars adjusts for this possible difference.) School B then multiplies the percent of eligibility remaining times the scheduled award at the new school. The result is the maximum amount of Federal Pell Grant funds the student may receive at school B during the balance of the award year.

Note: Following the appropriate procedures relative to the figure reported in ***% Sch. Used*** will ensure that a transfer student does not receive more than 100% of the student's scheduled award. Therefore, school B may ignore the actual grant and overpayment amounts from school A in school B's calculations.

RECALCULATIONS

In certain cases, you may have to recalculate the student's Pell Grant after the initial calculation or disbursement, to account for changes to the student's costs, EFC, or enrollment status.

Change in the EFC (recalculation required)

If the student's EFC changes due to corrections, updating, or an adjustment, and the EFC change would change the amount of the Pell award, you must recalculate the Pell award for the entire award year. If, as a result of the recalculation, the student has received more than his or her award amount, then the student has received an overpayment. In some cases, you may be able to adjust an award by reducing or canceling later payments to the student in the same award year. However, if the overpayment can't be eliminated, you must follow the procedures in Volume 5 of the *FSA Handbook*.

A student selected for verification can't *increase* his or her eligibility based on a corrected output document that you receive during the "verification extension" (120 days after the student's last day of enrollment, not to extend beyond the deadline date established by a Federal Register notice). For example, if the student submits a reprocessed SAR during the extension period and the SAR has a lower EFC than the previous SAR (increasing the student's eligibility), you may not recalculate the student's Pell Grant based on the later SAR. The student would be paid based on the *higher* EFC on the SAR that was submitted earlier. However, if the corrections *reduce* the student's eligibility (that is, if the reprocessed SAR had a higher EFC), then the award must be calculated based on the reprocessed SAR.

Change in enrollment status between terms (recalculation required)

In a term program that uses credit hours, you must calculate a student's payment for each term based on the enrollment status for that term. If a student attended full-time for the first term and then enrolled half time in the second term, you must use the half-time enrollment status to calculate the student's payment for the second term.

Student doesn't begin attendance in all classes within a term (recalculation required)

If the student doesn't begin attendance in *all* of his or her classes, resulting in a change in the student's enrollment status, you must recalculate the student's award based on the lower enrollment status. A student is considered to have begun attendance in all of his or her classes if the student attends at least one day of class for each course in which that student's enrollment status was determined for Federal Pell Grant eligibility. Your school must have a procedure in place to know whether a student has begun attendance in all classes for purposes of the Federal Pell Grant Program. The Department does not dictate the method a school uses to document that a student has begun attendance. However, a student is considered not to have begun attendance in any class in which the school is unable to document that attendance.

Initial Calculation

An initial calculation is the first calculation that is made on or after the date the school has received an ED-product EFC* such as the student's initial SAR or ISIR with an official EFC and uses the enrollment status at the time of the initial calculation. If you've estimated the student's eligibility prior to receiving a SAR or ISIR for the student, you must confirm that prior estimated eligibility or determine the student's eligibility at the time the SAR or ISIR is received.

You should document the date that you initially calculate a student's Pell Grant. The earliest date is the date of receipt of an ED-product EFC*, such as on a SAR or ISIR (assuming the school has a documented or projected enrollment status for the student). If you fail to document the date of the initial calculation, you must use the later of (a) the date that the SAR or ISIR is first received and the student's enrollment status as of that date or (b) the date the student enrolls.

Your school is considered to have received the ISIR on the date it was processed. This date is labeled "Processed Date" on the ISIR. In the case of a SAR, your school is considered to have received it on the date processed unless you document a later date. The processing date on a SAR is the date above the EFC and, on a SAR Acknowledgment, the "Transaction Processed Date."

*Note: An ED-product EFC may be an EFC from a SAR/ISIR, FAA Access, or FAFSA on the Web.

Changes to the EFC

There are three ways that a student's EFC can change:

1. Corrections. The student may have to correct a mistake that was reported on the original FAFSA or SAR/ISIR. This frequently occurs as a result of verification, but it may also be a result of the student's own review of the SAR/ISIR.
2. Updating. In some cases, a student is required to update changes to dependency status, household size, and the number in college (see Volume I: Student Eligibility for details).
3. Professional judgment. You may, on a case-by-case basis, adjust one or more of the data elements used to calculate the EFC. In some cases, you might make an adjustment during the award year to reflect a student's changed circumstances. For example, if a wage-earning parent dies after the student's first semester, you could adjust the adjusted gross income in the EFC formula to reflect the loss of income. You may also determine that a dependent student should be considered independent.

If the student has already been paid based on the original EFC, the award will have to be recalculated.

***Change in enrollment status within a term
(optional recalculations)***

The regulations don't require any recalculation for changes in enrollment status after the student has begun attendance in all of his or her classes. However, your school can have a policy of recalculating an award if a student's enrollment status changes within a term. If such a policy is established, it must be applied consistently to all students in a program. For example, if the school chooses to recalculate for a student whose enrollment status increases from half-time to full-time, it must also recalculate for a student whose enrollment status decreases. If the school establishes a policy allowing optional recalculations for an educational program, this policy must be in writing.

Your school's policy may set a date after which Pell Grants will not be recalculated for enrollment status changes. For example, a school can establish a policy that it will recalculate Pell awards only for enrollment changes that occur up to the "add/drop" date of a term. The initial calculation of a student's Pell Grant may occur subsequent to the "add/drop" date of the term, including terms with compressed coursework. You must use the student's effective enrollment status on the date of the initial calculation, and there would be no recalculations of the student's Pell Grant for the term due to a subsequent change in enrollment status, assuming the student began attendance in each class. If the student's payment for the term is being disbursed in a subsequent payment period, you may pay the student only for the coursework completed in the term.

In the case of programs offered with compressed coursework or modules within the terms, the school may adopt a policy of setting the date based on the add/drop date of the last class in which the student enrolls, or is expected to enroll, for the term. In this circumstance, the school must take into account all adjustments to the enrollment status, both increases and decreases, up to the add/drop date of the student's last class.

If a school doesn't establish a policy for recalculation within a term, a student who begins attendance in all classes would be paid based on the initial calculation, even if his or her enrollment status changes before the disbursement is made.

If the student withdraws from all of his or her classes (or doesn't begin attending any classes), you must follow the procedures discussed in Volume 5.

Change in cost of attendance (recalculation required if you are recalculating for an enrollment status change; otherwise optional)

You're not required to recalculate Pell awards for cost changes during the award year. For instance, if the student gets accepted into on-campus housing after the fall term and your student budget for on-campus housing is lower, you're not required to recalculate the student's Pell award. If you choose to recalculate for changes in costs, you have to consistently apply that recalculation policy.

If you recalculate a Pell award because the student's enrollment status has changed, you must also take into account any changes in the student's costs at that time. For example, if a student enrolls full-time for the first semester and then drops to less than 1/2-time during that semester, the student's costs will change, because only certain cost components are allowed for less than 1/2-time students. If your school's policy is to recalculate for the enrollment change, you must use the cost for a less-than-half-time student *for a full year* to calculate the student's less-than-half-time award. You must not combine the two costs or average them.

COA changes between payment periods

A school may have a policy of recalculating awards when the cost of attendance changes from one payment period to the next—for example, because of changes to the student's tuition and fee costs, or because a student's living situation changes (such as when a student moves off campus). Schools also have the option to establish a policy to recalculate financial aid awards when a student's costs change *within* an award year, as long as the recalculation policy is carried out for all students whose costs change.

COA changes within a payment period

You may establish a policy of recalculating for cost changes from one payment period to the next, and at the same time, have a policy not to recalculate for cost changes *within* a payment period. You also have the option to establish a policy to recalculate financial aid awards when a student's costs change within a payment period. For instance, if a student with no dependents moves from a dormitory to off-campus housing at midterm, the school may wish to recalculate the student's award for that payment period.

For Pell purposes, such a policy is acceptable if its carried out for all students whose costs change within the payment period.

You may not recalculate the payment for a payment period that took place *before* the cost change. For instance, in the example above, if the student lives in the dormitory during the first quarter and then moves off campus for the second and third quarters, the recalculation would only affect the payments for the second and third quarters.

SAR/ISIR with different EFC

If you receive a SAR or ISIR with an EFC different from the one you used for the payment calculation, you must first decide which document is valid. If the new information is the valid information, in most cases you must recalculate the student's Pell award for the entire award year based on the new EFC.

Enrollment change: required recalculation example

Edmund registers for a full-time course load (15 credit hours), and Hart University makes a first-term disbursement on that basis 10 days before the term starts. When the term starts, Edmund only begins attendance in three classes (9 credit hours). Hart must recalculate Edmund's Pell award based on the lower enrollment status. Any difference between the amount Edmund received and his new recalculated award is an overpayment. See Volume 5 for a discussion of overpayments.

Enrollment change within payment period example

Emma registers for a full-time course load at Woodhouse College, and Woodhouse initially calculates a full-time award for her. She begins attending all of her classes but subsequently drops to half-time. Depending on Woodhouse's recalculation policy, Emma may still be paid based on full-time enrollment as long as she's otherwise eligible for payment. On the other hand, if Woodhouse did not receive Emma's first processed SAR or ISIR with an official EFC until after she dropped to half-time enrollment, the Pell initial calculation would be based on her enrollment status at the time the output document was received (half-time).

Tuition and fee charges and recalculation

If the school recalculates a student's Pell Grant due to a change in enrollment status, continuing to charge tuition and fees for credit hours no longer included in the student's enrollment status for Pell Grant purposes does not affect the requirement to recalculate the student's Pell Grant.

For example, Jackie enrolls as a full-time student with 12 credits but never starts attendance in a 3-credit class that starts after the college's "add/drop" date. Jackie's award must be recalculated as three-quarter time even though the college charges tuition for any classes dropped after the "add/drop" date and continues to charge Jackie for 12 credits.

Chapter 3 Appendices:

**APPENDIX A: FORMULA 2: CALCULATIONS FOR
STANDARD TERM PROGRAMS WITH LESS THAN
30 WEEKS IN FALL THROUGH SPRING**

**APPENDIX B: FORMULA 5: CALCULATIONS FOR
CORRESPONDENCE STUDY PROGRAMS**

APPENDIX C: PELL FORMULA SUMMARIES

APPENDIX A

FORMULA 2: CALCULATIONS FOR STANDARD TERM PROGRAMS WITH LESS THAN 30 WEEKS IN FALL THROUGH SPRING

The regulations provide an option for standard-term programs whose fall through spring terms provide less than 30 weeks of instructional time. Formula 2 may be advantageous for your summer term calculations. You may use Formula 2 if the program:

- has an academic calendar that consists of two semesters or trimesters (in the fall through the following spring) or three quarters (in the fall, winter, and spring);
- does not have overlapping terms; or
- measures progress in credit hours and defines full-time enrollment for each term in the award year as at least 12 credit hours.

Using Formula 2

34 CFR 690.63(a)(2), 690.63(c)

Formula 2 Alternative Calculation

Under Formula 2, you can perform the same alternate calculation as performed under Formula 1 if the weeks of instructional time in the defined academic year are the same as the total number of weeks of instructional time in all the terms in the award year. See the example for alternate calculation under the discussion of Formula 1 earlier in this chapter.

Formula 2: calculation for standard terms with Fall through Spring terms < than 30 weeks

The regulations offer an alternative formula for standard term programs with fall through spring standard terms that provide less than 30 weeks of instructional time. The significant effect of this formula is to allow you to pay the same Pell amount for the summer term as you would for one of your traditional fall through spring terms. To use this formula, the program must have two semesters or trimesters (in the fall through the following spring) or three quarters (in the fall, winter, and spring), with no overlapping terms, and define full-time enrollment for each term in the award year as at least 12 credit hours.

Let's take the example of Emma, who is attending Woodhouse College (WHC), which has fall and spring semesters of 14 and 15 weeks, and a summer term of 10 weeks. WHC defines the academic year of Emma's program as 24 semester hours and 30 weeks.* Her Scheduled Award is \$3,300, and she is attending as a full-time student. Because the fall and spring terms provide less than the minimum 30 weeks of instructional time for an academic year, Emma's full-time award is prorated as follows:

$$\frac{29 \text{ weeks* in term**}}{30 \text{ weeks* in academic year}} \times \$3,300 = \$3,190$$

This prorated amount is then divided by the number of terms:

$$\frac{\$3,190}{2} = \$1,595$$

Emma will receive \$3,190 for her attendance in both semesters. Note that this is less than her Scheduled Award; she may be able to receive the remaining \$110 if she enrolls in a summer term.

The difference between Formula 2 and Formula 3 lies in whether you must make a separate calculation for each term. Under Formula 2, you do not have to perform a separate calculation based on the length of each term. Emma's Pell eligibility as a full-time student would be \$1,595 under Formula 2. If Woodhouse used Formula 3, the annual award would be prorated based on the length of each term: 14 weeks (14/30), 15 weeks (15/30), and 10 weeks (10/30), and Emma's eligibility would be \$1,540, \$1,650, and \$1,100 respectively.

Emma only has \$110 in remaining Pell eligibility for the summer term under both formulas. Her summer payment would only be different for each formula if Woodhouse chose to pay the summer term out of the subsequent award year. (Note that Emma's Scheduled Award and her summer payment would then be based on the EFC for the following award year.)

*These fractions use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which are not necessarily the same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

**Fall through spring.

APPENDIX B

FORMULA 5: CALCULATIONS FOR CORRESPONDENCE STUDY PROGRAMS

Students enrolled in correspondence courses are eligible for aid under FSA programs only if the courses are part of a program leading to an associate, a bachelor's, or a graduate degree. Also, to be eligible, a correspondence program must meet the criteria for an eligible program (see the Volume 2 of the *FSA Handbook: Institutional Eligibility and Participation*).

PELL COST OF ATTENDANCE

The cost of attendance for correspondence programs is limited to tuition and fees, and in certain cases, books and supplies. Traditionally, books and supplies have been included as part of the correspondence program's tuition. If books and supplies are not included in the program's tuition, they may be counted as costs, for either a residential or nonresidential period of enrollment. As always, the cost of attendance must be based on the costs for a full-time student for a full academic year for the relevant component (for correspondence COA, there would be no room and board, etc.). If the student's program or period of enrollment, as measured in credit hours, is longer or shorter than an academic year as measured in credit hours, the tuition and fees for the program or enrollment period must be prorated. Because the correspondence study cost of attendance for the nonresidential component only includes costs associated with credit hours, your school always uses the credit hour-related fraction to prorate the cost of attendance as follows (because there are no costs associated with weeks of instructional time in the correspondence cost of attendance, your school has to prorate the cost only if the number of hours in the program is shorter or longer than in an academic year):

Credit hours in program's definition of an academic year

Credit hours to which the costs apply

The resulting amount is the full-time, full-academic-year cost used for calculating Pell Grant eligibility. When there is a residential portion in a correspondence student's program, Formula 3 or 4 (whichever applies) is used to calculate the student's payment for a payment period for a residential portion. Refer to Formula 3 or 4 guidelines, including cost of attendance determinations, for this circumstance.

PELL ENROLLMENT STATUS

Students enrolled in programs of correspondence study are considered to be no more than half-time students, even if they're enrolled in enough coursework to be full-time. However, if the correspondence study is combined with regular coursework, the student's enrollment status might be more than half time.

Correspondence program highlights

- Pell cost of attendance limited to tuition and fees (and in some cases, books and supplies)
- The enrollment status for correspondence students can never be more than 1/2-time
- The enrollment status for a student who is taking both correspondence and regular coursework may be greater than 1/2-time
- Timing of payments within payment periods is different for correspondence students
- Formula 5A or 5B used to calculate awards for correspondence students

Enrollment status cites for correspondence

Term classes — 34 CFR 690.66(c)(2)

Combined with regular study — 34 CFR 690.8

Academic coursework

The term academic coursework does not necessarily refer to credits. If a student does not earn any credits until the end of the program, it may refer to the lessons or other measures of learning within a course or a program. For instance, if a course or program is made up of 40 equal lessons, the student reaches the halfway point as follows:

- If the student successfully completes the first 20 lessons before the calendar midpoint of the academic year, the second payment period does not begin until the calendar midpoint.
- If the student completes the first half of the academic year before successfully completing the first 20 lessons, the second payment period does not begin until the student successfully completes the first 20 lessons.

Annual award

The annual award for a student in a nonterm correspondence program is always taken from the half-time Disbursement Schedule because a correspondence student can't receive more than half a Scheduled Award. For a student in a term correspondence program, the annual award is determined from the half-time Disbursement Schedule or the less-than-half-time Disbursement Schedule, as appropriate.

34 CFR 690.66(a)(1) and (2)

A student enrolled only in a nonterm correspondence program always has his or her award calculated based on the half-time Disbursement Schedule. For a student enrolled in a term-based correspondence program, your school must determine whether the student is enrolled half time (6 or more credit hours in a term) or less than half time (less than 6 credit hours in a term). Special rules are used to determine the student's enrollment status when the student is enrolled in a combination of regular and correspondence coursework.

PAYMENT PERIODS & TIMING OF PAYMENTS

For a *nonterm* correspondence program, there must be two equal payment periods in each academic year. Each payment period is the lesser of half the academic year or half the program (measured in credit hours). In addition, you can't disburse a Pell payment for the first payment period until the student has completed 25% of the work in the academic year or the program, whichever is shorter. It can't make the second payment until the student has completed 75% of the work in the academic year or program.

For a *term*-based correspondence program, as for other term-based programs, the payment period is the term. However, you can't disburse the Pell for a payment period until the student has completed 50% of the lessons or completes 50% of the work for the term, whichever is later.

If the correspondence program has a required period of *residential training*, you must treat the residential training as an additional payment period and determine the payment for that payment period using either Formula 3 or Formula 4. Note that the correspondence portion of the program is still treated as a separate portion of the program that's divided into two equal payment periods.

PELL CALCULATIONS IN CORRESPONDENCE PROGRAMS

Formula 5 is used for students enrolled only in correspondence courses (not including residential components of correspondence programs). There are two versions of Formula 5: Formula 5A (which is similar to Formula 4) is used for nonterm programs, and Formula 5B (which is similar to Formula 3) is used for term-based programs. For a residential component of a correspondence program, your school must use either Formula 3 or Formula 4. If the residential component is a term, your school uses Formula 3; otherwise, it uses Formula 4.

For nonterm correspondence programs, this step of the calculation is similar to the step under Formula 4. For term correspondence programs, this step is the same as under Formula 3.

For the Pell calculation, you are required to determine the number of weeks of instructional time in the program by preparing a written schedule for the lessons that the student will submit. A nonterm correspondence program must require at least 12 hours of preparation per week. A term-based correspondence program must

Correspondence Payment Periods Cites

Nonterm — 34 CFR 690.66(b)
Term — 34 CFR 690.66(c)(3),(c)(4)

require at least 30 hours of preparation per semester hour or at least 20 hours of preparation per quarter hour during the term.

Nonterm correspondence program—Formula 5A

You first multiply the annual award (taken from the half-time disbursement schedule) by the least of:

$$\frac{\text{Weeks* for a student to complete credit hours in a program}}{\text{Weeks* in program's academic year definition}}$$

or

$$\frac{\text{Weeks* for a student to complete credit hours in academic year}}{\text{Weeks* in program's academic year definition}}$$

or

One

You then multiply the result by the following fraction:

$$\frac{\text{Credit hours in a payment period}}{\text{Credit hours in program's academic year definition}}$$

Term correspondence program—Formula 5B

You multiply the annual award (taken from the half-time or less-than-half-time Disbursement Schedule) by the weeks of instructional time in the term divided by the weeks in the academic year:

$$\frac{\text{Weeks* in term}}{\text{Weeks in program's academic year definition}}$$

A single disbursement for a payment period can never be more than 50% of the annual award. If the resulting amount is more than 50% of the annual award, your school must make the payment in at least two disbursements in that payment period. You may not disburse an amount that exceeds 50% of the annual award until the student has completed the period of time in the payment period that equals 50% of the weeks of instructional time in the program's academic year definition.

Correspondence Multiple Formulas Exception

If a correspondence student has one or more payment periods in an award year that contain only correspondence study and one or more payment periods in the same award year that contain a residential portion, your school would use two different formulas for determining a student's payment for each payment period. This instance is the only one in which a school would use two different Pell formulas within the same award year for students in the same program.

*Note: The fractions on this page use weeks of instructional time as defined in Chapter 1, which are not necessarily the same number as the calendar weeks in an academic year.

APPENDIX C

FORMULA SUMMARIES

Formula 1 Summary

Standard-term, credit-hour programs, with 30 weeks of instructional time (or waiver applies)

- Enrollment for at least 12 credit hours each term required for full-time status
- Program terms don't overlap
- Academic calendar includes 2 semesters/trimesters (fall and spring) or 3 quarters (fall, winter, and spring)
- Fall through spring terms equal at least 30 weeks of instructional time, or at least 26 weeks of instructional time if the program was granted a waiver of the minimum 30-week academic year requirement.

Step 1: Determine Enrollment Status

Full time, three-quarter time, half time, or less than half time

Step 2: Calculate Pell COA

Full time, full academic year costs

Step 3: Determine Annual Award

If the student's enrollment status is full time, the annual award is taken from the full-time Payment Schedule (Scheduled Award). If the student's enrollment status is 3/4 time, 1/2 time, or less than 1/2 time, the annual award is taken from the appropriate part-time Disbursement Schedule.

