
GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday, October 9, 2008

1:15 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.

MEMBERS

Mary Lindsey, Chair
Sharon Bowles, Vice Chair
Kate Jeffery
Dean Kulju
Susan Gutierrez
Andrew Grant

Timothy Bonnel
Marco De La Garza
Rick Diffenderfer
Lisa Douglass
Catherine Graham
Frederick Holland

Judi Sveen
Sally Pace
Adrian Griffin
Coleetta McElroy
Enrique Murillo, Commission Liaison

AGENDA

Call to Order and Roll Call

Administrative Matters

Public Comment (**Public Comment will also be asked for every agenda item**)

	<u>Estimated Time</u>
1. Committee Chair's Report	10 Minutes
2. Executive Director's Report	10 Minutes
3. Commission Staff Liaison Comments	15 Minutes
4. Approval of Minutes for the following meetings: 4. a May 15, 2008 4. b August 7, 2008	10 Minutes
5. Review of Action Items from August 7, 2008 Meeting	10 Minutes
6. Election of Chair and Vice Chair	15 Minutes
7. Review and Approval of Proposed 2009 GAC Workgroup and Meeting Schedule	10 Minutes
8. Consideration of Cal Grant Selection Criteria for Cal Grant Award Determinations for 2009-10	15 Minutes
9. Consideration of 2009-10 Student Expense Budget	15 Minutes
10. Workgroup Updates & Recommendations 10.a Payment Periods for Non-traditional Based Schools 10.b Cal Grant C Alternative Selection Method 10.c Institution and CSAC Duplication of Efforts	15 Minutes 15 Minutes 15 Minutes
11. Selection of Workgroups & Workgroup Topics for Upcoming Year	30 Minutes
12. Consideration of Future Topics	10 Minutes

Adjournment

Times are subject to change

CALIFORNIA STUDENT AID COMMISSION
2009-10 CAL GRANT PROGRAM INCOME CEILINGS

	Cal Grant A and C	Cal Grant B
Dependent students and Independent students with dependents other than a spouse		
Family size:		
Six or more	\$92,100	\$50,600
Five	\$85,400	\$46,900
Four	\$79,700	\$41,900
Three	\$73,300	\$37,700
Two	\$71,600	\$33,400
Independent students		
Single, no dependents	\$29,200	\$29,200
Married, no other dependents	\$33,400	\$33,400

CALIFORNIA STUDENT AID COMMISSION
2009-10 CAL GRANT PROGRAM ASSET CEILINGS

	All Programs
Dependent students**	\$61,700
Independent students	\$29,300

** These ceilings also apply to independent students with dependents other than a spouse.

Note: Per Chapter 403, Statutes of 2000, the Cal Grant program income and asset ceilings are to be adjusted annually using the change in the cost of living within the meaning of paragraph (1) of subdivision (e) of Section 8 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution:

SEC. 8. (e) (1) "Change in the cost of living" for the State, a school district, or a community college district means the percentage change in California per capita personal income from the preceding year.

**CALIFORNIA STUDENT AID COMMISSION
GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**

10834 International Drive
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

**MINUTES
May 15, 2008**

A meeting of the Grant Advisory Committee was held on Thursday, May 15, 2008, in Conference Room A at the CSAC South Building.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mary Lindsey, Chair, PI
Daniel Friedlander, Commission Liaison (Alternate)
Timothy Bonnel, CCC
Lora Jo Bossio, UC
Marco De La Garza, CCC
Lisa Douglass, AICCU
Catherine Graham, AICCU
Frederick Holland, PI*
Julia Ibanez, CSU (Alternate)
Kate Jeffery, UC
Dean Kulju, CSU
Louise McClain, K-12 (Alternate)
Coleetta McElroy, CASFAA
Sally Pace, K-12
Ricardo Tejada, AICCU