Step 4: Determine Payment Periods

Payment period is the academic term

Step 5: Calculate Payment for a Payment Period

$$\frac{\text{Annual Award}}{\text{Number of payment periods in the program's academic year definition}}$$

OR

For alternate calculation:

$$\frac{\text{Annual Award}}{\text{Number of terms in the award year}}$$

Formula 2 Summary

Standard-term, credit-hour programs, with fewer than 30 weeks of instructional time, and waiver does not apply

- Enrollment for at least 12 credit hours each term required for full-time status
- Program terms don't overlap
- Academic calendar includes 2 semesters/trimesters (fall and spring) or 3 quarters (fall, winter, and spring)
- Fall through spring terms are less than 30 weeks of instructional time

Step 1: Determine Enrollment Status

Full time, three-quarter time, half time, or less than half time

Step 2: Calculate Pell COA

Full time, full academic year costs

Cost for fall through spring terms prorated. If fall through spring terms provide the same number of credit hours as are in the academic year definition, prorated COA is the same as non prorated COA.

Step 3: Determine Annual Award

If the student's enrollment status is full time, the annual award is taken from the full-time Payment Schedule (Scheduled Award). If the student's enrollment status is 3/4 time, 1/2 time, or less than 1/2 time, the annual award is taken from the appropriate part-time Disbursement Schedule.

Step 4: Determine Payment Periods

Payment period is the academic term

Step 5: Calculate Payment for a Payment Period

$$\frac{\text{Annual Award}}{\text{Number of payment periods in the program's academic year definition}}$$

OR

For alternate calculation:

$$\frac{\text{Annual Award}}{\text{Number of terms in the award year}}$$

Formula 3 Summary

Any term-based, credit-hour programs; may include those qualifying for Formulas 1 and 2

Step 1: Determine Enrollment Status

Full time, three-quarter time, half time, or less than half time

Step 2: Calculate Pell COA

Full time, full academic year costs

Cost for program or period not equal to academic year prorated. Two fractions are compared:

$$\frac{\text{Hours in program's definition of academic year}}{\text{Hours to which the costs apply}}$$

$$\frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time in program's definition of academic year}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in the enrollment period to which the costs apply}}$$

The entire cost is multiplied by the lesser of the two fractions to determine Pell COA.

Step 3: Determine Annual Award

If the student's enrollment status is full time, the annual award is taken from the full-time Payment Schedule (Scheduled Award). If the student's enrollment status is 3/4 time, 1/2 time, or less than 1/2 time, the annual award is taken from the appropriate part-time Disbursement Schedule.

Step 4: Determine Payment Periods

Payment period is the academic term

Step 5: Calculate Payment for a Payment Period

$$\frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time in the term}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in the program's academic year definition}}$$

A single disbursement can't exceed 50% of the annual award

Formula 4 Summary

Clock-hour programs and credit-hour programs without terms, residential portion of nonterm correspondence programs.

Step 1: Determine Enrollment Status

At least half time or less than half time

Step 2: Calculate Pell COA

Full time, full academic year costs

Cost for program or period not equal to academic year prorated. Two fractions compared:

$$\frac{\text{Hours in program's definition of academic year}}{\text{Hours to which the costs apply}}$$

$$\frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time in program's definition of academic year}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in the enrollment period to which the costs apply}}$$

The entire cost is multiplied by the lesser of the two fractions to determine Pell COA.

Step 3: Determine Annual Award

Always taken from full-time Payment Schedule (equal to Scheduled Award)

Step 4: Determine Payment Periods

Length of payment period measured in credit or clock hours. Minimum of 2 equal payment periods required for programs shorter than an academic year, or 2 equal payment periods in each full academic year (or final portion longer than half an academic year) for programs longer than or equal to an academic year.

Step 5: Calculate Payment for a Payment Period

Annual award is multiplied by two fractions:

$$1) \quad \frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time for a full-time student to complete hours in program}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in program's academic year definition}}$$

OR

$$\frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time for a full-time student to complete hours in academic year}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in program's academic year definition}}$$

OR

One (1)

2) The results of the first multiplication are multiplied by:

$$\frac{\text{Clock/credit hours in payment period}}{\text{Clock/credit hours in program's academic year definition}}$$

A single disbursement cannot exceed 50% of the annual award. A minimum of 26 weeks of instruction is needed to meet the minimum academic year definition requirements (versus 30 for credit-hour programs).

Formula 5A Summary

Correspondence programs nonterm correspondence component. For residential portion, use formula 4 to calculate payment periods and amounts. The schedule for the submission of lessons must reflect a workload of at least 12 hours of preparation per week of instructional time.

Step 1: Determine Enrollment Status

Enrollment status is never more than half time

Step 2: Calculate Pell COA

Full time, full academic year costs (for applicable components)

Cost for program or enrollment period not equal to academic year prorated according to the following formula:

For tuition and fees:

$$\text{Costs X } \frac{\text{Credit hours in program's definition of academic year}}{\text{Credit hours to which costs apply}}$$

Step 3: Determine Annual Award

Annual award taken from half-time Disbursement Schedule

Step 4: Determine Payment Periods

Length of payment period measured in credit hours.

The first payment period is the period of time in which the student completes the lesser of the first half of the academic year or the first half of the program. (First payment can be made only after the student has completed 25% of the lessons or otherwise completed 25% of the work scheduled, whichever comes last.)

The second payment period is the period of time in which the student completes the lesser of the second half of the academic year or the second half of the program. (Second payment may be made only after the student has submitted 75% of the lessons or otherwise completed 75% of the work scheduled, whichever comes last.)

Step 5: Calculate Payment for a Payment Period

Annual award is multiplied by two fractions:

$$1) \quad \frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time for a full-time student to complete credit hours in program}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in program's academic year definition}}$$

OR

$$\frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time for a full-time student to complete credit hours in academic year}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in program's academic year definition}}$$

OR

One (1)

2) The results of the first multiplication are multiplied by:

$$\frac{\text{Credit hours in payment period}}{\text{Credit hours in program's academic year definition}}$$

Note: A single disbursement can't exceed 50% of the annual award.

Formula 5B Summary

Programs of study by correspondence, term correspondence component. During each term, the written schedule for the submission of lessons must reflect a workload of at least 30 hours of preparation per semester hour or at least 20 hours of preparation per quarter hour.

Step 1: Determine Enrollment Status

Enrollment status is never more than half time

Step 2: Calculate Pell COA

Full time, full academic year costs (for applicable components)

Cost for program or enrollment period not equal to academic year prorated according to the following formula:

For tuition and fees:

$$\text{Costs} \times \frac{\text{Credit hours in program's definition of academic year}}{\text{Credit hours to which costs apply}}$$

Step 3: Determine Annual Award

Annual award taken from half-time or less than half time Disbursement Schedule

Step 4: Determine Payment Periods

Length of payment period is the academic term.

Step 5: Calculate Payment for a Payment Period

Annual award multiplied by:

$$\frac{\text{Weeks of instructional time in the term}}{\text{Weeks of instructional time in program's academic year definition}}$$

When there is a residential portion in a term-based correspondence program, Formula 3 is used to calculate the student's payment for a payment period for the residential portion.

A single disbursement cannot exceed 50% of the annual award.

Payment Periods for Non-traditional Based Schools Workgroup

Non Term Start Dates

32 Weeks

Christmas Holiday: 12-25-06 to 1-5-07 (14 Days) 12-24-07 to 1-6-08 (14 Days)

Start	Loans/Pell 2 nd Disb.	End Date	06-07 Award Year				Continuing 07-08	
			Attendance Days in Cal Grant Quarters					
9-19-06	1-29-07	5-22-07	Fall 73	Win 76	Spr 82			
10-18-06	2-27-07	6-21-07	Fall 44	Win 76	Spr 92	Sum 21		
11-15-06	3-28-07	7-23-07	Fall 16	Win 76	Spr 92	Sum 53		
12-18-06	4-25-07	8-20-07		Win 59	Spr 92	Sum 81		
1-29-07	6-25-07	9-19-07		Win 62	Spr 92	Sum 92	Fall 19	
2-27-07	7-24-07	10-19-07		Win 33	Spr 92	Sum 92	Fall 49	
3-28-07	8-22-07	11-16-07			Spr 65	Sum 92	Fall 77	
4-25-07	9-27-07	12-18-07			Spr 37	Sum 92	Fall 91	Win 18
5-24-07	10-22-07	1-28-08			Spr 8	Sum 92	Fall 91	Win 45
6-25-07	11-19-07	2-26-08				Sum 25	Fall 91	Win 74
7-24-07	12-19-07	3-25-08				Sum 54	Fall 91	Win 91 Spr 25

Cal Grant Quarters

Fall	06-07			07-08			
9-1-06	11-30-06	91 Days		9-1-07	11-30-07	91 Days	(45.5 Days)
Winter							
12-1-06	2-28-07	90 Days	(45 Days)	12-1-07	2-29-08	91 Days	(45.5 Days)
Spring							
3-1-07	5-31-07	92 Days		3-1-08	5-31-08	92 Days	(46 Days)
Summer							
6-1-07	8-31-07	92 Days		6-1-08	8-31-08	92 Days	(46 Days)

Payment Periods for Non-traditional Based Schools Workgroup

5 Week Terms Or Mods – 30 Weeks AY

						End Date
9-4-06	10-9-06	11-13-06	12-18-06	2-5-07	3-12-07	4-13-07
Fall 88			Winter 76		Spring 44	
10-9-06	11-13-06	12-18-06	2-5-07	3-12-07	4-16-07	5-18-07
Fall 53			Winter 76		Spring 79	
11-13-06	12-18-06	2-5-07	3-12-07	4-16-07	5-21-07	6-22-07
Fall 18		Winter 76		Spring 92		Summer 22
12-18-06	2-5-07	3-12-07	4-16-07	5-21-07	6-25-07	7-27-07
Winter 59		Spring 92		Summer 57		
2-5-07	3-12-07	4-16-07	5-21-07	6-25-07	7-30-07	8-31-07
Winter 24		Spring 92		Summer 92		
3-12-07	4-16-07	5-21-07	6-25-07	7-30-07	9-3-07	10-5-07
Spring 81		Summer 92		Fall 35		

Non Standard Terms

36 Quarter Credit Hours

9-25-06	12-15-06	Fall 67	Winter 15
1-2-07	3-16-07	Winter 58	Spring 16
3-26-07	6-8-07	Spring 67	Summer 8
6-25-07	9-17-07	Summer 68	Fall 17
9-24-07	12-7-07	Fall 68	Winter 7
1-2-08	3-21-08	Winter 51	

50% definition - based on 2nd and subsequent disbursements, not the 1st disbursement

- based on actual student attendance?
- credits the student is scheduled for per term?
- actual credits completed per term?

Information/Action Item

GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Consideration of Cal Grant Selection Criteria

The Selection Criteria is used to select the statutorily authorized 22,500 Cal Grant A and B competitive award recipients. It is also used to select the top 2% of new Cal Grant B entitlement recipients who receive tuition and fees in addition to the access grant in their first year of enrollment.

The award selection criteria consist of the following elements: grade point average, parent's educational level, access equalizer, household status, and family income and household size matrices.

The data provided looks at the Competitive Cal Grant Program recipients and eligible non-recipients since its inception in 2001-02.

Recommended Action: Review data to determine if the current Selection Criteria is an effective way to select new recipients.

Responsible Staff: Mary Robinson, Workgroup Chair
Grant Advisory Committee

Karen Henderson, Research Analyst II
Research and Policy Analysis Branch

TABLE 1 - AWARD YEAR 2001-02 - SEGMENT ALL (HIGH SCHOOL ENTITLEMENT AND COMPETITIVE) RECIPIENTS AND COMPETITIVE ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS BY PROGRAM, DEPENDENCY STATUS, AND SEGMENT December 31, 2001

Segment	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All														
	Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
High School Entitlement	CCC	1,545	10.5%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,546	10.5%	12,777	38.0%	81	0.2%	277	0.8%	31	0.1%	668	2.0%	13,834	41.0%	14,322	29.6%	82	0.2%	277	0.6%	31	0.1%	668	1.4%	15,360	31.7%				
	UC	4,267	28.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,268	28.9%	4,268	28.9%	6,838	20.3%	3	0.0%	16	0.0%	7	0.0%	110	0.3%	6,974	20.7%	11,105	22.9%	3	0.0%	16	0.0%	7	0.0%	111	0.2%	11,242	23.2%				
	CSU	3,165	21.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3,165	21.5%	3,165	21.5%	10,564	31.4%	17	0.1%	67	0.2%	14	0.0%	399	1.2%	11,061	32.8%	13,729	28.4%	17	0.0%	67	0.1%	14	0.0%	399	0.8%	14,226	29.4%				
	ICU	5,095	34.5%	2	0.0%	13	0.1%	4	0.0%	5,187	35.1%	927	2.8%	11	0.0%	9	0.0%	45	0.1%	45	0.1%	983	2.9%	6,022	12.4%	13	0.0%	22	0.0%	5	0.0%	118	0.2%	6,160	12.8%				
	PCC	549	3.7%	3	0.0%	15	0.1%	588	4.0%	588	4.0%	716	2.1%	11	0.0%	32	0.1%	2	0.0%	40	0.1%	801	2.4%	1,285	2.6%	14	0.0%	47	0.1%	60	0.1%	1,389	2.9%						
Total	14,621	99.1%	6	0.0%	28	0.2%	14,754	100.0%	14,754	100.0%	31,822	94.5%	123	0.4%	401	1.2%	55	0.2%	1,262	3.7%	33,663	99.8%	46,443	95.9%	129	0.3%	429	0.9%	60	0.1%	1,356	2.8%	48,417	99.9%					
March Competition	CCC	60	6.7%	3	0.3%	33	3.7%	96	10.8%	96	10.8%	1,920	18.5%	287	2.8%	1,632	15.8%	3	0.0%	97	0.9%	3,939	38.0%	1,980	17.6%	290	2.6%	1,665	14.8%	3	0.0%	97	0.5%	4,035	35.9%				
	UC	145	16.3%	1	0.1%	8	0.9%	154	17.3%	154	17.3%	1,219	11.8%	32	0.3%	186	1.8%	2	0.0%	53	0.5%	1,492	14.4%	1,364	12.1%	33	0.3%	194	1.7%	2	0.0%	53	0.3%	1,646	14.6%				
	CSU	140	15.7%	6	0.7%	27	3.0%	173	19.5%	173	19.5%	1,909	18.4%	164	1.6%	1,025	9.9%	3	0.0%	87	0.8%	3,188	30.8%	2,049	18.2%	170	1.5%	1,052	9.4%	3	0.0%	87	0.5%	3,361	29.9%				
	ICU	195	21.9%	16	1.8%	53	6.0%	268	30.1%	268	30.1%	481	4.7%	50	0.5%	380	3.7%	0	0.0%	28	0.3%	949	9.2%	686	6.1%	66	0.6%	433	3.9%	0	0.0%	32	0.2%	1,217	10.8%				
	PCC	121	13.6%	16	1.8%	53	6.0%	198	22.3%	198	22.3%	482	4.7%	32	0.3%	239	2.3%	0	0.0%	27	0.3%	780	7.5%	603	5.4%	48	0.4%	292	2.6%	35	0.3%	978	8.7%						
Total	661	74.4%	42	4.7%	174	19.6%	888	100.0%	888	100.0%	6,021	58.2%	565	5.5%	3,462	33.5%	8	0.1%	292	2.8%	10,348	99.9%	7,841	16.6%	607	5.4%	5,197	11.0%	1,760	3.7%	19,932	42.5%	47,130	96.3%					
Recipients Eligible Non-Recipients	CCC	82	34.6%	43	18.1%	111	46.8%	236	99.6%	236	99.6%	4,221	38.3%	1,048	9.5%	5,394	48.9%	10	0.1%	337	3.1%	11,010	99.8%	4,303	38.2%	1,091	9.7%	5,505	48.9%	10	0.1%	337	3.0%	11,246	99.8%				
	UC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.0%						
	CSU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.1%						
	ICU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%						
	PCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	4,311	38.3%	1,091	9.7%	5,514	49.0%	10	0.1%	337	3.0%	11,263	99.9%				
Total	82	34.6%	43	18.1%	112	47.3%	237	100.0%	237	100.0%	4,229	38.4%	1,048	9.5%	5,402	49.0%	10	0.1%	337	3.1%	11,026	99.9%	9,120	31.6%	4,179	14.5%	4,920	17.0%	1,110	3.8%	9,571	33.1%	28,900	96.2%					
Eligible Non-Recipients	CCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	UC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	CSU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	ICU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	PCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
Total	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%							
Recipients Eligible Non-Recipients	CCC	4,311	10.7%	1,091	2.7%	5,514	13.7%	11,010	28.2%	11,010	28.2%	15,219	26.1%	5,022	8.6%	8,833	15.1%	1,768	3.0%	20,236	34.7%	15,219	26.1%	5,022	8.6%	8,833	15.1%	1,768	3.0%	20,236	34.7%	15,219	26.1%						
	UC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	CSU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	ICU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	PCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
Total	4,311	10.7%	1,091	2.7%	5,514	13.7%	11,010	28.2%	11,010	28.2%	15,219	26.1%	5,022	8.6%	8,833	15.1%	1,768	3.0%	20,236	34.7%	15,219	26.1%	5,022	8.6%	8,833	15.1%	1,768	3.0%	20,236	34.7%	15,219	26.1%							

TABLE 1 - AWARD YEAR 2002-03 - SEGMENT
 ALL (HIGH SCHOOL ENTITLEMENT AND COMPETITIVE) RECIPIENTS AND COMPETITIVE ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, DEPENDENCY STATUS, AND SEGMENT
 December 31, 2002

Segment	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All														
	Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
High School Entitlement Recipients	CCC	2,506	13.8%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,511	13.9%	17,316	40.6%	131	0.3%	503	1.2%	55	0.1%	1,068	2.5%	19,073	44.6%	19,822	32.6%	136	0.2%	603	0.8%	55	0.1%	1,068	1.8%	21,504	35.4%				
	UC	5,166	28.5%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5,169	28.5%	7,449	17.5%	7	0.0%	15	0.0%	10	0.0%	156	0.4%	7,637	17.9%	12,615	20.8%	9	0.0%	16	0.0%	10	0.0%	156	0.3%	12,806	21.1%				
	CSU	4,547	25.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,547	25.1%	12,117	28.4%	32	0.1%	102	0.2%	22	0.1%	530	1.2%	12,803	30.0%	16,684	27.4%	32	0.1%	102	0.2%	22	0.0%	530	0.9%	17,350	28.5%				
	ICU	5,032	27.8%	5	0.0%	12	0.1%	2	0.0%	5,115	28.2%	1,261	3.0%	46	0.1%	5	0.0%	110	0.3%	1,428	3.3%	6,293	10.4%	11	0.0%	58	0.1%	7	0.0%	174	0.3%	6,543	10.8%						
	PCC	735	4.1%	3	0.0%	16	0.1%	5	0.0%	773	4.2%	1,438	3.4%	194	0.5%	97	0.2%	13	0.0%	168	0.4%	2,173	3.6%	21	0.0%	792	1.3%	112	0.2%	2,063	3.4%	2,460	4.0%						
Total	17,986	99.3%	15	0.1%	29	0.2%	7	0.0%	18,115	100.0%	39,581	92.9%	184	0.5%	763	1.8%	105	0.2%	1,885	4.7%	42,628	99.8%	57,567	94.8%	209	0.3%	3,669	30.1%	90	0.7%	6,155	49.7%							
March Competition Recipients	CCC	24	3.0%	31	3.8%	65	8.1%	0	0.0%	120	14.9%	865	7.6%	3,604	31.6%	90	0.8%	768	6.7%	6,035	52.2%	889	7.3%	739	6.1%	3,669	30.1%	90	0.7%	688	6.3%	6,155	49.7%						
	UC	19	2.4%	2	0.2%	7	0.9%	0	0.0%	28	3.5%	377	3.3%	193	1.7%	136	1.2%	13	0.1%	162	1.4%	768	6.6%	396	3.2%	25	0.2%	200	1.6%	13	0.1%	162	1.3%	796	6.4%				
	CSU	18	2.2%	5	0.6%	40	5.0%	0	0.0%	63	7.8%	597	5.2%	132	1.2%	1,364	12.0%	22	0.2%	276	2.4%	2,391	20.8%	615	5.0%	137	1.1%	1,404	11.5%	22	0.2%	276	2.3%	2,454	19.9%				
	ICU	51	6.5%	18	2.2%	101	12.5%	2	0.2%	193	23.7%	267	2.3%	67	0.6%	559	4.8%	13	0.1%	121	1.1%	1,027	8.9%	318	2.6%	85	0.7%	660	5.4%	15	0.1%	142	1.2%	1,220	9.9%				
	PCC	85	10.5%	41	5.1%	245	30.4%	4	0.5%	403	49.4%	274	2.4%	111	1.0%	662	5.8%	12	0.1%	118	1.0%	1,177	10.2%	359	2.9%	152	1.2%	907	7.4%	16	0.1%	146	1.2%	1,580	12.8%				
Total	197	24.4%	97	12.0%	458	56.8%	6	0.7%	807	99.3%	2,380	20.9%	1,041	9.1%	6,382	56.0%	150	1.3%	1,445	12.7%	11,398	98.7%	10,844	16.0%	4,266	6.3%	6,840	56.0%	156	1.8%	13,157	19.4%	33,665	47.8%					
September Competition Recipients	CCC	2,577	3.2%	1,138	1.4%	6,840	8.5%	156	1.4%	10,601	13.5%	2,577	3.2%	1,138	1.4%	6,840	8.5%	156	1.4%	10,601	13.5%	2,577	3.2%	1,138	1.4%	6,840	8.5%	156	1.4%	6,840	8.5%	156	1.4%	10,601	13.5%				
	UC	20,990	26.2%	7,212	9.0%	6,805	8.5%	2,588	22.3%	30,335	37.9%	20,990	26.2%	7,212	9.0%	6,805	8.5%	2,588	22.3%	30,335	37.9%	20,990	26.2%	7,212	9.0%	6,805	8.5%	2,588	22.3%	30,335	37.9%	67,930	81.5%						
	CSU	23,567	29.4%	8,350	10.4%	13,645	17.0%	2,744	3.4%	31,829	39.7%	23,567	29.4%	8,350	10.4%	13,645	17.0%	2,744	3.4%	31,829	39.7%	23,567	29.4%	8,350	10.4%	13,645	17.0%	2,744	3.4%	31,829	39.7%	80,135	96.6%						
	ICU	2,795	23.9%	1,271	10.9%	6,521	55.9%	112	1.0%	913	8.0%	2,795	23.9%	1,271	10.9%	6,521	55.9%	112	1.0%	913	8.0%	2,795	23.9%	1,271	10.9%	6,521	55.9%	112	1.0%	913	7.8%	11,612	98.5%						
	PCC	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%				
Total	58	18.7%	62	20.0%	185	59.7%	1	0.3%	306	98.4%	2,737	24.1%	1,209	10.6%	6,336	55.8%	111	1.0%	913	8.0%	11,306	98.5%	2,795	23.9%	1,271	10.9%	6,521	55.9%	112	1.0%	913	7.8%	11,612	98.5%					
September Competition Eligible Non-Recipients	CCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	UC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
	CSU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
	ICU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
	PCC	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
Total	59	19.0%	62	20.0%	188	60.6%	1	0.3%	310	99.7%	2,751	24.2%	1,214	10.7%	6,364	56.0%	114	1.0%	920	8.1%	11,363	99.0%	13,283	33.5%	5,547	14.0%	6,552	56.1%	115	1.0%	920	7.9%	11,673	99.0%					
Total	CCC	2,810	6.5%	1,276	2.5%	6,552	12.8%	115	0.2%	920	1.8%	11,363	22.5%	13,283	33.5%	5,547	14.0%	6,552	12.8%	115	0.2%	920	1.8%	11,673	22.5%	13,283	33.5%	5,547	14.0%	6,552	12.8%	115	0.2%	920	1.8%				
	UC	20,990	26.2%	7,212	9.0%	6,805	8.5%	2,588	22.3%	30,335	37.9%	20,990	26.2%	7,212	9.0%	6,805	8.5%	2,588	22.3%	30,335	37.9%	20,990	26.2%	7,212	9.0%	6,805	8.5%	2,588	22.3%	30,335	37.9%	67,930	81.5%						
	CSU	23,567	29.4%	8,350	10.4%	13,645	17.0%	2,744	3.4%	31,829	39.7%	23,567	29.4%	8,350	10.4%	13,645	17.0%	2,744	3.4%	31,829	39.7%	23,567	29.4%	8,350	10.4%	13,645	17.0%	2,744	3.4%	31,829	39.7%	80,135	96.6%						
	ICU	2,795	23.9%	1,271	10.9%	6,521	55.9%	112	1.0%	913	8.0%	2,795	23.9%	1,271	10.9%	6,521	55.9%	112	1.0%	913	8.0%	2,795	23.9%	1,271	10.9%	6,521	55.9%	112	1.0%	913	7.8%	11,612	98.5%						
	PCC	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%				
Total	16,103	31.4%	6,823	13.9%	12,310	24.0%	1,599	3.1%	14,494	28.2%	16,103	31.4%	6,823	13.9%	12,310	24.0%	1,599	3.1%	14,494	28.2%	16,103	31.4%	6,823	13.9%	12,310	24.0%	1,599	3.1%	14,494	28.2%	16,103	31.4%							

TABLE 1 - AWARD YEAR 2003-04 - SEGMENT ALL (HIGH SCHOOL ENTITLEMENT AND COMPETITIVE) RECIPIENTS AND COMPETITIVE ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS BY PROGRAM, DEPENDENCY STATUS, AND SEGMENT December 31, 2003

Segment	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All														
	Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total						
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
High School Entitlement Recipients	CCC	2,781	15.1%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	2,786	15.1%	17,868	42.6%	113	0.3%	480	1.1%	49	0.1%	983	2.3%	19,493	46.4%	20,649	34.2%	117	0.2%	480	0.8%	49	0.1%	984	1.6%	22,279	36.8%				
	UC	5,109	27.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5,109	27.7%	7,400	17.6%	3	0.0%	30	0.1%	5	0.0%	130	0.3%	7,568	18.0%	12,509	20.7%	3	0.0%	30	0.0%	5	0.0%	130	0.2%	12,677	21.0%				
	CSU	4,724	25.7%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,726	25.7%	11,196	26.7%	28	0.1%	107	0.3%	24	0.1%	475	1.1%	11,830	28.1%	15,920	26.4%	29	0.0%	108	0.2%	24	0.0%	475	0.8%	16,556	27.4%				
	ICU	4,817	26.2%	4	0.0%	9	0.0%	5	0.0%	4,917	26.7%	1,132	2.7%	11	0.0%	37	0.1%	4	0.0%	94	0.2%	1,278	3.0%	5,949	9.9%	15	0.0%	46	0.1%	9	0.0%	176	0.3%	6,195	10.2%				
	PCC	821	4.5%	4	0.0%	16	0.1%	2	0.0%	873	4.7%	1,525	3.6%	1,525	3.6%	20	0.0%	99	0.2%	8	0.0%	127	0.3%	2,346	3.9%	24	0.0%	115	0.2%	10	0.0%	157	0.3%	2,852	4.4%				
Total	18,252	99.1%	13	0.1%	26	0.1%	7	0.0%	18,411	100.0%	39,121	93.3%	39,121	93.3%	175	0.4%	753	1.8%	90	0.2%	1,809	4.3%	41,948	99.8%	57,373	95.1%	188	0.3%	779	1.3%	97	0.2%	1,922	3.2%	60,359	99.8%			
March Competition	CCC	13	1.4%	25	2.7%	88	9.5%	0	0.0%	127	13.7%	844	8.0%	742	7.0%	3,269	30.9%	96	0.9%	725	6.9%	5,676	52.8%	857	7.5%	767	6.7%	3,357	29.2%	96	0.8%	726	6.3%	5,803	49.6%				
	UC	20	2.2%	0	0.0%	6	0.6%	0	0.0%	26	2.8%	345	3.3%	17	0.2%	183	1.7%	17	0.2%	119	1.1%	681	6.3%	365	3.2%	17	0.1%	189	1.6%	17	0.1%	119	1.0%	707	6.0%				
	CSU	14	1.5%	3	0.3%	55	5.9%	0	0.0%	72	7.7%	459	4.3%	108	1.0%	1,048	9.9%	14	0.1%	211	2.0%	1,840	17.3%	473	4.1%	111	1.0%	1,103	9.6%	14	0.1%	211	1.8%	1,912	16.5%				
	ICU	36	3.9%	30	3.2%	108	11.6%	3	0.3%	196	20.8%	215	2.0%	62	0.6%	518	4.9%	5	0.0%	103	1.0%	903	8.5%	251	2.2%	92	0.8%	626	5.4%	8	0.1%	122	1.1%	1,099	9.5%				
	PCC	78	8.4%	77	8.3%	304	32.7%	3	0.3%	47	5.1%	230	2.2%	114	1.1%	949	9.0%	8	0.1%	168	1.6%	1,469	13.8%	308	2.7%	191	1.7%	1,253	10.9%	11	0.1%	215	1.9%	1,978	17.1%				
Total	161	17.3%	135	14.5%	561	60.3%	6	0.6%	67	7.2%	930	99.4%	2,093	19.8%	1,043	9.9%	5,967	56.5%	140	1.3%	1,326	12.5%	10,569	98.7%	2,254	19.6%	1,178	10.2%	6,528	56.8%	146	1.3%	1,393	12.1%	11,499	98.7%			
September Competition	CCC	2,254	28.6%	1,178	14.4%	4,600	66.6%	4,307	61.1%	1,389	20.0%	13,613	19.4%	10,114	14.4%	4,600	66.6%	4,307	61.1%	1,389	20.0%	13,613	19.4%	20,024	24.6%	7,971	9.8%	7,126	8.7%	2,894	26.9%	32,028	39.3%	70,043	82.3%				
	UC	2,278	27.3%	9,149	11.2%	13,654	16.7%	3,040	3.7%	33,421	41.0%	2,417	22.2%	1,132	10.4%	6,240	57.3%	131	1.2%	838	7.7%	10,758	97.6%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	7	0.1%						
	CSU	20	0.2%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	23	0.2%	20	0.2%	2	0.0%	39	0.4%	2	0.0%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	20	0.2%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	4	0.0%	67	0.6%						
	ICU	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	0.2%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32	0.3%						
	PCC	2,447	22.5%	1,136	10.4%	6,328	58.1%	133	1.2%	848	7.8%	14,923	34.1%	6,444	14.0%	6,414	14.7%	1,644	3.8%	14,643	35.5%	43,768	98.2%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%						
Total	14,924	34.1%	6,144	14.0%	6,414	14.7%	1,644	3.8%	14,643	35.5%	43,768	98.2%	2,447	22.5%	1,136	10.4%	6,328	58.1%	133	1.2%	848	7.8%	10,892	97.7%	14,924	27.3%	6,144	11.2%	6,414	11.7%	1,644	3.0%	14,643	26.8%	43,769	77.1%			
Total	17,371	31.8%	7,280	13.3%	12,742	23.3%	1,777	3.3%	15,491	28.3%	54,661	96.7%	17,371	31.8%	7,280	13.3%	12,742	23.3%	1,777	3.3%	15,491	28.3%	54,661	96.7%	17,371	31.8%	7,280	13.3%	12,742	23.3%	1,777	3.3%	15,491	28.3%	54,661	96.7%			

TABLE 1 - AWARD YEAR 2004-05 - SEGMENT ALL (HIGH SCHOOL ENTITLEMENT AND MARCH COMPETITIVE) RECIPIENTS AND COMPETITIVE ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS BY PROGRAM, DEPENDENCY STATUS, AND SEGMENT December 31, 2004

Segment	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All																																																																																																																																							
	Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total																																																																																																																															
	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%	#	%	Married	%																																																																																																																								
High School Entitlements	3,071	16.3%	7	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	3,080	16.3%	19,699	44.4%	113	0.3%	554	1.2%	1,234	2.8%	21,654	48.7%	22,770	36.0%	120	0.3%	555	0.9%	54	0.1%	1,235	2.0%	24,734	39.0%																																																																																																																														
UC	4,810	25.5%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,812	25.5%	6,966	15.7%	2	0.0%	32	0.1%	119	0.3%	7,127	16.1%	11,776	18.6%	4	0.0%	32	0.1%	8	0.0%	119	0.2%	11,939	18.9%																																																																																																																														
UCSU	4,997	26.4%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4,999	26.5%	11,350	25.6%	23	0.1%	125	0.3%	16	0.0%	449	1.0%	11,963	27.0%	16,347	25.9%	24	0.0%	126	0.2%	16	0.0%	449	0.7%	16,962	26.8%																																																																																																																												
ICU	4,984	26.4%	7	0.0%	17	0.1%	3	0.0%	83	0.4%	5,084	26.9%	1,224	2.8%	13	0.0%	50	0.1%	6	0.0%	108	0.2%	1,401	3.1%	6,208	9.8%	20	0.0%	67	0.1%	9	0.0%	191	0.3%	6,495	10.3%																																																																																																																												
PCC	863	4.6%	7	0.0%	13	0.1%	1	0.0%	29	0.2%	913	4.8%	1,904	4.3%	23	0.1%	104	0.2%	12	0.0%	133	0.3%	2,176	4.8%	2,767	4.4%	30	0.0%	117	0.2%	13	0.0%	262	0.4%	3,089	4.9%																																																																																																																												
Total	18,725	99.1%	24	0.1%	32	0.2%	4	0.0%	113	0.6%	19,888	100.0%	41,143	92.8%	174	0.4%	865	2.0%	96	0.2%	2,043	4.6%	44,321	99.8%	59,868	94.7%	198	0.3%	897	1.4%	100	0.2%	2,156	3.4%	63,219	99.8%																																																																																																																												
CCC	28	2.9%	36	3.7%	113	11.6%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	179	18.4%	868	8.3%	701	6.7%	3,310	31.7%	62	0.6%	643	6.1%	5,564	52.8%	896	7.8%	737	6.4%	3,423	29.9%	62	0.5%	645	5.6%	5,763	49.9%																																																																																																																												
UC	24	2.5%	1	0.1%	12	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37	3.8%	297	2.8%	27	0.3%	144	1.4%	8	0.1%	117	1.1%	593	5.6%	321	2.8%	28	0.2%	156	1.4%	8	0.1%	117	1.0%	630	5.4%																																																																																																																												
UCSU	15	1.5%	2	0.2%	45	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	62	6.4%	468	4.5%	96	0.9%	946	9.0%	13	0.1%	188	1.8%	1,711	16.2%	483	4.2%	98	0.9%	991	8.7%	13	0.1%	188	1.6%	1,773	15.4%																																																																																																																												
ICU	31	3.2%	24	2.5%	120	12.3%	2	0.2%	19	2.0%	196	20.0%	197	1.9%	57	0.5%	522	5.0%	8	0.1%	106	1.0%	890	8.4%	228	2.0%	81	0.7%	642	5.6%	10	0.1%	220	1.9%	1,096	9.4%																																																																																																																												
PCC	79	8.1%	55	5.7%	314	32.3%	5	0.5%	45	4.6%	498	50.7%	298	2.8%	141	1.3%	1,052	10.1%	14	0.1%	175	1.7%	1,680	15.9%	377	3.3%	196	1.7%	1,366	12.0%	19	0.2%	225	1.9%	2,178	18.9%																																																																																																																												
Total	177	18.2%	118	12.1%	604	62.1%	7	0.7%	66	6.8%	972	99.3%	2,128	20.3%	1,022	9.8%	5,974	57.1%	105	1.0%	1,229	11.8%	10,458	99.0%	2,305	20.2%	1,140	10.0%	6,578	57.6%	112	1.0%	1,295	11.3%	11,430	99.0%																																																																																																																												
March Competition	10,281	14.4%	4,987	7.0%	4,563	6.4%	1,529	2.1%	14,648	20.5%	36,008	48.4%	2,693	3.8%	213	0.3%	146	0.2%	299	0.4%	3,360	4.7%	6,711	9.0%	3,761	5.3%	1,716	2.4%	1,558	2.2%	796	1.1%	11,371	15.9%	19,202	25.8%	1,120	1.6%	489	0.7%	380	0.5%	185	0.3%	2,258	3.2%	4,432	6.0%	908	1.3%	739	1.0%	669	0.9%	187	0.3%	2,442	3.4%	4,945	6.7%	18,763	26.3%	8,144	11.4%	7,316	10.3%	2,996	4.2%	34,079	47.8%	71,288	95.8%																																																																																								
Recipients	10,281	11.3%	1,140	1.3%	6,578	7.3%	112	0.1%	1,295	1.4%	19,406	21.3%	18,763	20.7%	8,144	9.0%	7,316	8.1%	2,996	26.6%	34,079	37.6%	71,298	75.3%	29,044	32.0%	9,284	10.2%	13,894	15.3%	3,108	3.4%	35,374	39.0%	90,704	96.6%	2,719	23.7%	1,249	10.9%	6,277	54.7%	139	1.2%	899	7.8%	11,263	97.1%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	2	0.0%	9	0.0%	11	0.1%	33	0.3%	7	0.1%	58	0.5%	3	0.0%	9	0.1%	110	0.9%	8	0.1%	4	0.0%	28	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	41	0.4%	8	0.1%	1	0.0%	16	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	27	0.2%	2,773	24.2%	1,261	11.0%	6,382	55.6%	144	1.3%	912	7.9%	11,472	98.7%	15,295	33.3%	6,446	14.0%	6,558	14.3%	1,819	4.0%	15,800	34.4%	45,918	96.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
September Competition	2,773	4.8%	1,261	2.2%	6,382	11.1%	144	0.3%	912	1.6%	11,472	19.7%	15,295	26.7%	6,446	11.2%	6,558	11.4%	1,819	3.2%	15,800	27.5%	45,918	76.8%	18,068	31.5%	7,707	13.4%	12,940	22.5%	1,963	3.4%	16,712	28.1%	57,390	96.6%																																																																																																																												

TABLE 1 - AWARD YEAR 2005-06 - SEGMENT
 ALL (HIGH SCHOOL ENTITLEMENT AND MARCH COMPETITIVE) RECIPIENTS AND COMPETITIVE ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, DEPENDENCY STATUS, AND SEGMENT
 January 3, 2006

Segment	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All																			
	Dependents			With Dependents			Independents			Total			Dependents			With Dependents			Independents			Total			Dependents			With Dependents			Independents			Total										
	#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%
High School Entitlement Recipients	CCC	2,923	15.6%	6	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,929	15.6%	20,415	43.8%	89	0.2%	656	1.4%	54	0.1%	1,285	2.8%	22,499	48.2%	23,338	35.7%	95	0.1%	656	1.0%	54	0.1%	1,285	2.0%	25,428	38.5%									
	UC	5,205	27.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5,205	27.7%	7,258	15.6%	1	0.0%	41	0.1%	2	0.0%	123	0.3%	7,425	15.9%	12,463	19.1%	1	0.0%	41	0.1%	2	0.0%	123	0.2%	12,630	19.3%									
	CSU	5,098	27.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5,098	27.1%	12,381	26.8%	19	0.0%	154	0.3%	16	0.0%	512	1.1%	13,082	28.1%	17,479	26.7%	19	0.0%	154	0.2%	16	0.0%	512	0.8%	18,180	27.6%									
	ICU	4,577	24.3%	9	0.0%	14	0.1%	5	0.0%	4,674	24.8%	1,128	2.4%	11	0.0%	52	0.1%	9	0.0%	105	0.2%	1,305	2.8%	5,705	8.7%	20	0.0%	66	0.1%	14	0.0%	174	0.3%	5,979	9.1%									
	PCC	839	4.5%	13	0.1%	17	0.1%	3	0.0%	905	4.8%	1,979	4.2%	19	0.0%	126	0.3%	13	0.0%	122	0.3%	2,259	4.8%	61,803	94.5%	32	0.0%	143	0.2%	16	0.0%	155	0.2%	3,164	4.8%									
Total	18,642	99.1%	28	0.1%	31	0.2%	8	0.0%	18,811	100.0%	43,161	92.7%	139	0.3%	1,029	2.2%	94	0.2%	2,147	4.6%	46,570	99.8%	61,803	94.5%	167	0.3%	1,060	1.6%	102	0.2%	2,249	3.4%	65,381	99.8%										
March Competition	CCC	28	2.6%	35	3.3%	114	10.7%	0	0.0%	178	16.7%	852	8.2%	629	6.0%	3,092	29.7%	104	1.0%	719	6.9%	5,396	50.8%	880	7.7%	664	6.4%	3,206	27.9%	104	0.9%	720	6.3%	5,574	47.7%									
	UC	17	1.6%	0	0.0%	12	1.1%	0	0.0%	29	2.7%	220	2.1%	18	0.2%	153	1.5%	10	0.1%	120	1.2%	521	4.9%	237	2.1%	18	0.2%	165	1.4%	10	0.1%	120	1.0%	550	4.7%									
	CSU	13	1.2%	10	0.9%	53	5.0%	0	0.0%	76	7.1%	348	3.3%	115	1.1%	996	9.6%	21	0.2%	187	1.8%	1,667	15.8%	361	3.1%	125	1.1%	1,049	9.1%	21	0.2%	187	1.6%	1,743	15.0%									
	ICU	39	3.7%	19	1.8%	121	11.3%	0	0.0%	209	19.6%	142	1.4%	60	0.6%	565	5.4%	9	0.1%	110	1.1%	866	8.4%	181	1.6%	79	0.7%	686	6.0%	9	0.1%	140	1.2%	1,095	9.5%									
	PCC	87	8.2%	64	6.0%	367	34.4%	3	0.3%	575	53.6%	328	3.2%	161	1.5%	1,229	11.8%	15	0.1%	205	2.0%	1,938	18.5%	415	3.6%	225	2.0%	1,996	13.9%	18	0.2%	259	2.3%	2,513	21.7%									
Total	184	17.2%	128	12.0%	667	62.5%	3	0.3%	1,067	98.7%	1,890	18.2%	983	9.4%	6,035	58.0%	159	1.5%	1,341	12.9%	10,408	98.5%	8,208	12.9%	4,057	3.8%	6,702	6.4%	162	1.4%	1,426	12.4%	13,504	12.4%										
September Competition	CCC	75	15.8%	93	19.6%	263	59.6%	0	0.0%	452	95.2%	2,816	24.0%	1,164	9.9%	6,340	54.1%	141	1.2%	1,108	9.5%	11,569	97.6%	2,897	23.7%	1,257	10.3%	6,623	54.3%	141	1.2%	1,109	1.5%	12,021	89.9%									
	UC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	4	0.0%	2	0.0%	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	15	0.1%	4	0.0%	2	0.0%	7	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.0%	16	0.1%									
	CSU	3	0.6%	0	0.0%	9	1.9%	0	0.0%	12	2.5%	21	0.2%	6	0.1%	47	0.4%	0	0.0%	8	0.1%	82	0.7%	24	0.2%	6	0.0%	56	0.5%	0	0.0%	8	0.1%	94	0.8%									
	ICU	0	0.0%	3	0.6%	6	1.3%	0	0.0%	9	1.9%	4	0.0%	1	0.0%	19	0.2%	1	0.0%	3	0.0%	28	0.2%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	25	0.2%	1	0.0%	3	0.0%	37	0.3%									
	PCC	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	3	0.0%	2	0.0%	11	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	18	0.2%	4	0.0%	2	0.0%	11	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	19	0.2%									
Total	79	16.6%	96	20.2%	299	62.9%	0	0.0%	475	100.0%	2,848	24.3%	1,175	10.0%	6,423	54.8%	142	1.2%	1,124	9.6%	11,712	98.8%	2,927	24.0%	1,271	10.4%	6,722	55.2%	142	1.2%	1,125	9.2%	12,187	91.2%										
Eligible Non-Recipients	CCC	16,228	33.3%	6,659	13.7%	6,637	13.6%	2,076	4.3%	16,228	33.3%	6,659	13.7%	6,637	13.6%	2,076	4.3%	17,339	35.2%	48,739	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
	UC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
	CSU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
	ICU	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
	PCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
Total	16,228	33.3%	6,659	13.7%	6,637	13.6%	2,076	4.3%	16,228	33.3%	6,659	13.7%	6,637	13.6%	2,076	4.3%	17,339	35.2%	48,739	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%								
Eligible Non-Recipients	CCC	2,927	4.8%	1,271	10.9%	6,637	11.0%	142	0.2%	10,977	27.0%	16,228	14.0%	6,659	5.7%	17,140	14.7%	1,225	1.0%	12,187	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
	UC	16,228	26.6%	6,659	10.9%	6,637	10.9%	2,076	3.4%	17,140	28.1%	48,741	76.6%	19,156	31.4%	7,930	13.0%	13,359	21.9%	18,265	30.0%	60,928	96.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%							
	CSU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
	ICU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
	PCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%									
Total	16,228	33.3%	6,659	13.7%	6,637	13.6%	2,076	4.3%	16,228	33.3%	6,659	13.7%	6,637	13.6%	2,076	4.3%	17,339	35.2%	48,739	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%								