John Bays, Chief, Information Technology
Janet McDuffie, Chief, Federal Policy & Programs
Catalina Mistler, Chief, PASD
Steve Caldwell, Manager, Program Administration
Bryan Dickason, Manager, Cal Grant Operations
Gloria Falcon, Manager, PPD
Cheryl Lenz, Acting Manager, Communication & Public Affairs
Thea Pot-Van Atta, Manager, Student Support Services
Ruben Romero, Manager, Research & Policy Analysis
Renee Alexander, PASD Liaison
Clarita Cortez, PPD
Suzan Donald, PPD
Tae Kang, PPD
Lori Nezhura, Governmental Relations
Mona Stolz, PPD

STAFF:

AICCU (Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities)
CASFAA (California Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators)
CCC (California Community College)
CSU (California State University)
HS (High School)
K-12 (Kindergarten – 12th grade)
PASD (Program Administration and Services Division)
PI (Proprietary Institution)
PPD (Program Policy and Development Branch)
UC (University of California)

1 A quorum was recognized and Chairperson Lindsey called the meeting to order.

2 **TAB 1 – COMMITTEE CHAIR’S REPORT**

3 Chairperson Lindsey discussed the number of new Commissioners and indicated that
4 the Commission has a new Chair – Dennis Galligani. She also recognized the presence and
5 participation of Commissioner Friedlander. She discussed recent changes in the Commission’s
6 staffing, the Commission’s moving plans, and the fact that staff’s highest priority will be
7 delivering grants to students. Chair Lindsey recognized the Commission staff’s hard work and
8 dedication. She also summarized the decision made at the last meeting to hold one-day
9 meetings rather than two days as has been past practice. Decisions were yet to be made on
10 August or October meetings. During discussions at the last meeting related to the Competitive
11 program, GAC recommended that CSAC staff run the Competitive awards and select the
12 students who would potentially benefit from Cal Grant C. Staff would send Cal Grant C
13 Supplement forms to these students in case the Competitive program lost its funding. Member
14 Graham discussed the importance of regularly scheduled GAC meetings. Several members
15 discussed potential alternate locations. Staff indicated that the location and resources are
16 potential issues related to the workload involved in planning and carrying out GAC meetings.
17 Typical meetings take in excess of 200 hours to plan and administer. Staff will continue to seek
18 guidance, but will need to consider new ways of gathering input. Committee member McClain
19 reiterated her support for continued GAC meetings as did Commission Liaison Friedlander.
20 Member Jeffrey leads the SEARS workgroup. SEARS needs to be discussed in August.

21 **TAB 2 – EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT**

22 Janet McDuffie, Chief, Federal Policy and Programs Division provided a summary of the
23 Governor’s May revision to the January budget as it affects the Commission. The Governor
24 postponed the sale of EDFUND until the 2009-10 state fiscal year. Several reasons were cited
25 for the postponement including; 1) changes at the federal level, 2) changes in the credit industry
26 that affect the student loan programs; and 3) the withdrawal of Bear Stearns as the sale-side

1 advisor (due to the buyout by JP Morgan Chase). The indication is that the Civil Service
2 positions assigned to EDFUND will remain with EDFUND at this point. Commission management
3 will communicate to staff who received layoff notices as necessary. The State Restriction of
4 Employment List gives employees with layoff notices enhanced priority for consideration for
5 other civil service opportunities.

6 The Commission is still subject to the 10 percent Governor's budget reduction in the
7 current year which represents a \$1.6 million reduction to our administrative budget. We
8 continue to evaluate the impact of the reductions on staff and our customers and service levels.
9 The \$1.8 million that had been budgeted for the transition due to the sale has now been
10 redirected to cover the Commission's move costs. The Commission's lease of the
11 headquarters building expires at the end of August, 2008. The South Building (10834
12 International Drive) is available to Commission staff through April 2009.