TABLE 1 - AWARD YEAR 2007-08 - SEGMENT
 ALL (HIGH SCHOOL ENTITLEMENT AND MARCH COMPETITIVE) RECIPIENTS AND COMPETITIVE ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, DEPENDENCY STATUS, AND SEGMENT
 September 21, 2007

Segment	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All											
	Dependents			Independents			Total			Dependents			Independents			Total			Dependents			Independents			Total											
	#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%		#	%										
High School Entitlement Recipients	CCC	2,613	13.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,613	13.7%	17,586	36.1%	72	0.2%	653	1.4%	37	0.1%	1,054	2.4%	19,442	42.1%	20,199	31.0%	74	0.1%	653	1.0%	37	0.1%	1,054	1.7%	22,057	33.8%			
	UC	5,979	31.3%	0	0.0%	5,979	31.3%	8,912	19.3%	0	0.0%	59	0.1%	5	0.0%	150	0.3%	9,126	19.8%	14,891	22.8%	14,891	22.8%	0	0.0%	59	0.1%	5	0.0%	150	0.2%	15,005	23.2%			
	CSU	5,247	27.5%	0	0.0%	5,247	27.5%	13,632	29.6%	0	0.0%	11	0.0%	212	0.5%	11	0.0%	561	1.2%	14,427	31.3%	18,879	29.0%	11	0.0%	212	0.3%	11	0.0%	561	0.9%	19,674	30.2%			
	ICU	4,364	22.9%	1	0.0%	4,470	23.4%	1,118	2.4%	87	0.2%	1,118	2.4%	40	0.1%	63	0.1%	1,241	2.7%	5,482	8.4%	5,482	8.4%	12	0.0%	58	0.1%	9	0.0%	150	0.2%	5,711	8.7%			
	PCC	736	3.9%	2	0.0%	772	4.0%	1,632	3.5%	15	0.0%	102	0.2%	102	0.2%	1883	4.1%	1,883	4.1%	2,368	3.6%	2,368	3.6%	17	0.0%	142	0.2%	9	0.0%	119	0.2%	2,655	4.1%			
Total	18,939	99.2%	5	0.0%	19,083	100.0%	42,880	93.0%	109	0.2%	1,091	2.4%	69	0.1%	1,970	4.3%	46,119	99.9%	61,819	94.8%	114	0.2%	1,124	1.7%	71	0.1%	2,074	3.2%	65,202	99.9%						
March Competition	CCC	17	1.4%	44	3.5%	130	10.4%	2	0.2%	183	15.4%	1,101	9.6%	721	6.3%	3,135	27.4%	110	1.0%	864	7.5%	5,931	50.8%	1,118	8.8%	765	6.0%	3,265	25.7%	110	0.9%	866	6.5%	6,124	47.3%	
	UC	9	0.7%	0	0.0%	14	1.1%	258	2.3%	31	0.3%	146	1.3%	14	0.1%	143	1.2%	592	5.0%	267	2.1%	31	0.2%	160	1.3%	14	0.1%	143	1.1%	143	1.1%	615	4.7%			
	CSU	13	1.0%	6	0.5%	60	4.8%	0	0.0%	79	6.3%	268	2.6%	131	1.1%	888	8.6%	24	0.2%	237	2.1%	1,676	14.4%	309	2.4%	137	1.1%	1,048	8.2%	24	0.2%	237	1.9%	1,755	13.6%	
	ICU	32	2.6%	25	2.0%	93	7.4%	0	0.0%	161	12.9%	149	1.3%	65	0.6%	505	4.4%	10	0.1%	102	0.9%	831	7.2%	181	1.4%	90	0.7%	598	4.7%	10	0.1%	113	0.9%	992	7.7%	
	PCC	100	8.0%	91	7.3%	516	41.2%	10	0.8%	79	6.3%	377	3.3%	200	1.7%	1,578	13.8%	22	0.2%	249	2.2%	2,426	21.0%	477	3.8%	291	2.3%	2,094	16.5%	32	0.3%	328	2.6%	3,222	25.1%	
Total	171	13.7%	166	13.3%	813	64.9%	10	0.8%	92	7.3%	1,252	99.2%	180	1.6%	1,595	13.9%	11,456	98.4%	2,352	18.5%	1,314	10.3%	7,165	56.4%	190	1.5%	1,687	13.3%	12,708	98.5%						
Eligible Non-Recipients	CCC	2,800	5.5%	241	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	2,41	0.5%	
	UC	3,266	6.4%	1,861	3.6%	1,311	2.6%	689	1.3%	9,936	19.4%	17,063	32.0%	1,064	2.1%	1,160	2.3%	928	1.8%	2,222	4.4%	4,817	9.4%	1,976	3.1%	24,533	38.4%	51,099	77.0%							
	CSU	920	1.8%	641	1.3%	440	0.9%	151	0.3%	1,742	3.4%	3,994	7.3%	1,064	2.1%	1,160	2.3%	928	1.8%	2,222	4.4%	4,817	9.4%	1,976	3.1%	24,533	38.4%	51,099	77.0%							
	ICU	1,064	2.1%	1,160	2.3%	928	1.8%	2,222	4.4%	4,817	9.4%	1,976	3.1%	24,533	38.4%	51,099	77.0%	15,771	24.7%	7,668	12.0%	11,982	18.8%	2,166	3.4%	26,220	41.1%									
	PCC	13,419	26.3%	6,354	12.4%	1,314	2.1%	7,165	11.2%	190	0.3%	1,687	2.5%	12,708	19.6%	15,771	24.7%	7,668	12.0%	11,982	18.8%	2,166	3.4%	26,220	41.1%											
Total	15,771	24.7%	7,668	12.0%	11,982	18.8%	2,166	3.4%	26,220	41.1%																										

Selection Criteria Workgroup

TABLE 2 - ALL SEGMENTS
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2001-02	Cal Grant A recipients	889	237	1,126
	Average income	\$32,285	\$37,669	\$33,419
	Average GPA	3.52	3.59	3.54
	Average family size	4.0	3.5	3.9
	Average age	24	31	25
	Cal Grant B recipients	10,348	11,026	21,374
	Average income	\$14,868	\$13,619	\$14,224
	Average GPA	3.16	3.14	3.15
	Average family size	3.8	3.3	3.6
	Average age	26	28	27
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	11,237	11,263	22,500
	Average income	\$16,246	\$14,125	\$15,184
	Average GPA	3.19	3.14	3.17
	Average family size	3.8	3.4	3.6
Average age	25	28	27	
Eligible Non-recipients			76,032	
Average income			\$17,289	
Average GPA			2.89	
Average family size			2.7	
Average age			27	
2002-03	Cal Grant A recipients	807	310	1,117
	Average income	\$26,005	\$36,513	\$28,921
	Average GPA	3.58	3.61	3.59
	Average family size	3.0	3.3	3.1
	Average age	31	33	32
	Cal Grant B recipients	11,398	11,363	22,761
	Average income	\$12,156	\$13,562	\$12,858
	Average GPA	3.29	3.26	3.28
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
	Average age	31	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	12,205	11,673	23,878
	Average income	\$13,072	\$14,172	\$13,610
	Average GPA	3.31	3.27	3.29
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
Average age	31	31	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			107,586	
Average income			\$17,268	
Average GPA			2.91	
Average family size			2.6	
Average age			27	
2003-04	Cal Grant A recipients	930	305	1,235
	Average income	\$26,235	\$35,512	\$28,526
	Average GPA	3.58	3.63	3.59
	Average family size	3.0	3.1	3.1
	Average age	32	34	33
	Cal Grant B recipients	10,569	10,587	21,156
	Average income	\$12,405	\$13,838	\$13,122
	Average GPA	3.28	3.29	3.28
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	3.0
	Average age	31	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	11,499	10,892	22,391
	Average income	\$13,524	\$14,445	\$13,972
	Average GPA	3.30	3.30	3.30
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
Average age	31	30	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			113,812	
Average income			\$17,413	
Average GPA			2.92	
Average family size			2.6	
Average age			27	

TABLE 2 - ALL SEGMENTS
 NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
 AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2004-05	Cal Grant A recipients	972	391	1,363
	Average income	\$28,112	\$36,327	\$30,468
	Average GPA	3.59	3.60	3.59
	Average family size	3.0	3.1	3.0
	Average age	32	34	32
	Cal Grant B recipients	10,458	11,081	21,539
	Average income	\$12,684	\$13,796	\$13,256
	Average GPA	3.27	3.26	3.27
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
	Average age	31	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	11,430	11,472	22,902
	Average income	\$13,996	\$14,564	\$14,280
	Average GPA	3.30	3.27	3.29
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
Average age	31	31	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			117,216	
Average income			\$16,862	
Average GPA			2.91	
Average family size			2.6	
Average age			27	
2005-06	Cal Grant A recipients	1,067	475	1,542
	Average income	\$27,877	\$38,438	\$31,130
	Average GPA	3.57	3.61	3.58
	Average family size	3.0	3.3	0.0
	Average age	32	34	32
	Cal Grant B recipients	10,408	11,712	22,120
	Average income	\$13,275	\$13,929	\$13,622
	Average GPA	3.26	3.27	3.27
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	0.0
	Average age	31	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	11,475	12,187	23,662
	Average income	\$14,633	\$14,884	\$14,762
	Average GPA	3.29	3.28	3.29
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	0.0
Average age	31	30	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			112,238	
Average income			\$16,551	
Average GPA			2.98	
Average family size			2.2	
Average age			28	
2006-07	Cal Grant A recipients	1,193	518	1,711
	Average income	\$31,254	\$40,170	\$33,953
	Average GPA	3.56	3.58	3.56
	Average family size	3.0	3.1	3.1
	Average age	32	33	32
	Cal Grant B recipients	11,481	12,189	23,670
	Average income	\$13,873	\$14,744	\$14,322
	Average GPA	3.26	3.24	3.25
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	3.0
	Average age	31	29	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	12,674	12,707	25,381
	Average income	\$15,509	\$15,781	\$15,645
	Average GPA	3.29	3.25	3.27
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	3.0
Average age	31	30	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			114,100	
Average income			\$18,659	
Average GPA			2.91	
Average family size			2.5	
Average age			27	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2001-02	Cal Grant A recipients	96	236	332
	Average income	\$38,205	\$37,632	\$37,797
	Average GPA	3.51	3.59	3.57
	Average family size	4.1	3.5	3.6
	Average age	26	31	30
	Cal Grant B recipients	3,939	11,010	14,949
	Average income	\$13,742	\$13,623	\$13,654
	Average GPA	3.14	3.14	3.14
	Average family size	3.8	3.3	3.5
	Average age	27	28	28
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	4,035	11,246	15,281
	Average income	\$14,324	\$14,126	\$14,178
	Average GPA	3.15	3.14	3.15
	Average family size	3.8	3.4	3.5
Average age	27	28	28	
Eligible Non-recipients			53,884	
Average income			\$16,570	
Average GPA			2.85	
Average family size			2.8	
Average age			28	
2002-03	Cal Grant A recipients	120	306	426
	Average income	\$35,996	\$36,596	\$36,427
	Average GPA	3.60	3.61	3.61
	Average family size	3.4	3.3	3.3
	Average age	36	33	34
	Cal Grant B recipients	6,035	11,306	17,341
	Average income	\$11,720	\$13,563	\$12,922
	Average GPA	3.25	3.26	3.25
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
	Average age	33	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	6,155	11,612	17,767
	Average income	\$12,193	\$14,170	\$13,485
	Average GPA	3.25	3.27	3.26
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
Average age	33	31	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			73,320	
Average income			\$17,101	
Average GPA			2.85	
Average family size			2.8	
Average age			27	
2003-04	Cal Grant A recipients	127	288	415
	Average income	\$36,628	\$36,114	\$36,271
	Average GPA	3.58	3.64	3.62
	Average family size	3.2	3.1	3.2
	Average age	35	34	34
	Cal Grant B recipients	5,676	10,470	16,146
	Average income	\$11,974	\$13,836	\$13,182
	Average GPA	3.23	3.29	3.27
	Average family size	3.0	3.1	3.0
	Average age	33	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	5,803	10,758	16,561
	Average income	\$12,514	\$14,432	\$13,760
	Average GPA	3.24	3.30	3.28
	Average family size	3.0	3.1	3.0
Average age	33	30	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			77,791	
Average income			\$17,365	
Average GPA			2.86	
Average family size			2.8	
Average age			28	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2004-05	Cal Grant A recipients	179	370	549
	Average income	\$38,656	\$36,897	\$37,470
	Average GPA	3.63	3.59	3.61
	Average family size	3.3	3.2	3.2
	Average age	35	34	34
	Cal Grant B recipients	5,584	10,913	16,497
	Average income	\$12,070	\$13,782	\$13,203
	Average GPA	3.22	3.26	3.25
	Average family size	3.0	3.1	3.0
	Average age	32	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	5,763	11,283	17,046
	Average income	\$12,895	\$14,540	\$13,984
	Average GPA	3.23	3.27	3.26
	Average family size	3.0	3.1	3.0
Average age	32	31	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			81,926	
Average income			\$17,424	
Average GPA			2.85	
Average family size			2.7	
Average age			28	
2005-06	Cal Grant A recipients	178	452	630
	Average income	\$37,630	\$38,457	\$38,224
	Average GPA	3.56	3.61	3.60
	Average family size	3.1	3.3	3.2
	Average age	35	34	34
	Cal Grant B recipients	5,396	11,569	16,965
	Average income	\$12,749	\$13,946	\$13,565
	Average GPA	3.21	3.27	3.25
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	3.0
	Average age	32	30	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	5,574	12,021	17,595
	Average income	\$13,543	\$14,867	\$14,448
	Average GPA	3.22	3.28	3.26
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	3.0
Average age	32	30	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			79,298	
Average income			\$18,098	
Average GPA			2.85	
Average family size			2.7	
Average age			27	
2006-07	Cal Grant A recipients	199	499	698
	Average income	\$40,858	\$40,260	\$40,430
	Average GPA	3.57	3.57	3.57
	Average family size	3.2	3.1	3.1
	Average age	34	33	33
	Cal Grant B recipients	5,800	11,974	17,774
	Average income	\$13,215	\$14,736	\$14,240
	Average GPA	3.22	3.24	3.23
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	3.0
	Average age	32	29	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	5,999	12,473	18,472
	Average income	\$14,132	\$15,757	\$15,229
	Average GPA	3.23	3.25	3.25
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	3.0
Average age	32	30	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			76,481	
Average income			\$19,129	
Average GPA			2.84	
Average family size			2.7	
Average age			27	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2001-02	Cal Grant A recipients	154	0	154
	Average income	\$42,433		\$42,433
	Average GPA	3.69		3.69
	Average family size	4.2		4.2
	Average age	21		21
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,492	5	1,497
	Average income	\$16,096	\$7,696	\$16,068
	Average GPA	3.41	3.17	3.41
	Average family size	3.8	3.2	3.8
	Average age	22	21	22
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,646	5	1,651
	Average income	\$18,561	\$7,696	\$18,528
	Average GPA	3.44	3.17	3.44
	Average family size	3.9	3.2	3.9
Average age	22	21	22	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,855	
Average income			\$22,739	
Average GPA			3.20	
Average family size			2.7	
Average age			24	
2002-03	Cal Grant A recipients	28	0	28
	Average income	\$35,276		\$35,276
	Average GPA	3.74		3.74
	Average family size	3.0		3.0
	Average age	25		25
	Cal Grant B recipients	768	6	774
	Average income	\$11,141	\$11,344	\$11,142
	Average GPA	3.57	3.82	3.57
	Average family size	2.5	2.2	2.5
	Average age	26	31	26
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	796	6	802
	Average income	\$11,990	\$11,344	\$11,985
	Average GPA	3.58	3.82	3.58
	Average family size	2.6	2.2	2.6
Average age	26	31	26	
Eligible Non-recipients			6,883	
Average income			\$20,188	
Average GPA			3.24	
Average family size			2.6	
Average age			24	
2003-04	Cal Grant A recipients	26	1	27
	Average income	\$36,678	\$7,409	\$35,594
	Average GPA	3.76	3.96	3.77
	Average family size	3.2	2.0	3.1
	Average age	26	28	26
	Cal Grant B recipients	681	6	687
	Average income	\$10,760	\$8,831	\$10,743
	Average GPA	3.53	3.69	3.53
	Average family size	2.7	2.2	2.7
	Average age	26	23	26
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	707	7	714
	Average income	\$11,713	\$8,628	\$11,683
	Average GPA	3.54	3.73	3.54
	Average family size	2.7	2.1	2.7
Average age	26	24	26	
Eligible Non-recipients			7,410	
Average income			\$19,625	
Average GPA			3.26	
Average family size			2.5	
Average age			25	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2- UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2004-05	Cal Grant A recipients	37	0	37
	Average income	\$36,013		\$36,013
	Average GPA	3.70		3.70
	Average family size	2.9		2.9
	Average age	26		26
	Cal Grant B recipients	593	11	604
	Average income	\$11,569	\$9,922	\$11,539
	Average GPA	3.55	3.52	3.55
	Average family size	2.6	2.6	2.6
	Average age	25	26	25
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	630	11	641
	Average income	\$13,004	\$9,922	\$12,951
	Average GPA	3.56	3.52	3.56
	Average family size	2.6	2.6	2.6
Average age	25	26	25	
Eligible Non-recipients			6,711	
Average income			\$16,709	
Average GPA			3.29	
Average family size			2.4	
Average age			25	
2005-06	Cal Grant A recipients	29	1	30
	Average income	\$38,250	\$30,629	\$37,996
	Average GPA	3.66	3.66	3.66
	Average family size	3.1	2.0	3.1
	Average age	28	29	28
	Cal Grant B recipients	521	15	536
	Average income	\$10,958	\$10,583	\$10,948
	Average GPA	3.57	3.52	3.56
	Average family size	2.5	2.7	2.5
	Average age	27	28	27
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	550	16	566
	Average income	\$12,397	\$11,836	\$12,381
	Average GPA	3.57	3.53	3.57
	Average family size	2.6	2.6	2.6
Average age	27	28	27	
Eligible Non-recipients			5,904	
Average income			\$18,696	
Average GPA			3.29	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			25	
2006-07	Cal Grant A recipients	40	3	43
	Average income	\$41,500	\$43,790	\$41,660
	Average GPA	3.71	3.75	3.72
	Average family size	3.2	2.7	3.2
	Average age	23	21	26
	Cal Grant B recipients	660	15	675
	Average income	\$11,162	\$9,495	\$11,125
	Average GPA	3.57	3.54	3.57
	Average family size	2.6	2.8	2.6
	Average age	26	24	25
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	700	18	718
	Average income	\$12,896	\$15,211	\$12,954
	Average GPA	3.58	3.58	3.58
	Average family size	2.7	2.8	2.7
Average age	26	24	26	
Eligible Non-recipients			6,965	
Average income			\$19,825	
Average GPA			3.30	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			25	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2001-02	Cal Grant A recipients	173	1	174
	Average income	\$42,102	\$46,540	\$42,127
	Average GPA	3.47	3.83	3.47
	Average family size	4.4	5.0	4.4
	Average age	24	40	24
	Cal Grant B recipients	3,188	7	3,195
	Average income	\$15,329	\$14,302	\$15,327
	Average GPA	3.12	3.19	3.12
	Average family size	3.8	2.7	3.8
	Average age	25	23	25
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	3,361	8	3,369
	Average income	\$16,707	\$18,332	\$16,711
	Average GPA	3.13	3.27	3.13
	Average family size	3.8	3.0	3.8
Average age	25	26	25	
Eligible Non-recipients			11,077	
Average income			\$16,119	
Average GPA			2.89	
Average family size			2.1	
Average age			27	
2002-03	Cal Grant A recipients	63	2	65
	Average income	\$34,979	\$29,943	\$34,824
	Average GPA	3.62	3.43	3.62
	Average family size	3.1	2.5	3.1
	Average age	34	40	34
	Cal Grant B recipients	2,391	36	2,427
	Average income	\$11,817	\$13,851	\$11,847
	Average GPA	3.30	3.32	3.30
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	2.9
	Average age	30	29	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	2,454	38	2,492
	Average income	\$12,412	\$14,698	\$12,446
	Average GPA	3.31	3.32	3.31
	Average family size	2.9	2.9	2.9
Average age	30	30	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			18,809	
Average income			\$15,395	
Average GPA			2.94	
Average family size			2.2	
Average age			27	
2003-04	Cal Grant A recipients	72	3	75
	Average income	\$35,744	\$35,536	\$35,735
	Average GPA	3.61	3.75	3.61
	Average family size	2.8	2.3	2.8
	Average age	36	30	36
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,840	64	1,904
	Average income	\$12,007	\$13,636	\$12,062
	Average GPA	3.30	3.38	3.30
	Average family size	2.8	2.8	2.8
	Average age	30	28	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,912	67	1,979
	Average income	\$12,901	\$14,617	\$12,959
	Average GPA	3.31	3.39	3.31
	Average family size	2.8	2.7	2.8
Average age	30	28	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			19,842	
Average income			\$15,570	
Average GPA			2.96	
Average family size			2.1	
Average age			27	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2004-05	Cal Grant A recipients	62	3	65
	Average income	\$36,946	\$38,105	\$36,999
	Average GPA	3.55	3.80	3.56
	Average family size	3.0	2.7	3.0
	Average age	35	35	35
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,711	107	1,818
	Average income	\$12,448	\$13,966	\$12,538
	Average GPA	3.30	3.28	3.30
	Average family size	2.9	2.8	2.9
	Average age	29	30	29
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,773	110	1,883
	Average income	\$13,305	\$14,624	\$13,382
	Average GPA	3.31	3.29	3.30
	Average family size	2.9	2.8	2.9
Average age	30	30	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			19,202	
Average income			\$14,155	
Average GPA			2.97	
Average family size			2.0	
Average age			27	
2005-06	Cal Grant A recipients	76	12	88
	Average income	\$37,896	\$40,632	\$38,269
	Average GPA	3.55	3.62	3.56
	Average family size	3.2	3.2	3.2
	Average age	34	31	34
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,667	82	1,749
	Average income	\$12,982	\$13,036	\$12,985
	Average GPA	3.28	3.34	3.29
	Average family size	2.8	2.7	2.8
	Average age	30	30	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,743	94	1,837
	Average income	\$14,069	\$16,559	\$14,196
	Average GPA	3.30	3.37	3.30
	Average family size	2.8	2.7	2.8
Average age	30	30	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			17,932	
Average income			\$14,955	
Average GPA			2.96	
Average family size			1.9	
Average age			28	
2006-07	Cal Grant A recipients	102	5	107
	Average income	\$39,730	\$42,337	\$39,851
	Average GPA	3.56	3.44	3.55
	Average family size	2.8	3.8	2.9
	Average age	33	34	33
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,803	137	1,940
	Average income	\$13,392	\$14,023	\$13,436
	Average GPA	3.27	3.30	3.27
	Average family size	2.8	2.8	2.8
	Average age	30	29	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,905	142	2,047
	Average income	\$14,802	\$15,020	\$14,817
	Average GPA	3.29	3.31	3.29
	Average family size	2.8	2.8	2.8
Average age	30	29	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			20,473	
Average income			\$15,290	
Average GPA			2.98	
Average family size			1.9	
Average age			28	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - INDEPENDENT INSTITUTIONS
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2001-02	Cal Grant A recipients	268	0	268
	Average income	\$26,492		\$26,492
	Average GPA	3.54		3.54
	Average family size	3.9		3.9
	Average age	24		24
	Cal Grant B recipients	949	3	952
	Average income	\$15,643	\$6,805	\$15,615
	Average GPA	3.23	3.40	3.24
	Average family size	3.6	3.3	3.6
	Average age	26	33	26
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,217	3	1,220
	Average income	\$18,032	\$6,805	\$18,004
	Average GPA	3.30	3.40	3.30
	Average family size	3.7	3.3	3.7
Average age	26	33	26	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,001	
Average income			\$23,823	
Average GPA			3.10	
Average family size			2.7	
Average age			26	
2002-03	Cal Grant A recipients	193	0	193
	Average income	\$21,785		\$21,785
	Average GPA	3.54		3.54
	Average family size	2.9		2.9
	Average age	30		30
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,027	11	1,038
	Average income	\$13,784	\$15,738	\$13,804
	Average GPA	3.36	3.20	3.36
	Average family size	2.9	3.8	2.9
	Average age	30	35	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,220	11	1,231
	Average income	\$15,049	\$15,738	\$15,056
	Average GPA	3.39	3.20	3.39
	Average family size	2.9	3.8	2.9
Average age	30	35	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			5,012	
Average income			\$20,831	
Average GPA			3.13	
Average family size			2.5	
Average age			27	
2003-04	Cal Grant A recipients	196	6	202
	Average income	\$23,054	\$26,990	\$23,171
	Average GPA	3.58	3.66	3.58
	Average family size	3.0	2.7	3.0
	Average age	32	31	32
	Cal Grant B recipients	903	26	929
	Average income	\$13,520	\$16,124	\$13,593
	Average GPA	3.35	3.29	3.35
	Average family size	2.8	2.8	2.8
	Average age	30	36	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,099	32	1,131
	Average income	\$15,220	\$18,161	\$15,304
	Average GPA	3.39	3.36	3.39
	Average family size	2.9	2.8	2.9
Average age	30	35	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,818	
Average income			\$20,367	
Average GPA			3.14	
Average family size			2.4	
Average age			27	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - INDEPENDENT INSTITUTIONS
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2004-05	Cal Grant A recipients	196	10	206
	Average income	\$23,347	\$31,594	\$23,748
	Average GPA	3.55	3.63	3.55
	Average family size	2.9	4.0	2.9
	Average age	32	36	32
	Cal Grant B recipients	890	31	921
	Average income	\$13,563	\$16,505	\$13,662
	Average GPA	3.33	3.31	3.33
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	2.9
	Average age	30	32	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,086	41	1,127
	Average income	\$15,329	\$20,185	\$15,506
	Average GPA	3.37	3.39	3.37
	Average family size	2.9	3.3	2.9
Average age	30	33	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,432	
Average income			\$17,476	
Average GPA			3.11	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			27	
2005-06	Cal Grant A recipients	209	9	218
	Average income	\$23,845	\$35,874	\$24,342
	Average GPA	3.57	3.60	3.57
	Average family size	2.9	3.7	2.9
	Average age	31	41	31
	Cal Grant B recipients	886	28	914
	Average income	\$13,429	\$12,900	\$13,412
	Average GPA	3.28	3.39	3.29
	Average family size	2.8	2.6	2.8
	Average age	31	34	31
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,095	37	1,132
	Average income	\$15,417	\$18,488	\$15,517
	Average GPA	3.34	3.44	3.34
	Average family size	2.8	2.9	2.8
Average age	31	35	31	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,244	
Average income			\$19,632	
Average GPA			3.13	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			28	
2006-07	Cal Grant A recipients	195	9	204
	Average income	\$27,221	\$33,516	\$27,499
	Average GPA	3.49	3.59	3.50
	Average family size	3.0	2.6	3.0
	Average age	31	39	31
	Cal Grant B recipients	922	38	960
	Average income	\$14,454	\$21,152	\$14,719
	Average GPA	3.26	3.23	3.26
	Average family size	2.8	3.2	2.8
	Average age	30	34	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,117	47	1,164
	Average income	\$16,682	\$23,519	\$16,958
	Average GPA	3.30	3.30	3.30
	Average family size	2.8	3.0	2.9
Average age	30	35	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,673	
Average income			\$21,057	
Average GPA			3.13	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			28	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - PRIVATE CAREER COLLEGES
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2001-02	Cal Grant A recipients	198	0	198
	Average income	\$20,788		\$20,788
	Average GPA	3.42		3.42
	Average family size	3.7		3.7
	Average age	24		24
	Cal Grant B recipients	780	1	781
	Average income	\$15,383	\$14,681	\$15,382
	Average GPA	2.94	2.29	2.94
	Average family size	3.8	3.0	3.8
	Average age	23	43	23
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	978	1	979
	Average income	\$16,477	\$14,681	\$16,475
	Average GPA	3.04	2.29	3.04
Average family size	3.7	3.0	3.7	
Average age	24	43	24	
Eligible Non-recipients			2,215	
Average income			\$16,873	
Average GPA			2.82	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			26	
2002-03	Cal Grant A recipients	403	2	405
	Average income	\$23,003	\$30,279	\$23,039
	Average GPA	3.58	3.36	3.58
	Average family size	2.9	3.5	2.9
	Average age	30	21	30
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,177	4	1,181
	Average income	\$14,327	\$5,208	\$14,296
	Average GPA	3.27	3.47	3.27
	Average family size	2.9	1.5	2.9
	Average age	29	26	29
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,580	6	1,586
	Average income	\$16,540	\$13,565	\$16,528
	Average GPA	3.35	3.44	3.35
Average family size	2.9	2.2	2.9	
Average age	29	24	29	
Eligible Non-recipients			3,562	
Average income			\$19,930	
Average GPA			3.01	
Average family size			2.4	
Average age			28	
2003-04	Cal Grant A recipients	509	7	516
	Average income	\$22,989	\$22,038	\$22,976
	Average GPA	3.56	3.28	3.56
	Average family size	3.0	3.0	3.0
	Average age	32	33	32
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,469	21	1,490
	Average income	\$14,646	\$14,093	\$14,638
	Average GPA	3.28	3.13	3.28
	Average family size	2.9	2.9	2.9
	Average age	30	33	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	1,978	28	2,006
	Average income	\$16,793	\$16,079	\$16,783
	Average GPA	3.35	3.17	3.35
Average family size	2.9	2.9	2.9	
Average age	30	33	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			3,951	
Average income			\$19,881	
Average GPA			3.03	
Average family size			2.4	
Average age			28	

Selection Criteria Workgroup

**TABLE 2 - PRIVATE CAREER COLLEGES
NEW COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS and ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
AVERAGES: INCOME, GPA, FAMILY SIZE, AND AGE
AWARD YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2006-07**

Tab 2.a

Award Year	Program	Competition		
		March	September	Total
2004-05	Cal Grant A recipients	498	8	506
	Average income	\$24,510	\$15,245	\$24,363
	Average GPA	3.59	3.63	3.59
	Average family size	2.9	2.0	2.9
	Average age	30	27	30
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,680	19	1,699
	Average income	\$14,892	\$18,364	\$14,931
	Average GPA	3.28	3.07	3.28
	Average family size	3.0	3.5	3.0
	Average age	30	30	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	2,178	27	2,205
	Average income	\$17,091	\$17,440	\$17,096
	Average GPA	3.35	3.23	3.35
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	3.0
Average age	30	29	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,945	
Average income			\$17,722	
Average GPA			2.99	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			28	
2005-06	Cal Grant A recipients	575	1	576
	Average income	\$24,475	\$33,971	\$24,492
	Average GPA	3.57	3.38	3.57
	Average family size	3.0	4.0	3.0
	Average age	30	21	30
	Cal Grant B recipients	1,938	18	1,956
	Average income	\$15,547	\$11,608	\$15,511
	Average GPA	3.31	3.47	3.31
	Average family size	2.9	2.9	2.9
	Average age	30	31	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	2,513	19	2,532
	Average income	\$17,590	\$12,785	\$17,554
	Average GPA	3.37	3.46	3.37
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	2.9
Average age	30	30	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			4,860	
Average income			\$19,563	
Average GPA			3.01	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			28	
2006-07	Cal Grant A recipients	657	2	659
	Average income	\$27,602	\$36,650	\$27,630
	Average GPA	3.57	3.60	3.57
	Average family size	3.0	2.0	3.0
	Average age	31	34	31
	Cal Grant B recipients	2,296	25	2,321
	Average income	\$16,460	\$16,153	\$16,457
	Average GPA	3.26	3.23	3.26
	Average family size	2.9	3.1	2.9
	Average age	30	30	30
	Cal Grant A & B recipients	2,953	27	2,980
	Average income	\$18,939	\$17,671	\$18,928
	Average GPA	3.33	3.26	3.33
	Average family size	2.9	3.0	2.9
Average age	30	30	30	
Eligible Non-recipients			5,508	
Average income			\$21,145	
Average GPA			3.00	
Average family size			2.3	
Average age			29	

TABLE 3 - AWARD YEAR 2002-03
MARCH AND SEPTEMBER COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
BY PROGRAM, AGE, AND DEPENDENCY STATUS

CAL GRANT A AND B RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
December 31, 2002

Age	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All											
	Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
19 and under	17	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	19	2.4%	140	1.2%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	160	1.4%	157	1.3%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	179	1.5%		
20-23	180	22.3%	6	0.7%	40	5.0%	1	0.1%	233	28.7%	2,240	19.7%	50	0.4%	760	6.7%	6	0.1%	147	1.3%	3,203	28.0%	2,420	19.8%	56	0.5%	800	6.6%	7	0.1%	153	1.3%	3,436	28.1%		
24-29	0	0.0%	19	2.4%	118	14.6%	2	0.2%	163	20.0%	0	0.0%	165	1.4%	1,990	17.5%	45	0.4%	510	4.5%	2,710	23.4%	0	0.0%	184	1.5%	2,108	17.3%	47	0.4%	534	4.4%	2,973	23.2%		
30-34	0	0.0%	26	3.2%	88	10.9%	2	0.2%	123	15.0%	0	0.0%	228	2.0%	1,156	10.1%	28	0.2%	267	2.3%	1,679	14.5%	0	0.0%	254	2.1%	1,244	10.2%	30	0.2%	274	2.2%	1,802	14.5%		
35-39	0	0.0%	17	2.1%	68	8.4%	0	0.0%	88	10.9%	0	0.0%	195	1.7%	910	8.0%	11	0.1%	148	1.3%	1,264	11.0%	0	0.0%	212	1.7%	978	8.0%	11	0.1%	151	1.2%	1,362	11.0%		
40-49	0	0.0%	24	3.0%	116	14.4%	1	0.1%	147	18.1%	0	0.0%	287	2.5%	1,279	11.2%	25	0.2%	225	2.0%	1,816	15.7%	0	0.0%	311	2.5%	1,395	11.4%	26	0.2%	231	1.9%	1,963	15.9%		
50-59	0	0.0%	4	0.5%	27	3.3%	0	0.0%	32	4.0%	0	0.0%	106	0.9%	254	2.2%	13	0.1%	111	1.0%	484	4.1%	0	0.0%	110	0.9%	281	2.3%	13	0.1%	112	0.9%	516	4.1%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	19	0.2%	31	0.3%	82	0.5%	82	0.5%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	20	0.2%	22	0.2%	32	0.3%	84	0.5%		
Total	197	24.4%	97	12.0%	458	56.8%	6	0.7%	807	99.3%	2,380	20.9%	1,041	9.1%	6,382	56.0%	150	1.3%	1,445	12.7%	11,398	98.7%	2,457	3.6%	18	0.0%	63	0.0%	156	1.3%	1,494	12.2%	12,205	98.7%		
19 and under	18	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	19	2.4%	140	1.2%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	160	1.4%	157	1.3%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	179	1.5%		
20-23	180	22.3%	6	0.7%	40	5.0%	1	0.1%	233	28.7%	2,240	19.7%	50	0.4%	760	6.7%	6	0.1%	147	1.3%	3,203	28.0%	2,420	19.8%	56	0.5%	800	6.6%	7	0.1%	153	1.3%	3,436	28.1%		
24-29	0	0.0%	19	2.4%	118	14.6%	2	0.2%	163	20.0%	0	0.0%	165	1.4%	1,990	17.5%	45	0.4%	510	4.5%	2,710	23.4%	0	0.0%	184	1.5%	2,108	17.3%	47	0.4%	534	4.4%	2,973	23.2%		
30-34	0	0.0%	26	3.2%	88	10.9%	2	0.2%	123	15.0%	0	0.0%	228	2.0%	1,156	10.1%	28	0.2%	267	2.3%	1,679	14.5%	0	0.0%	254	2.1%	1,244	10.2%	30	0.2%	274	2.2%	1,802	14.5%		
35-39	0	0.0%	17	2.1%	68	8.4%	0	0.0%	88	10.9%	0	0.0%	195	1.7%	910	8.0%	11	0.1%	148	1.3%	1,264	11.0%	0	0.0%	212	1.7%	978	8.0%	11	0.1%	151	1.2%	1,362	11.0%		
40-49	0	0.0%	24	3.0%	116	14.4%	1	0.1%	147	18.1%	0	0.0%	287	2.5%	1,279	11.2%	25	0.2%	225	2.0%	1,816	15.7%	0	0.0%	311	2.5%	1,395	11.4%	26	0.2%	231	1.9%	1,963	15.9%		
50-59	0	0.0%	4	0.5%	27	3.3%	0	0.0%	32	4.0%	0	0.0%	106	0.9%	254	2.2%	13	0.1%	111	1.0%	484	4.1%	0	0.0%	110	0.9%	281	2.3%	13	0.1%	112	0.9%	516	4.1%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	19	0.2%	31	0.3%	82	0.5%	82	0.5%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	20	0.2%	22	0.2%	32	0.3%	84	0.5%		
Total	197	24.4%	97	12.0%	458	56.8%	6	0.7%	807	99.3%	2,380	20.9%	1,041	9.1%	6,382	56.0%	150	1.3%	1,445	12.7%	11,398	98.7%	2,457	3.6%	18	0.0%	63	0.0%	156	1.3%	1,494	12.2%	12,205	98.7%		
19 and under	18	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	19	2.4%	140	1.2%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	160	1.4%	157	1.3%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	179	1.5%		
20-23	180	22.3%	6	0.7%	40	5.0%	1	0.1%	233	28.7%	2,240	19.7%	50	0.4%	760	6.7%	6	0.1%	147	1.3%	3,203	28.0%	2,420	19.8%	56	0.5%	800	6.6%	7	0.1%	153	1.3%	3,436	28.1%		
24-29	0	0.0%	19	2.4%	118	14.6%	2	0.2%	163	20.0%	0	0.0%	165	1.4%	1,990	17.5%	45	0.4%	510	4.5%	2,710	23.4%	0	0.0%	184	1.5%	2,108	17.3%	47	0.4%	534	4.4%	2,973	23.2%		
30-34	0	0.0%	26	3.2%	88	10.9%	2	0.2%	123	15.0%	0	0.0%	228	2.0%	1,156	10.1%	28	0.2%	267	2.3%	1,679	14.5%	0	0.0%	254	2.1%	1,244	10.2%	30	0.2%	274	2.2%	1,802	14.5%		
35-39	0	0.0%	17	2.1%	68	8.4%	0	0.0%	88	10.9%	0	0.0%	195	1.7%	910	8.0%	11	0.1%	148	1.3%	1,264	11.0%	0	0.0%	212	1.7%	978	8.0%	11	0.1%	151	1.2%	1,362	11.0%		
40-49	0	0.0%	24	3.0%	116	14.4%	1	0.1%	147	18.1%	0	0.0%	287	2.5%	1,279	11.2%	25	0.2%	225	2.0%	1,816	15.7%	0	0.0%	311	2.5%	1,395	11.4%	26	0.2%	231	1.9%	1,963	15.9%		
50-59	0	0.0%	4	0.5%	27	3.3%	0	0.0%	32	4.0%	0	0.0%	106	0.9%	254	2.2%	13	0.1%	111	1.0%	484	4.1%	0	0.0%	110	0.9%	281	2.3%	13	0.1%	112	0.9%	516	4.1%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	19	0.2%	31	0.3%	82	0.5%	82	0.5%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	20	0.2%	22	0.2%	32	0.3%	84	0.5%		
Total	197	24.4%	97	12.0%	458	56.8%	6	0.7%	807	99.3%	2,380	20.9%	1,041	9.1%	6,382	56.0%	150	1.3%	1,445	12.7%	11,398	98.7%	2,457	3.6%	18	0.0%	63	0.0%	156	1.3%	1,494	12.2%	12,205	98.7%		
19 and under	18	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	19	2.4%	140	1.2%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	160	1.4%	157	1.3%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	179	1.5%		
20-23	180	22.3%	6	0.7%	40	5.0%	1	0.1%	233	28.7%	2,240	19.7%	50	0.4%	760	6.7%	6	0.1%	147	1.3%	3,203	28.0%	2,420	19.8%	56	0.5%	800	6.6%	7	0.1%	153	1.3%	3,436	28.1%		
24-29	0	0.0%	19	2.4%	118	14.6%	2	0.2%	163	20.0%	0	0.0%	165	1.4%	1,990	17.5%	45	0.4%	510	4.5%	2,710	23.4%	0	0.0%	184	1.5%	2,108	17.3%	47	0.4%	534	4.4%	2,973	23.2%		
30-34	0	0.0%	26	3.2%	88	10.9%	2	0.2%	123	15.0%	0	0.0%	228	2.0%	1,156	10.1%	28	0.2%	267	2.3%	1,679	14.5%	0	0.0%	254	2.1%	1,244	10.2%	30	0.2%	274	2.2%	1,802	14.5%		
35-39	0	0.0%	17	2.1%	68	8.4%	0	0.0%	88	10.9%	0	0.0%	195	1.7%	910	8.0%	11	0.1%	148	1.3%	1,264	11.0%	0	0.0%	212	1.7%	978	8.0%	11	0.1%	151	1.2%	1,362	11.0%		
40-49	0	0.0%	24	3.0%	116	14.4%	1	0.1%	147	18.1%	0	0.0%	287	2.5%	1,279	11.2%	25	0.2%	225	2.0%	1,816	15.7%	0	0.0%	311	2.5%	1,395	11.4%	26	0.2%	231	1.9%	1,963	15.9%		
50-59	0	0.0%	4	0.5%	27	3.3%	0	0.0%	32	4.0%	0	0.0%	106	0.9%	254	2.2%	13	0.1%	111	1.0%	484	4.1%	0	0.0%	110	0.9%	281	2.3%	13	0.1%	112	0.9%	516	4.1%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	19	0.2%	31	0.3%	82	0.5%	82	0.5%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	20	0.2%	22	0.2%	32	0.3%	84	0.5%		
Total	197	24.4%	97	12.0%	458	56.8%	6	0.7%	807	99.3%	2,380	20.9%	1,041	9.1%	6,382	56.0%	150	1.3%	1,445	12.7%	11,398	98.7%	2,457	3.6%	18	0.0%	63	0.0%	156	1.3%	1,494	12.2%	12,205	98.7%		
19 and under	18	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	19	2.4%	140	1.2%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	160	1.4%	157	1.3%	0	0.0%	14	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	179	1.5%		
20-23	180	22.3%	6	0.7%	40	5.0%	1	0.1%	233	28.7%	2,240	19.7%	50	0.4%	760	6.7%	6	0.1%	147	1.3%	3,203	28.0%	2,420	19.8%	56	0.5%	800	6.6%	7	0.1%	153	1.3%	3,436	28.1%		
24-29	0	0.0%	19	2.4%	118	14.6%	2	0.2%	163	20.0%	0	0.0%	165	1.4%	1,990	17.5%	45	0.4%	510	4.5%	2,710	23.4%	0	0.												

TABLE 3 - AWARD YEAR 2006-07
 COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, AGE, AND DEPENDENCY STATUS
 February 13, 2007

CAL GRANT A AND B RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS

Age	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All											
	Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total			
	Married		Single		Married		Single		Married		Single		Married		Single		Married		Single		Married		Single		Married		Single		Married		Single		Married		Single	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
19 and under	24	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	22	0.2%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	22	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	245	1.9%
20-23	208	17.4%	10	0.8%	54	4.5%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	278	23.3%	38	3.3%	729	6.2%	162	1.4%	2,878	24.9%	48	0.4%	783	6.2%	16	0.1%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%		
24-29	0	0.0%	54	4.5%	188	15.8%	5	0.4%	35	2.9%	282	23.2%	247	2.2%	2,389	20.8%	60	0.5%	637	5.5%	3,333	28.5%	301	2.4%	2,577	20.3%	65	0.5%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%
30-34	0	0.0%	29	2.4%	140	11.7%	0	0.0%	13	1.1%	182	15.3%	195	1.7%	1,222	10.6%	25	0.2%	188	1.6%	1,630	14.0%	224	1.8%	1,362	10.7%	25	0.2%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%
35-39	0	0.0%	26	2.2%	120	10.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	152	12.7%	185	1.6%	920	8.0%	17	0.1%	1,248	10.7%	0	0.0%	211	1.7%	1,040	8.2%	17	0.1%	1,32	1.0%	1,400	10.9%	17	0.1%	1,400	10.9%
40-49	0	0.0%	36	3.0%	176	14.8%	1	0.1%	4	0.3%	217	18.1%	265	2.3%	1,108	9.7%	45	0.4%	1,568	13.5%	0	0.0%	301	2.4%	1,284	10.1%	16	0.1%	1,84	1.5%	1,785	14.0%	16	0.1%	1,785	14.0%
50-59	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	49	4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	55	4.6%	101	0.9%	287	2.5%	17	0.1%	528	4.5%	0	0.0%	107	0.8%	336	2.7%	17	0.1%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	12	0.1%	21	0.2%	30	0.3%	76	0.6%	0	0.0%	12	0.1%	22	0.2%	14	0.1%	30	0.2%	78	0.5%	30	0.2%	78	0.5%
Total	232	19.4%	161	13.5%	729	61.1%	7	0.6%	64	5.4%	1,193	99.4%	2,121	18.5%	1,044	9.1%	6,697	58.3%	163	1.4%	1,456	12.7%	11,481	98.6%	2,353	18.6%	1,205	9.5%	7,426	58.6%	170	1.3%	1,520	12.0%	12,674	98.7%
19 and under	20	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	22	0.2%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	22	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	245	1.9%
20-23	208	17.4%	10	0.8%	54	4.5%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	278	23.3%	38	3.3%	729	6.2%	162	1.4%	2,878	24.9%	48	0.4%	783	6.2%	16	0.1%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%		
24-29	0	0.0%	54	4.5%	188	15.8%	5	0.4%	35	2.9%	282	23.2%	247	2.2%	2,389	20.8%	60	0.5%	637	5.5%	3,333	28.5%	301	2.4%	2,577	20.3%	65	0.5%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%
30-34	0	0.0%	29	2.4%	140	11.7%	0	0.0%	13	1.1%	182	15.3%	195	1.7%	1,222	10.6%	25	0.2%	188	1.6%	1,630	14.0%	224	1.8%	1,362	10.7%	25	0.2%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%
35-39	0	0.0%	26	2.2%	120	10.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	152	12.7%	185	1.6%	920	8.0%	17	0.1%	1,248	10.7%	0	0.0%	211	1.7%	1,040	8.2%	17	0.1%	1,32	1.0%	1,400	10.9%	17	0.1%	1,400	10.9%
40-49	0	0.0%	36	3.0%	176	14.8%	1	0.1%	4	0.3%	217	18.1%	265	2.3%	1,108	9.7%	45	0.4%	1,568	13.5%	0	0.0%	301	2.4%	1,284	10.1%	16	0.1%	1,84	1.5%	1,785	14.0%	16	0.1%	1,785	14.0%
50-59	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	49	4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	55	4.6%	101	0.9%	287	2.5%	17	0.1%	528	4.5%	0	0.0%	107	0.8%	336	2.7%	17	0.1%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	12	0.1%	21	0.2%	30	0.3%	76	0.6%	0	0.0%	12	0.1%	22	0.2%	14	0.1%	30	0.2%	78	0.5%	30	0.2%	78	0.5%
Total	232	19.4%	161	13.5%	729	61.1%	7	0.6%	64	5.4%	1,193	99.4%	2,121	18.5%	1,044	9.1%	6,697	58.3%	163	1.4%	1,456	12.7%	11,481	98.6%	2,353	18.6%	1,205	9.5%	7,426	58.6%	170	1.3%	1,520	12.0%	12,674	98.7%
19 and under	20	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	22	0.2%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	22	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	245	1.9%
20-23	208	17.4%	10	0.8%	54	4.5%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	278	23.3%	38	3.3%	729	6.2%	162	1.4%	2,878	24.9%	48	0.4%	783	6.2%	16	0.1%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%		
24-29	0	0.0%	54	4.5%	188	15.8%	5	0.4%	35	2.9%	282	23.2%	247	2.2%	2,389	20.8%	60	0.5%	637	5.5%	3,333	28.5%	301	2.4%	2,577	20.3%	65	0.5%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%
30-34	0	0.0%	29	2.4%	140	11.7%	0	0.0%	13	1.1%	182	15.3%	195	1.7%	1,222	10.6%	25	0.2%	188	1.6%	1,630	14.0%	224	1.8%	1,362	10.7%	25	0.2%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%
35-39	0	0.0%	26	2.2%	120	10.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	152	12.7%	185	1.6%	920	8.0%	17	0.1%	1,248	10.7%	0	0.0%	211	1.7%	1,040	8.2%	17	0.1%	1,32	1.0%	1,400	10.9%	17	0.1%	1,400	10.9%
40-49	0	0.0%	36	3.0%	176	14.8%	1	0.1%	4	0.3%	217	18.1%	265	2.3%	1,108	9.7%	45	0.4%	1,568	13.5%	0	0.0%	301	2.4%	1,284	10.1%	16	0.1%	1,84	1.5%	1,785	14.0%	16	0.1%	1,785	14.0%
50-59	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	49	4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	55	4.6%	101	0.9%	287	2.5%	17	0.1%	528	4.5%	0	0.0%	107	0.8%	336	2.7%	17	0.1%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	12	0.1%	21	0.2%	30	0.3%	76	0.6%	0	0.0%	12	0.1%	22	0.2%	14	0.1%	30	0.2%	78	0.5%	30	0.2%	78	0.5%
Total	232	19.4%	161	13.5%	729	61.1%	7	0.6%	64	5.4%	1,193	99.4%	2,121	18.5%	1,044	9.1%	6,697	58.3%	163	1.4%	1,456	12.7%	11,481	98.6%	2,353	18.6%	1,205	9.5%	7,426	58.6%	170	1.3%	1,520	12.0%	12,674	98.7%
19 and under	20	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	22	0.2%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	22	0.2%	0	0.0%	10	0.1%	245	1.9%
20-23	208	17.4%	10	0.8%	54	4.5%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	278	23.3%	38	3.3%	729	6.2%	162	1.4%	2,878	24.9%	48	0.4%	783	6.2%	16	0.1%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%	168	1.3%	3,156	24.8%		
24-29	0	0.0%	54	4.5%	188	15.8%	5	0.4%	35	2.9%	282	23.2%	247	2.2%	2,389	20.8%	60	0.5%	637	5.5%	3,333	28.5%	301	2.4%	2,577	20.3%	65	0.5%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%	672	5.3%	3,615	28.0%
30-34	0	0.0%	29	2.4%	140	11.7%	0	0.0%	13	1.1%	182	15.3%	195	1.7%	1,222	10.6%	25	0.2%	188	1.6%	1,630	14.0%	224	1.8%	1,362	10.7%	25	0.2%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%	201	1.6%	1,812	14.1%
35-39	0	0.0%	26	2.2%	120	10.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	152	12.7%	185	1.6%	920	8.0%	17	0.1%	1,248	10.7%	0	0.0%	211	1.7%	1,040	8.2%	17	0.1%	1,32	1.0%	1,400	10.9%	17	0.1%	1,400	10.9%
40-49	0	0.0%	36	3.0%	176	14.8%	1	0.1%	4	0.3%	217	18.1%	265	2.3%	1,108	9.7%	45	0.4%	1,568	13.5%	0	0.0%	301	2.4%	1,284	10.1%	16	0.1%	1,84	1.5%	1,785	14.0%	16	0.1%	1,785	14.0%
50-59	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	49	4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	55	4.6%	101	0.9%	287	2.5%	17	0.1%	528	4.5%	0	0.0%	107	0.8%	336	2.7%	17	0.1%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%	123	1.0%	583	4.5%

TABLE 3 - AWARD YEAR 2007-08
 COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, AGE, AND DEPENDENCY STATUS
 CAL GRANT A AND B RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 September 21, 2007

Age	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All													
	Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total				Dependents				Independents				Total					
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
19 and under	21	1.7%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	26	2.1%	224	2.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	11	0.1%	11	0.1%	257	2.2%	245	1.9%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	23	0.2%	0	0.0%	13	0.1%	283	2.2%
20-23	150	12.0%	7	0.6%	71	5.7%	2	0.2%	7	0.6%	237	18.8%	1,957	17.1%	39	0.3%	719	6.3%	16	0.1%	127	1.1%	2,868	24.8%	2,107	16.6%	46	0.4%	278	2.2%	790	6.2%	18	0.1%	134	1.1%	3,085	24.2%
24-29	0	0.0%	37	3.0%	231	18.5%	3	0.2%	50	4.0%	321	25.4%	0	0.0%	241	2.1%	2,095	18.3%	64	0.6%	689	6.0%	3,089	26.4%	0	0.0%	278	2.2%	2,326	18.3%	67	0.5%	739	5.8%	3,410	26.3%		
30-34	0	0.0%	39	3.1%	161	12.9%	4	0.3%	11	0.9%	215	16.9%	0	0.0%	222	1.9%	1,234	10.8%	28	0.2%	258	2.3%	1,742	15.0%	0	0.0%	261	2.1%	1,385	11.0%	32	0.3%	269	2.1%	1,957	15.1%		
35-39	0	0.0%	34	2.7%	118	9.4%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	158	12.6%	0	0.0%	215	1.9%	878	7.7%	16	0.1%	134	1.2%	1,243	10.7%	0	0.0%	289	2.0%	996	7.8%	16	0.1%	140	1.1%	1,401	10.9%		
40-49	0	0.0%	41	3.3%	178	14.2%	1	0.1%	9	0.7%	229	18.2%	0	0.0%	301	2.6%	1,084	9.5%	17	0.1%	215	1.9%	1,617	14.0%	0	0.0%	342	2.7%	1,262	9.9%	18	0.1%	224	1.8%	1,846	14.4%		
50-59	0	0.0%	7	0.6%	50	4.0%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	63	5.0%	0	0.0%	110	1.0%	302	2.6%	21	0.2%	119	1.0%	552	4.6%	0	0.0%	117	0.9%	352	2.8%	21	0.2%	125	1.0%	615	4.7%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	19	0.2%	19	0.2%	42	0.4%	88	0.7%	98	0.7%	0	0.0%	19	0.1%	21	0.2%	18	0.1%	43	0.3%	101	0.7%		
Total	171	13.7%	166	13.3%	813	64.9%	10	0.8%	92	7.3%	1,252	99.2%	2,181	19.0%	1,148	10.0%	6,352	55.4%	180	1.6%	1,595	13.9%	11,456	98.4%	2,352	18.5%	1,314	10.3%	7,165	56.4%	190	1.5%	1,687	13.3%	12,708	98.5%		
19 and under	21	1.7%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	26	2.1%	224	2.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	11	0.1%	11	0.1%	257	2.2%	245	1.9%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	23	0.2%	0	0.0%	13	0.1%	283	2.2%
20-23	150	12.0%	7	0.6%	71	5.7%	2	0.2%	7	0.6%	237	18.8%	1,957	17.1%	39	0.3%	719	6.3%	16	0.1%	127	1.1%	2,868	24.8%	2,107	16.6%	46	0.4%	278	2.2%	790	6.2%	18	0.1%	134	1.1%	3,085	24.2%
24-29	0	0.0%	37	3.0%	231	18.5%	3	0.2%	50	4.0%	321	25.4%	0	0.0%	241	2.1%	2,095	18.3%	64	0.6%	689	6.0%	3,089	26.4%	0	0.0%	278	2.2%	2,326	18.3%	67	0.5%	739	5.8%	3,410	26.3%		
30-34	0	0.0%	39	3.1%	161	12.9%	4	0.3%	11	0.9%	215	16.9%	0	0.0%	222	1.9%	1,234	10.8%	28	0.2%	258	2.3%	1,742	15.0%	0	0.0%	261	2.1%	1,385	11.0%	32	0.3%	269	2.1%	1,957	15.1%		
35-39	0	0.0%	34	2.7%	118	9.4%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	158	12.6%	0	0.0%	215	1.9%	878	7.7%	16	0.1%	134	1.2%	1,243	10.7%	0	0.0%	289	2.0%	996	7.8%	16	0.1%	140	1.1%	1,401	10.9%		
40-49	0	0.0%	41	3.3%	178	14.2%	1	0.1%	9	0.7%	229	18.2%	0	0.0%	301	2.6%	1,084	9.5%	17	0.1%	215	1.9%	1,617	14.0%	0	0.0%	342	2.7%	1,262	9.9%	18	0.1%	224	1.8%	1,846	14.4%		
50-59	0	0.0%	7	0.6%	50	4.0%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	63	5.0%	0	0.0%	110	1.0%	302	2.6%	21	0.2%	119	1.0%	552	4.6%	0	0.0%	117	0.9%	352	2.8%	21	0.2%	125	1.0%	615	4.7%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	19	0.2%	19	0.2%	42	0.4%	88	0.7%	98	0.7%	0	0.0%	19	0.1%	21	0.2%	18	0.1%	43	0.3%	101	0.7%		
Total	171	13.7%	166	13.3%	813	64.9%	10	0.8%	92	7.3%	1,252	99.2%	2,181	19.0%	1,148	10.0%	6,352	55.4%	180	1.6%	1,595	13.9%	11,456	98.4%	2,352	18.5%	1,314	10.3%	7,165	56.4%	190	1.5%	1,687	13.3%	12,708	98.5%		
19 and under	21	1.7%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	26	2.1%	224	2.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	11	0.1%	11	0.1%	257	2.2%	245	1.9%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	23	0.2%	0	0.0%	13	0.1%	283	2.2%
20-23	150	12.0%	7	0.6%	71	5.7%	2	0.2%	7	0.6%	237	18.8%	1,957	17.1%	39	0.3%	719	6.3%	16	0.1%	127	1.1%	2,868	24.8%	2,107	16.6%	46	0.4%	278	2.2%	790	6.2%	18	0.1%	134	1.1%	3,085	24.2%
24-29	0	0.0%	37	3.0%	231	18.5%	3	0.2%	50	4.0%	321	25.4%	0	0.0%	241	2.1%	2,095	18.3%	64	0.6%	689	6.0%	3,089	26.4%	0	0.0%	278	2.2%	2,326	18.3%	67	0.5%	739	5.8%	3,410	26.3%		
30-34	0	0.0%	39	3.1%	161	12.9%	4	0.3%	11	0.9%	215	16.9%	0	0.0%	222	1.9%	1,234	10.8%	28	0.2%	258	2.3%	1,742	15.0%	0	0.0%	261	2.1%	1,385	11.0%	32	0.3%	269	2.1%	1,957	15.1%		
35-39	0	0.0%	34	2.7%	118	9.4%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	158	12.6%	0	0.0%	215	1.9%	878	7.7%	16	0.1%	134	1.2%	1,243	10.7%	0	0.0%	289	2.0%	996	7.8%	16	0.1%	140	1.1%	1,401	10.9%		
40-49	0	0.0%	41	3.3%	178	14.2%	1	0.1%	9	0.7%	229	18.2%	0	0.0%	301	2.6%	1,084	9.5%	17	0.1%	215	1.9%	1,617	14.0%	0	0.0%	342	2.7%	1,262	9.9%	18	0.1%	224	1.8%	1,846	14.4%		
50-59	0	0.0%	7	0.6%	50	4.0%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	63	5.0%	0	0.0%	110	1.0%	302	2.6%	21	0.2%	119	1.0%	552	4.6%	0	0.0%	117	0.9%	352	2.8%	21	0.2%	125	1.0%	615	4.7%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	19	0.2%	19	0.2%	42	0.4%	88	0.7%	98	0.7%	0	0.0%	19	0.1%	21	0.2%	18	0.1%	43	0.3%	101	0.7%		
Total	171	13.7%	166	13.3%	813	64.9%	10	0.8%	92	7.3%	1,252	99.2%	2,181	19.0%	1,148	10.0%	6,352	55.4%	180	1.6%	1,595	13.9%	11,456	98.4%	2,352	18.5%	1,314	10.3%	7,165	56.4%	190	1.5%	1,687	13.3%	12,708	98.5%		
19 and under	21	1.7%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	26	2.1%	224	2.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	11	0.1%	11	0.1%	257	2.2%	245	1.9%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	23	0.2%	0	0.0%	13	0.1%	283	2.2%
20-23	150	12.0%	7	0.6%	71	5.7%	2	0.2%	7	0.6%	237	18.8%	1,957	17.1%	39	0.3%	719	6.3%	16	0.1%	127	1.1%	2,868	24.8%	2,107	16.6%	46	0.4%	278	2.2%	790	6.2%	18	0.1%	134	1.1%	3,085	24.2%
24-29	0	0.0%	37	3.0%	231	18.5%	3	0.2%	50	4.0%	321	25.4%	0	0.0%	241	2.1%	2,095	18.3%	64	0.6%	689	6.0%	3,089	26.4%	0	0.0%	278	2.2%	2,326	18.3%	67	0.5%	739	5.8%	3,410	26.3%		
30-34	0	0.0%	39	3.1%	161	12.9%	4	0.3%	11	0.9%	215	16.9%	0	0.0%	222	1.9%	1,234	10.8%	28	0.2%	258	2.3%	1,742	15.0%	0	0.0%	261	2.1%	1,385	11.0%	32	0.3%	269	2.1%	1,957	15.1%		
35-39	0	0.0%	34	2.7%	118	9.4%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	158	12.6%	0	0.0%	215	1.9%	878	7.7%	16	0.1%	134	1.2%	1,243	10.7%	0	0.0%	289	2.0%	996	7.8%	16	0.1%	140	1.1%	1,401	10.9%		
40-49	0	0.0%	41	3.3%	178	14.2%	1	0.1%	9	0.7%	229	18.2%	0	0.0%	301	2.6%	1,084	9.5%	17	0.1%	215	1.9%	1,617	14.0%	0	0.0%	342	2.7%	1,262	9.9%	18	0.1%	224	1.8%	1,846	14.4%		
50-59	0	0.0%	7	0.6%	50	4.0%	0	0.0%	6	0.5%	63	5.0%	0	0.0%	110	1.0%	302	2.6%	21	0.2%	119	1.0%	552	4.6%	0	0.0%	117	0.9%	352	2.8%	21	0.2%	125	1.0%	615	4.7%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	19	0.2%	19	0.2%	42	0.4%	88	0.7%	98	0.7%	0	0.0%	19	0.1%	21	0.2%	18	0.1%	43	0.3%	101	0.7%		
Total	171	13.7%	166	13.3%	813	64.9%	10	0.8%	92	7.3%	1,252	99.2%	2,181	19.0%	1,148	10.0%	6,352	55.4%	180	1.6%	1,595	13.9%	11,456	98.4%	2,352	18.5%	1,314	10.3%	7,165	56.4%	190	1.5%	1,687	13.3%	12,708	98.5%		
19 and under	21	1.7%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	26	2.1%	224	2.0%	1	0.0%	21	0.2%	11	0.1%	11	0.1%	257	2.2%	245	1.9%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	23	0.2%	0	0.0%	13	0.1%	283	2.2%
20-23	150	12.0%	7	0.6%	71	5.7%	2	0.2%	7	0.6%	237	18.8%	1,957	17.1%	39	0.3%	719	6.3%	16	0.1%	127	1.1%	2,868	24.8%	2,107	16.6%	46	0.4%	278	2.2%	790	6.2%	18	0.1%	134	1.1%	3,085	24.2%
24-29	0	0.0%	37	3.0%	231	18.5%	3	0.2																														

TABLE 4 - AWARD YEAR 2002-03
 MARCH AND SEPTEMBER COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, AGE, AND STUDENT EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
 December 31, 2002

Age	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All														
	1			2			3			4			1			2			3			4			1			2			3			4			Total		
	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total						
19 and under	15	1.9%	433	0.5%	19	2.4%	58	0.5%	71	0.6%	28	0.2%	611	0.0%	160	1.4%	73	0.6%	1,155	0.6%	75	0.6%	28	0.2%	629	0.5%	179	1.5%	3,436	28.2%	1,179	10.0%							
	20-23	160	19.8%	31	3.8%	24	3.0%	582	5.1%	1,124	9.9%	886	7.8%	611	5.4%	3,203	28.1%	742	6.1%	742	6.1%	910	7.5%	629	5.2%	1,078	8.8%	673	5.5%	3,152	23.5%	2,873	23.5%						
	24-29	102	12.6%	39	4.8%	19	2.4%	233	2.0%	1,039	9.1%	654	5.7%	509	4.5%	2,710	23.8%	610	5.0%	1,078	8.8%	673	5.5%	512	4.2%	802	6.4%	1,802	14.8%	1,802	14.8%	1,802	14.8%	1,802	14.8%				
	30-34	61	7.6%	32	4.0%	23	2.9%	163	1.5%	658	5.8%	384	3.4%	328	2.9%	1,679	14.7%	370	3.0%	680	5.7%	407	3.3%	335	2.7%	266	2.2%	1,352	11.1%	1,352	11.1%	1,352	11.1%	1,352	11.1%				
	35-39	39	4.8%	24	3.0%	15	1.9%	88	0.8%	468	4.1%	294	2.6%	256	2.2%	1,264	11.1%	285	2.3%	492	4.0%	309	2.5%	266	2.2%	415	3.4%	1,963	16.1%	1,963	16.1%	1,963	16.1%						
	40-49	49	6.1%	47	5.8%	32	4.0%	147	1.3%	358	3.1%	698	6.1%	364	3.2%	1,816	15.9%	407	3.3%	745	6.1%	396	3.2%	415	3.4%	1,177	9.4%	1,177	9.4%	1,177	9.4%	1,177	9.4%						
50-59	6	0.7%	7	0.9%	11	1.4%	32	0.3%	73	0.6%	183	1.6%	99	0.9%	484	4.2%	25	0.2%	25	0.2%	190	1.6%	110	0.9%	137	1.1%	84	0.7%	84	0.7%	84	0.7%	84	0.7%					
60-64	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	24	0.2%	28	0.2%	4	0.0%	82	0.7%	26	0.2%	26	0.2%	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	26	0.2%	26	0.2%	26	0.2%	26	0.2%	26	0.2%					
Total	433	53.7%	185	22.9%	124	15.4%	807	100.0%	2,158	18.9%	2,713	23.8%	2,258	19.8%	11,388	100.0%	1,283	10.8%	16,088	13.5%	4,454	36.5%	2,837	23.2%	2,323	19.0%	12,205	100.0%	12,205	100.0%	12,205	100.0%	12,205	100.0%					
19 and under	19 and under	[REDACTED]																																					
	20-23	[REDACTED]																																					
	24-29	[REDACTED]																																					
	30-34	[REDACTED]																																					
	35-39	[REDACTED]																																					
	40-49	[REDACTED]																																					
50-59	[REDACTED]																																						
60-64	[REDACTED]																																						
Total	7,322	10.8%	16,088	23.7%	25,735	37.9%	18,785	27.7%	67,930	100.0%																													
19 and under	11	3.5%	136	40.6%	23	7.4%	310	100.0%	4,681	41.2%	927	8.2%	925	8.1%	11,363	100.0%	788	6.9%	228	2.0%	15	0.1%	16	0.1%	1,047	9.2%	1,047	9.2%	1,047	9.2%	1,047	9.2%	1,047	9.2%					
	20-23	14	4.5%	21	6.8%	8	2.6%	49	15.8%	1,013	8.9%	1,278	11.2%	286	2.5%	202	1.8%	2,779	24.5%	1,027	8.8%	1,299	11.1%	294	2.5%	208	1.8%	2,828	24.2%	2,828	24.2%	2,828	24.2%						
	24-29	13	4.2%	34	11.0%	6	1.9%	55	17.7%	881	7.8%	1,075	9.5%	191	1.7%	195	1.7%	2,342	20.6%	884	7.7%	1,109	9.5%	197	1.7%	197	1.7%	2,387	20.5%	2,387	20.5%	2,387	20.5%	2,387	20.5%				
	30-34	32	10.3%	25	8.1%	2	0.6%	6	1.8%	589	5.3%	750	6.6%	126	1.1%	141	1.2%	1,616	14.2%	631	5.4%	775	6.6%	128	1.1%	147	1.3%	1,681	14.4%	1,681	14.4%	1,681	14.4%	1,681	14.4%				
	35-39	18	5.8%	15	4.8%	3	1.0%	37	11.9%	568	4.9%	528	4.6%	94	0.8%	115	1.0%	1,295	11.4%	576	4.9%	543	4.7%	95	0.8%	118	1.0%	1,332	11.4%	1,332	11.4%	1,332	11.4%	1,332	11.4%				
	40-49	32	10.3%	31	10.0%	6	1.9%	74	23.9%	672	5.9%	753	6.6%	159	1.4%	181	1.6%	1,765	15.5%	704	6.0%	784	6.7%	165	1.4%	186	1.6%	1,839	15.8%	1,839	15.8%	1,839	15.8%	1,839	15.8%				
50-59	6	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	5.8%	146	1.3%	184	1.6%	50	0.4%	63	0.6%	443	3.9%	152	1.3%	193	1.7%	50	0.4%	66	0.5%	461	3.9%	461	3.9%	461	3.9%	461	3.9%					
60-64	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	0.2%	34	0.3%	12	0.1%	76	0.7%	24	0.2%	24	0.2%	34	0.3%	6	0.1%	12	0.1%	76	0.7%	76	0.7%	76	0.7%	76	0.7%					
Total	126	40.6%	136	43.9%	23	7.4%	310	100.0%	4,681	41.2%	927	8.2%	925	8.1%	11,363	100.0%	4,807	41.2%	4,966	42.5%	950	8.1%	950	8.1%	950	8.1%	11,673	100.0%	11,673	100.0%	11,673	100.0%	11,673	100.0%					
19 and under	20-23	[REDACTED]																																					
	24-29	[REDACTED]																																					
	30-34	[REDACTED]																																					
	35-39	[REDACTED]																																					
	40-49	[REDACTED]																																					
	50-59	[REDACTED]																																					
60-64	[REDACTED]																																						
Total	4,807	9.4%	4,966	9.7%	950	1.9%	950	1.9%	16,780	14.8%																													
19 and under	9,038	22.8%	16,780	42.3%	9,587	24.2%	4,251	10.7%	39,666	100.0%	4,807	9.4%	4,966	9.7%	950	1.9%	950	1.9%	16,780	14.8%	16,780	14.8%	16,780	14.8%	16,780	14.8%	16,780	14.8%	16,780	14.8%	16,780	14.8%	16,780	14.8%					
	9,038	17.6%	16,780	32.7%	9,687	18.7%	4,251	8.3%	39,666	77.3%	13,845	27.0%	21,746	42.4%	10,637	20.8%	5,201	10.1%	51,329	100.0%	51,329	100.0%	51,329	100.0%	51,329	100.0%	51,329	100.0%	51,329	100.0%	51,329	100.0%	51,329	100.0%					
	Total	13,845	27.0%	21,746	42.4%	10,637	20.8%	5,201	10.1%	51,329	100.0%																												

TABLE 4 - AWARD YEAR 2003-04
 MARCH AND SEPTEMBER COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, AGE, AND STUDENT EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
 December 31, 2003

Age	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All											
	Student Educational Level 1				Student Educational Level 2				Student Educational Level 3				Student Educational Level 4				Student Educational Level 1				Student Educational Level 2				Student Educational Level 3				Student Educational Level 4							
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent																														
19 and under	10	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	18	1.6%	11	1.2%	70	0.7%	73	0.7%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	158	1.5%	80	0.7%	73	0.6%	8	0.1%	8	0.1%	623	5.4%	468	4.1%	169	1.5%		
20-23	152	16.3%	29	3.1%	15	1.6%	18	1.9%	214	23.0%	485	4.6%	1,353	12.8%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	2,896	27.4%	1,870	17.7%	1,073	10.0%	88	0.8%	88	0.8%	8,894	82.7%	6,217	57.3%	2,532	23.6%		
24-29	132	14.2%	49	5.3%	14	1.5%	11	1.2%	206	22.2%	485	4.6%	1,271	12.0%	458	4.3%	342	3.2%	2,556	24.2%	2,062	19.3%	1,320	12.5%	472	4.1%	472	4.1%	3,320	30.7%	2,782	25.6%	1,119	10.4%		
30-34	83	8.9%	33	3.5%	13	1.4%	9	1.0%	138	14.8%	332	3.1%	804	7.6%	267	2.5%	187	1.8%	1,590	15.0%	617	5.4%	837	7.3%	280	2.4%	280	2.4%	1,96	1.7%	1,728	15.0%	1,230	10.7%		
35-39	65	7.0%	34	3.7%	20	2.2%	12	1.3%	131	14.1%	236	2.2%	586	5.5%	146	1.4%	131	1.2%	1,089	10.4%	301	2.6%	620	5.4%	166	1.4%	143	1.2%	1,230	10.7%	843	7.3%	540	4.7%		
40-49	92	9.9%	49	5.3%	30	3.2%	15	1.6%	188	20.0%	345	3.3%	953	9.0%	192	1.8%	200	1.9%	1,680	16.0%	437	3.8%	1,002	8.7%	222	1.9%	215	1.9%	1,876	16.3%	1,134	10.0%	743	6.3%		
50-59	15	1.6%	11	1.2%	10	1.1%	7	0.8%	43	4.6%	111	1.1%	282	2.7%	56	0.5%	48	0.4%	497	4.7%	18	0.2%	48	0.4%	9	0.1%	9	0.1%	55	0.5%	540	4.7%	379	3.5%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	18	0.2%	48	0.5%	9	0.1%	8	0.1%	83	0.8%	2,631	22.9%	5,575	48.5%	1,846	16.1%	1,447	12.6%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%		
Total	549	58.0%	205	22.0%	103	11.1%	73	7.8%	930	100.0%	2,082	19.7%	5,370	50.8%	1,743	16.5%	1,374	13.0%	10,587	100.0%	1,220	11.7%	1,073	10.0%	88	0.8%	88	0.8%	8,894	82.7%	6,217	57.3%	2,532	23.6%		
19 and under	10	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	18	1.9%	11	1.2%	70	0.7%	73	0.7%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	158	1.5%	80	0.7%	73	0.6%	8	0.1%	8	0.1%	623	5.4%	468	4.1%	169	1.5%		
20-23	152	16.3%	29	3.1%	15	1.6%	18	1.9%	214	23.0%	485	4.6%	1,353	12.8%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	2,896	27.4%	1,870	17.7%	1,073	10.0%	88	0.8%	88	0.8%	8,894	82.7%	6,217	57.3%	2,532	23.6%		
24-29	132	14.2%	49	5.3%	14	1.5%	11	1.2%	206	22.2%	485	4.6%	1,271	12.0%	458	4.3%	342	3.2%	2,556	24.2%	2,062	19.3%	1,320	12.5%	472	4.1%	472	4.1%	3,320	30.7%	2,782	25.6%	1,119	10.4%		
30-34	83	8.9%	33	3.5%	13	1.4%	9	1.0%	138	14.8%	332	3.1%	804	7.6%	267	2.5%	187	1.8%	1,590	15.0%	617	5.4%	837	7.3%	280	2.4%	280	2.4%	1,96	1.7%	1,728	15.0%	1,230	10.7%		
35-39	65	7.0%	34	3.7%	20	2.2%	12	1.3%	131	14.1%	236	2.2%	586	5.5%	146	1.4%	131	1.2%	1,089	10.4%	301	2.6%	620	5.4%	166	1.4%	143	1.2%	1,230	10.7%	843	7.3%	540	4.7%		
40-49	92	9.9%	49	5.3%	30	3.2%	15	1.6%	188	20.0%	345	3.3%	953	9.0%	192	1.8%	200	1.9%	1,680	16.0%	437	3.8%	1,002	8.7%	222	1.9%	215	1.9%	1,876	16.3%	1,134	10.0%	743	6.3%		
50-59	15	1.6%	11	1.2%	10	1.1%	7	0.8%	43	4.6%	111	1.1%	282	2.7%	56	0.5%	48	0.4%	497	4.7%	18	0.2%	48	0.4%	9	0.1%	9	0.1%	55	0.5%	540	4.7%	379	3.5%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	18	0.2%	48	0.5%	9	0.1%	8	0.1%	83	0.8%	2,631	22.9%	5,575	48.5%	1,846	16.1%	1,447	12.6%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%		
Total	549	58.0%	205	22.0%	103	11.1%	73	7.8%	930	100.0%	2,082	19.7%	5,370	50.8%	1,743	16.5%	1,374	13.0%	10,587	100.0%	1,220	11.7%	1,073	10.0%	88	0.8%	88	0.8%	8,894	82.7%	6,217	57.3%	2,532	23.6%		
19 and under	10	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	18	1.9%	11	1.2%	70	0.7%	73	0.7%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	158	1.5%	80	0.7%	73	0.6%	8	0.1%	8	0.1%	623	5.4%	468	4.1%	169	1.5%		
20-23	152	16.3%	29	3.1%	15	1.6%	18	1.9%	214	23.0%	485	4.6%	1,353	12.8%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	2,896	27.4%	1,870	17.7%	1,073	10.0%	88	0.8%	88	0.8%	8,894	82.7%	6,217	57.3%	2,532	23.6%		
24-29	132	14.2%	49	5.3%	14	1.5%	11	1.2%	206	22.2%	485	4.6%	1,271	12.0%	458	4.3%	342	3.2%	2,556	24.2%	2,062	19.3%	1,320	12.5%	472	4.1%	472	4.1%	3,320	30.7%	2,782	25.6%	1,119	10.4%		
30-34	83	8.9%	33	3.5%	13	1.4%	9	1.0%	138	14.8%	332	3.1%	804	7.6%	267	2.5%	187	1.8%	1,590	15.0%	617	5.4%	837	7.3%	280	2.4%	280	2.4%	1,96	1.7%	1,728	15.0%	1,230	10.7%		
35-39	65	7.0%	34	3.7%	20	2.2%	12	1.3%	131	14.1%	236	2.2%	586	5.5%	146	1.4%	131	1.2%	1,089	10.4%	301	2.6%	620	5.4%	166	1.4%	143	1.2%	1,230	10.7%	843	7.3%	540	4.7%		
40-49	92	9.9%	49	5.3%	30	3.2%	15	1.6%	188	20.0%	345	3.3%	953	9.0%	192	1.8%	200	1.9%	1,680	16.0%	437	3.8%	1,002	8.7%	222	1.9%	215	1.9%	1,876	16.3%	1,134	10.0%	743	6.3%		
50-59	15	1.6%	11	1.2%	10	1.1%	7	0.8%	43	4.6%	111	1.1%	282	2.7%	56	0.5%	48	0.4%	497	4.7%	18	0.2%	48	0.4%	9	0.1%	9	0.1%	55	0.5%	540	4.7%	379	3.5%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	18	0.2%	48	0.5%	9	0.1%	8	0.1%	83	0.8%	2,631	22.9%	5,575	48.5%	1,846	16.1%	1,447	12.6%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%		
Total	549	58.0%	205	22.0%	103	11.1%	73	7.8%	930	100.0%	2,082	19.7%	5,370	50.8%	1,743	16.5%	1,374	13.0%	10,587	100.0%	1,220	11.7%	1,073	10.0%	88	0.8%	88	0.8%	8,894	82.7%	6,217	57.3%	2,532	23.6%		
19 and under	10	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	18	1.9%	11	1.2%	70	0.7%	73	0.7%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	158	1.5%	80	0.7%	73	0.6%	8	0.1%	8	0.1%	623	5.4%	468	4.1%	169	1.5%		
20-23	152	16.3%	29	3.1%	15	1.6%	18	1.9%	214	23.0%	485	4.6%	1,353	12.8%	608	5.8%	450	4.3%	2,896	27.4%	1,870	17.7%	1,073	10.0%	88	0.8%	88	0.8%	8,894	82.7%	6,217	57.3%	2,532	23.6%		
24-29	132	14.2%	49	5.3%	14	1.5%	11	1.2%	206	22.2%	485	4.6%	1,271	12.0%	458	4.3%	342	3.2%	2,556	24.2%	2,062	19.3%	1,320	12.5%	472	4.1%	472	4.1%	3,320	30.7%	2,782	25.6%	1,119	10.4%		
30-34	83	8.9%	33	3.5%	13	1.4%	9	1.0%	138	14.8%	332	3.1%	804	7.6%	267	2.5%	187	1.8%	1,590	15.0%	617	5.4%	837	7.3%	280	2.4%	280	2.4%	1,96	1.7%	1,728	15.0%	1,230	10.7%		
35-39	65	7.0%	34	3.7%	20	2.2%	12	1.3%	131	14.1%	236	2.2%	586	5.5%	146	1.4%	131	1.2%	1,089	10.4%	301	2.6%	620	5.4%	166	1.4%	143	1.2%	1,230	10.7%	843	7.3%	540	4.7%		
40-49	92	9.9%	49	5.3%	30	3.2%	15	1.6%	188	20.0%	345	3.3%	953	9.0%	192	1.8%	200	1.9%	1,680	16.0%	437	3.8%	1,002	8.7%	222	1.9%	215	1.9%	1,876	16.3%	1,134	10.0%	743	6.3%		
50-59	15	1.6%	11	1.2%	10	1.1%	7	0.8%	43	4.6%	111	1.1%	282	2.7%	56	0.5%	48	0.4%	497	4.7%	18	0.2%	48	0.4%	9	0.1%	9	0.1%	55	0.5%	540	4.7%	379	3.5%		
60-84	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	18	0.2%	48	0.5%	9	0.1%	8	0.1%	83	0.8%	2,631	22.9%	5,575	48.5%	1,846	16.1%	1,447	12.6%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%	11,489	100.0%		
Total	549	58.0%	205	22.0%	103	11.1%	73	7.8%	930	100.0%	2,082	19.7%	5,370																							

TABLE 4 - AWARD YEAR 2005-06
 COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, AGE, AND STUDENT EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
 CAL GRANT A AND B RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 January 3, 2006

Age	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All											
	Student Educational Level 1			Student Educational Level 2			Student Educational Level 3			Student Educational Level 4			Student Educational Level 1			Student Educational Level 2			Student Educational Level 3			Student Educational Level 4			Total											
	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Percent	Total									
March Competition	19 and under	16	1.5%	535	50.1%	272	25.5%	162	15.2%	98	9.2%	1,067	100.0%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	1,067	100.0%	68	0.7%	107	1.0%	11	0.1%	2	0.0%	188	1.8%							
	20-23	151	14.2%	63	5.9%	22	2.1%	9	0.8%	0	0.0%	23	2.2%	245	23.0%	612	5.9%	1,321	12.7%	438	4.2%	268	2.6%	2,639	25.4%	268	2.6%	2,639	25.4%							
	24-29	173	16.2%	50	4.7%	38	3.6%	19	1.8%	0	0.0%	280	26.2%	619	5.9%	1,495	14.4%	468	4.5%	380	3.7%	2,962	28.5%	792	7.7%	1,545	14.9%	399	3.5%	3,242	28.3%					
	30-34	63	5.9%	37	3.5%	36	3.4%	17	1.6%	0	0.0%	153	14.3%	346	3.3%	200	1.9%	203	2.0%	1,496	14.4%	409	3.6%	784	6.8%	236	2.1%	320	2.4%	1,649	14.4%					
	35-39	54	5.1%	33	3.1%	27	2.5%	19	1.8%	0	0.0%	133	12.5%	239	2.3%	589	5.7%	151	1.5%	136	1.3%	1,115	10.7%	283	2.6%	622	5.4%	155	1.4%	1,248	10.9%					
	40-49	61	5.7%	69	6.5%	22	2.1%	25	2.3%	177	16.6%	338	3.2%	761	7.3%	191	1.8%	196	1.9%	1,488	14.3%	399	3.5%	830	7.2%	213	1.9%	1,663	14.5%							
	50-59	16	1.5%	15	1.4%	15	1.4%	8	0.7%	54	5.1%	23	2.2%	232	2.2%	36	0.3%	57	0.5%	468	4.4%	149	1.3%	227	2.2%	65	0.6%	512	4.5%							
	60-64	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	23	0.2%	8	0.1%	8	0.1%	64	0.6%	64	0.6%	24	0.2%	27	0.2%	9	0.1%	66	0.6%							
	Total	535	50.1%	272	25.5%	162	15.2%	98	9.2%	1,067	100.0%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	1,067	100.0%	68	0.7%	107	1.0%	11	0.1%	2	0.0%	188	1.8%									
	19 and under	109	0.3%	665	1.7%	2,017	5.2%	3,468	8.9%	2,951	7.5%	9,091	23.2%	2,913	5.8%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%	39,137	100.0%	2,913	5.8%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%					
20-23	1,070	2.7%	10,031	26.2%	7,582	19.4%	7,924	20.2%	20,607	52.7%	15,759	31.1%	15,290	30.2%	50,612	100.0%	5,438	10.7%	14,125	27.9%	15,759	31.1%	15,290	30.2%	50,612	100.0%	5,438	10.7%	14,125	27.9%						
24-29	261	0.4%	490	1.3%	656	1.7%	1,957	5.0%	1,661	4.3%	4,189	10.7%	1,127	2.3%	2,017	5.2%	3,468	8.9%	2,951	7.5%	9,091	23.2%	1,070	2.7%	10,031	26.2%	7,582	19.4%	7,924	20.2%						
30-34	156	0.4%	490	1.3%	656	1.7%	1,957	5.0%	1,661	4.3%	4,189	10.7%	820	1.7%	1,080	2.8%	2,913	7.5%	9,091	23.2%	1,070	2.7%	10,031	26.2%	7,582	19.4%	7,924	20.2%	20,607	52.7%						
35-39	172	0.4%	490	1.3%	656	1.7%	1,957	5.0%	1,661	4.3%	4,189	10.7%	1,127	2.3%	2,017	5.2%	3,468	8.9%	2,951	7.5%	9,091	23.2%	1,070	2.7%	10,031	26.2%	7,582	19.4%	7,924	20.2%	20,607	52.7%				
40-49	91	0.2%	229	0.6%	204	0.5%	236	0.6%	760	1.9%	2,089	5.3%	820	1.7%	1,080	2.8%	2,913	7.5%	9,091	23.2%	1,070	2.7%	10,031	26.2%	7,582	19.4%	7,924	20.2%	20,607	52.7%						
50-59	11	0.0%	32	0.1%	26	0.1%	27	0.1%	96	0.2%	252	0.2%	857	0.8%	2,525	2.4%	7,582	19.4%	7,924	20.2%	20,607	52.7%	1,070	2.7%	10,031	26.2%	7,582	19.4%	7,924	20.2%						
60-64	2,525	6.5%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%	39,137	100.0%	2,525	6.5%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%	39,137	100.0%	2,525	6.5%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%	39,137	100.0%						
Total	2,913	5.8%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%	39,137	100.0%	2,913	5.8%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%	39,137	100.0%	2,913	5.8%	8,574	21.9%	14,096	36.0%	13,942	35.6%	39,137	100.0%						
19 and under	16	3.4%	475	36.0%	302	63.6%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
20-23	15	3.2%	48	10.1%	7	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	63	13.3%	1,065	9.1%	1,760	15.0%	5	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
24-29	25	5.3%	69	14.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	88	18.5%	1,102	9.4%	1,542	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
30-34	29	6.1%	58	12.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	94	19.8%	683	5.9%	877	7.5%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
35-39	33	6.9%	42	8.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	75	15.8%	573	4.9%	685	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
40-49	39	8.2%	62	13.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	102	21.5%	721	6.2%	940	8.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
50-59	13	2.7%	15	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	5.9%	243	2.1%	325	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
60-64	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	32	0.3%	45	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
Total	171	36.0%	475	36.0%	302	63.6%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	475	100.0%	5,233	44.7%	6,463	58.2%	9	0.1%	7	0.1%	11,712	100.0%	1,083	9.3%								
19 and under	4,589	6.3%	3,531	4.8%	18,818	25.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
20-23	3,817	5.2%	20,019	27.4%	5,384	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
24-29	1,319	1.8%	3,342	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
30-34	931	1.3%	3,342	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
35-39	1,228	1.7%	4,242	5.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
40-49	510	0.7%	1,701	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
50-59	140	0.2%	406	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
60-64	16,065	22.0%	57,086	76.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
Total	5,404	6.3%	6,765	7.9%	11	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
19 and under	16,065	18.8%	57,036	66.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
20-23	21,469	25.2%	63,801	74.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
Total	21,469	25.2%	63,801	74.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%						

TABLE 4 - AWARD YEAR 2006-07
 COMPETITIVE RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBLE NON-RECIPIENTS
 BY PROGRAM, AGE, AND STUDENT EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
 February 13, 2007

Age	Cal Grant A												Cal Grant B												All															
	Student Educational Level 1				Student Educational Level 2				Student Educational Level 3				Student Educational Level 4				Student Educational Level 1				Student Educational Level 2				Student Educational Level 3				Student Educational Level 4											
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent																																
19 and under	17	1.4%	8	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	2.1%	79	0.7%	119	1.0%	17	0.1%	330	2.9%	220	1.9%	96	0.8%	127	1.0%	17	0.1%	520	4.1%	351	2.8%	245	1.9%						
20-23	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446	12.6%	490	4.3%	330	2.9%	2,878	25.1%	780	6.2%	1,505	11.9%	520	4.1%	831	6.2%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%				
24-29	159	13.3%	44	3.7%	40	3.4%	24	2.0%	282	23.6%	672	5.9%	1,523	13.3%	626	5.5%	512	4.5%	3,333	29.0%	831	6.6%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%	1,812	14.3%	1,612	12.5%	1,812	14.3%	1,612	12.5%		
30-34	75	6.3%	44	3.7%	31	2.6%	32	2.7%	182	15.3%	371	3.2%	743	6.5%	269	2.3%	247	2.2%	1,630	14.2%	446	3.5%	787	6.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%		
35-39	49	4.1%	48	4.0%	25	2.1%	30	2.5%	152	12.7%	312	2.7%	560	4.9%	178	1.6%	198	1.7%	1,248	10.9%	361	2.8%	608	4.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%
40-49	57	4.8%	66	5.5%	40	3.4%	54	4.5%	217	18.2%	396	3.4%	772	6.7%	176	1.5%	224	2.0%	1,568	13.7%	453	3.6%	838	6.6%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%
50-59	13	1.1%	18	1.5%	12	1.0%	12	1.0%	55	4.6%	134	1.2%	276	2.4%	51	0.5%	67	0.6%	528	4.6%	147	1.2%	294	2.3%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%
60-64	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	3	0.0%	5	0.0%	76	0.7%	21	0.2%	48	0.4%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%		
Total	539	45.2%	302	25.3%	178	14.9%	174	14.6%	1,193	100.0%	2,586	22.6%	5,487	47.8%	1,810	15.8%	1,588	13.8%	11,481	100.0%	3,135	24.7%	5,789	45.7%	1,988	15.7%	1,762	13.9%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%				
19 and under	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446	12.6%	490	4.3%	330	2.9%	2,878	25.1%	780	6.2%	1,505	11.9%	520	4.1%	831	6.2%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%				
20-23	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446	12.6%	490	4.3%	330	2.9%	2,878	25.1%	780	6.2%	1,505	11.9%	520	4.1%	831	6.2%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%				
24-29	159	13.3%	44	3.7%	40	3.4%	24	2.0%	282	23.6%	672	5.9%	1,523	13.3%	626	5.5%	512	4.5%	3,333	29.0%	831	6.6%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%	1,812	14.3%	1,612	12.5%	1,812	14.3%				
30-34	75	6.3%	44	3.7%	31	2.6%	32	2.7%	182	15.3%	371	3.2%	743	6.5%	269	2.3%	247	2.2%	1,630	14.2%	446	3.5%	787	6.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%		
35-39	49	4.1%	48	4.0%	25	2.1%	30	2.5%	152	12.7%	312	2.7%	560	4.9%	178	1.6%	198	1.7%	1,248	10.9%	361	2.8%	608	4.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%		
40-49	57	4.8%	66	5.5%	40	3.4%	54	4.5%	217	18.2%	396	3.4%	772	6.7%	176	1.5%	224	2.0%	1,568	13.7%	453	3.6%	838	6.6%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%		
50-59	13	1.1%	18	1.5%	12	1.0%	12	1.0%	55	4.6%	134	1.2%	276	2.4%	51	0.5%	67	0.6%	528	4.6%	147	1.2%	294	2.3%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%		
60-64	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	3	0.0%	5	0.0%	76	0.7%	21	0.2%	48	0.4%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%		
Total	539	45.2%	302	25.3%	178	14.9%	174	14.6%	1,193	100.0%	2,586	22.6%	5,487	47.8%	1,810	15.8%	1,588	13.8%	11,481	100.0%	3,135	24.7%	5,789	45.7%	1,988	15.7%	1,762	13.9%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%				
19 and under	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446	12.6%	490	4.3%	330	2.9%	2,878	25.1%	780	6.2%	1,505	11.9%	520	4.1%	831	6.2%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%				
20-23	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446	12.6%	490	4.3%	330	2.9%	2,878	25.1%	780	6.2%	1,505	11.9%	520	4.1%	831	6.2%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%				
24-29	159	13.3%	44	3.7%	40	3.4%	24	2.0%	282	23.6%	672	5.9%	1,523	13.3%	626	5.5%	512	4.5%	3,333	29.0%	831	6.6%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%	1,812	14.3%	1,612	12.5%	1,812	14.3%				
30-34	75	6.3%	44	3.7%	31	2.6%	32	2.7%	182	15.3%	371	3.2%	743	6.5%	269	2.3%	247	2.2%	1,630	14.2%	446	3.5%	787	6.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%		
35-39	49	4.1%	48	4.0%	25	2.1%	30	2.5%	152	12.7%	312	2.7%	560	4.9%	178	1.6%	198	1.7%	1,248	10.9%	361	2.8%	608	4.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%		
40-49	57	4.8%	66	5.5%	40	3.4%	54	4.5%	217	18.2%	396	3.4%	772	6.7%	176	1.5%	224	2.0%	1,568	13.7%	453	3.6%	838	6.6%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%		
50-59	13	1.1%	18	1.5%	12	1.0%	12	1.0%	55	4.6%	134	1.2%	276	2.4%	51	0.5%	67	0.6%	528	4.6%	147	1.2%	294	2.3%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%		
60-64	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	3	0.0%	5	0.0%	76	0.7%	21	0.2%	48	0.4%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%		
Total	539	45.2%	302	25.3%	178	14.9%	174	14.6%	1,193	100.0%	2,586	22.6%	5,487	47.8%	1,810	15.8%	1,588	13.8%	11,481	100.0%	3,135	24.7%	5,789	45.7%	1,988	15.7%	1,762	13.9%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%				
19 and under	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446	12.6%	490	4.3%	330	2.9%	2,878	25.1%	780	6.2%	1,505	11.9%	520	4.1%	831	6.2%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%				
20-23	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446	12.6%	490	4.3%	330	2.9%	2,878	25.1%	780	6.2%	1,505	11.9%	520	4.1%	831	6.2%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%				
24-29	159	13.3%	44	3.7%	40	3.4%	24	2.0%	282	23.6%	672	5.9%	1,523	13.3%	626	5.5%	512	4.5%	3,333	29.0%	831	6.6%	1,582	12.5%	666	5.3%	536	4.2%	3,156	24.9%	1,812	14.3%	1,612	12.5%	1,812	14.3%				
30-34	75	6.3%	44	3.7%	31	2.6%	32	2.7%	182	15.3%	371	3.2%	743	6.5%	269	2.3%	247	2.2%	1,630	14.2%	446	3.5%	787	6.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%	279	2.2%	300	2.4%		
35-39	49	4.1%	48	4.0%	25	2.1%	30	2.5%	152	12.7%	312	2.7%	560	4.9%	178	1.6%	198	1.7%	1,248	10.9%	361	2.8%	608	4.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%	228	1.8%	203	1.6%		
40-49	57	4.8%	66	5.5%	40	3.4%	54	4.5%	217	18.2%	396	3.4%	772	6.7%	176	1.5%	224	2.0%	1,568	13.7%	453	3.6%	838	6.6%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%	278	2.2%	216	1.7%		
50-59	13	1.1%	18	1.5%	12	1.0%	12	1.0%	55	4.6%	134	1.2%	276	2.4%	51	0.5%	67	0.6%	528	4.6%	147	1.2%	294	2.3%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%	79	0.6%	63	0.5%		
60-64	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	3	0.0%	5	0.0%	76	0.7%	21	0.2%	48	0.4%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%	6	0.0%	3	0.0%		
Total	539	45.2%	302	25.3%	178	14.9%	174	14.6%	1,193	100.0%	2,586	22.6%	5,487	47.8%	1,810	15.8%	1,588	13.8%	11,481	100.0%	3,135	24.7%	5,789	45.7%	1,988	15.7%	1,762	13.9%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%	12,674	100.0%				
19 and under	168	14.1%	59	4.9%	30	2.5%	21	1.8%	278	23.3%	612	5.3%	1,446																											

Table 5
Competitive Cal Grant Program Averages for Recipients and Eligible Non-Recipients by Support Status
Academic Year 2003-04

	Recipients										Eligible Non-Recipients														
	Dependent	Independent					All	Dependent	Independent					All											
		With Children		Without Children					With Children		Without Children														
		Married	Single	Married	Single	Single			Married	Single	Married	Single													
C1	2,254 \$724 61% \$14,715 163 21	6,528 \$213 80% \$14,115 167 33	1,178 \$248 71% \$20,199 162 36	146 \$772 48% \$8,958 161 39	1,393 \$270 75% \$3,660 161 34	11,499 \$331 75% \$13,524 165 31	20,024 \$1,735 38% \$26,324 135 21	7,971 \$1,253 42% \$29,238 137 33	7,126 \$460 71% \$16,255 147 30	2,894 \$1,882 28% \$14,424 130 29	32,028 \$1,612 43% \$8,322 129 29	70,043 \$1,500 44% \$16,908 133 27	C2	2,447 \$528 69% \$15,105 166 20	6,328 \$158 82% \$14,461 170 33	1,136 \$251 66% \$21,533 165 37	133 \$749 39% \$9,243 164 37	848 \$297 73% \$3,739 164 34	10,892 \$269 76% \$14,445 168 30	14,924 \$1,221 45% \$23,025 137 20	6,144 \$1,202 41% \$29,479 138 33	6,414 \$353 71% \$16,484 148 29	1,644 \$2,221 23% \$15,573 129 29	14,643 \$1,987 34% \$9,663 128 30	43,769 \$1,385 44% \$18,222 135 27

Academic Year 2004-05

	Recipients										Eligible Non-Recipients														
	Dependent	Independent					All	Dependent	Independent					All											
		With Children		Without Children					With Children		Without Children														
		Married	Single	Married	Single	Single			Married	Single	Married	Single													
C1	2,305 \$825 58% \$15,507 164 21	6,578 \$239 79% \$14,622 168 33	1,140 \$244 73% \$20,062 163 37	112 \$773 50% \$8,465 162 39	1,295 \$242 79% \$3,262 162 33	11,430 \$363 74% \$13,996 166 31	18,763 \$1,623 38% \$25,428 136 21	8,144 \$1,156 44% \$28,652 139 33	7,316 \$421 72% \$15,984 147 30	2,996 \$1,719 32% \$13,348 132 29	34,079 \$1,492 45% \$7,902 129 29	71,298 \$1,388 45% \$15,942 134 28	C2	2,773 \$534 68% \$15,266 166 20	6,382 \$178 81% \$14,669 169 33	1,261 \$256 70% \$21,132 165 37	144 \$634 55% \$7,959 165 39	912 \$288 75% \$3,654 164 34	11,472 \$287 76% \$14,564 168 31	15,295 \$1,276 44% \$23,446 138 20	6,446 \$1,218 41% \$29,612 139 33	6,558 \$352 70% \$16,579 148 29	1,819 \$2,109 26% \$15,304 129 29	15,800 \$2,024 35% \$9,732 128 30	45,918 \$1,426 44% \$18,290 136 27

Table 5
Competitive Cal Grant Program Averages for Recipients and Eligible Non-Recipients by Support Status
Academic Year 2007-08

	Recipients				Eligible Non-Recipients				All			
	Dependent	With Children		Without Children		Dependent	With Children			Without Children		
		Married	Single	Married	Single		Married	Single		Married	Single	
C1	2,352	1,314	7,165	190	1,687	12,708	13,419	6,354	4,817	1,976	24,533	51,099
	\$ 599	\$ 309	\$ 255	\$ 493	\$ 237	\$ 325	\$ 2,472	\$ 1,896	\$ 967	\$ 2,111	\$ 1,823	\$ 1,933
	68%	70%	80%	66%	80%	77%	32%	39%	60%	33%	45%	42%
	\$ 15,930	\$ 22,040	\$ 15,907	\$ 7,713	\$ 3,297	\$ 14,749	\$ 33,099	\$ 35,439	\$ 22,135	\$ 15,281	\$ 9,028	\$ 20,111
	161	160	165	159	159	163	128	132	143	128	127	130
	21	37	33	36	33	31	21	34	32	29	30	28
C2												

COMPETITIVE CAL GRANT B RECIPIENTS
by Academic Year and Use of a Re-established GPA

Re-established GPA	March Competition						September Competition						March and September Combined					
	Awards			Averages			Awards			Averages			Awards			Averages		
	N	%	EFC	Income	Score	GPA	N	%	EFC	Income	Score	GPA	N	%	EFC	Income	Score	GPA
2001-02	Yes	-					831	8%	\$ 239	\$ 13,086	86	3.25	831	4%	\$ 239	\$ 13,086	86	3.25
	No	10,348	\$ 400	\$ 14,868	87	3.16	10,200	92%	\$ 233	\$ 13,662	86	3.13	20,548	96%	\$ 317	\$ 14,270	86	3.13
	All	10,348	\$ 400	\$ 14,868	87	3.16	11,031		\$ 233	\$ 13,619	86	3.14	21,379		\$ 314	\$ 14,224	86	3.14
2002-03	Yes	1,018	\$ 161	\$ 11,926	167	3.36	1,765	16%	\$ 217	\$ 13,330	168	3.34	2,783	12%	\$ 197	\$ 12,817	168	3.34
	No	10,380	\$ 244	\$ 12,179	165	3.29	9,598	84%	\$ 212	\$ 13,605	167	3.24	19,978	88%	\$ 228	\$ 12,864	166	3.24
	All	11,398	\$ 237	\$ 12,156	165	3.29	11,363		\$ 213	\$ 13,562	167	3.26	22,761		\$ 225	\$ 12,858	166	3.26
2003-04	Yes	1,129	\$ 211	\$ 12,046	167	3.34	1,949	18%	\$ 230	\$ 13,800	169	3.36	3,078	15%	\$ 223	\$ 13,156	169	3.36
	No	9,440	\$ 240	\$ 12,448	165	3.27	8,638	82%	\$ 209	\$ 13,847	168	3.27	18,078	85%	\$ 225	\$ 13,116	166	3.27
	All	10,569	\$ 237	\$ 12,405	165	3.28	10,587		\$ 213	\$ 13,838	168	3.29	21,156		\$ 225	\$ 13,122	166	3.28
2004-05	Yes	1,272	\$ 177	\$ 12,674	168	3.34	2,328	21%	\$ 223	\$ 13,933	169	3.34	3,600	17%	\$ 207	\$ 13,488	169	3.34
	No	9,186	\$ 255	\$ 12,685	165	3.26	8,753	79%	\$ 216	\$ 13,759	167	3.24	17,939	83%	\$ 236	\$ 13,209	166	3.25
	All	10,458	\$ 245	\$ 12,684	166	3.27	11,081		\$ 218	\$ 13,796	168	3.26	21,539		\$ 231	\$ 13,256	167	3.27
2005-06	Yes	1,299	\$ 304	\$ 12,803	166	3.34	2,832	24%	\$ 260	\$ 13,827	168	3.34	4,131	19%	\$ 274	\$ 13,505	168	3.34
	No	9,109	\$ 292	\$ 13,343	164	3.25	8,880	76%	\$ 252	\$ 13,962	166	3.25	17,989	81%	\$ 272	\$ 13,648	165	3.25
	All	10,408	\$ 294	\$ 13,275	164	3.26	11,712		\$ 254	\$ 13,929	167	3.27	22,120		\$ 273	\$ 13,622	166	3.27
2006-07	Yes	1,696	\$ 260	\$ 13,127	166	3.34	2,911	24%	\$ 307	\$ 14,954	168	3.34	4,607	19%	\$ 290	\$ 14,281	167	3.34
	No	9,785	\$ 300	\$ 14,003	163	3.25	9,278	76%	\$ 240	\$ 14,679	166	3.21	19,063	81%	\$ 271	\$ 14,332	164	3.23
	All	11,481	\$ 294	\$ 13,873	164	3.26	12,189		\$ 256	\$ 14,744	166	3.24	23,670		\$ 275	\$ 14,322	165	3.25
2007-08	Yes	1,773	\$ 199	\$ 12,494	165	3.30												
	No	9,683	\$ 206	\$ 13,428	163	3.24												
	All	11,456	\$ 205	\$ 13,284	163	3.25												