13 The May Revision upholds the January Budget proposal to eliminate funding for the Cal
14 Grant Competitive A and B awards. Renewals would continue to be funded, but no new awards
15 would be issued. The Legislature will have to consider the implications and decide whether or
16 not to restore the program's funding into the budget.

17 There is also a change in the funding source for our Cal Grant programs. An amount
18 equal to the funding provided for students who qualify for the Temporary Assistance for Needy
19 Families (TANF) program would be provided from the TANF block grant (through the
20 Department of Social Services) as maintenance of effort funding to satisfy federal requirement.

21 The federal College Access Challenge Grant will be used (\$330,000) to fund the Cash
22 for College program and funding will be provided to support the Cal SOAP program (\$7.4 million
23 with \$1 million set aside for career-technical education awareness) as well.

24 **TAB 3 – COMMISSION STAFF LIAISON REPORT**

25 Catalina Mistler, Chief of the Program and School Services Division indicated that the
26 Commission has offered 66,389 Cal Grant Entitlement awards which is approximately 12

1 percent higher than last year. Commission staff is processing appeals for students who did not
2 get their GPA in by March 2, due to circumstances beyond their control. Commission staff is
3 running the Cal Grant Transfer Entitlement award process and there have been 21,000 offers
4 which is approximately 32 percent ahead of last year. Each potentially eligible applicant will
5 receive a Transfer Entitlement Verification Form (G-6) which the student will need to complete
6 and return to the Commission for processing to determine final program eligibility. John Bays,
7 Chief of the Commission's Information Technology Division indicated that the Commission was
8 running the Competitive award process as well. The Commission will send postcards to the
9 Competitive students and work with segmental representatives to develop the postcard.

10 The Commission has awarded approximately 3,200 students in the Chafee program and
11 continues to make awards to eligible students. Commission staff is working with the
12 Department of Social Services to seek additional funding for Chafee awards. Staff is creating a
13 roster that will allow the Commission to identify which students are actually still eligible for
14 Chafee so we can send funds directly to the school of attendance.

15 The SNAPLE program includes SNAPLE NF for nursing faculty (instructors) and
16 SNAPLE NSF for nurses who choose to work in state facilities. Commission staff must award
17 the SNAPLE allocations (100 for each program) by June 30, 2008. Commission staff has been
18 working with the Labor and Workforce Development Agency to help advertise for the programs
19 as well as the Board of Registered Nurses. Staff is requesting GAC members' assistance in
20 getting the word out about the SNAPLE NF and SNAPLE NSF programs.

21 The APLE program allocations for 2007-08 were reduced by 600 bringing the total
22 available for 2006-07 and 2007-08 to 7,200 allocations. The UC has exceeded their allocation
23 goal and the CSU is working on generating approximately 4,000 applications (Member Kulju).
24 The Committee discussed the process and the need to utilize the allocated awards.

25

26

TAB 4 – APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On **MOTION** by Member Bonnel, **SECONDED** by Member Pace and **CARRIED**, the GAC approved the minutes of the February 21, 2008 GAC teleconference meeting, as amended.

After some discussion, the Chair suggested that action on the minutes of the March 14, 2008, meeting be postponed to allow staff to add some detail related to the committee's discussion about the internal audit conducted by the Department of Finance on Cal Grant program eligibility for new awards and potential communication to the financial aid community.

TAB 5 – WORKGROUP UPDATES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Member Holland provided an update from the Nontraditional-Based schools workgroup. He will contact three or four schools to get actual student scenarios which he will provide to Commission staff. Chair Lindsey and Member Holland indicated that the goal would be to optimize student financial assistance (and working to replicate the federal model).

Members Kulju and Graham provided an update from the Account Maintenance and Annual Interest Calculation workgroup. Member Kulju was to work, through his segment, with the Department of Finance (DOF) to clarify the methodology used to calculate average daily balances and whether or not the methodology is consistent with the DOF decision. The CSU's finance staff is planning to discuss the issue with the DOF staff. Member Kulju will report his findings to Commission staff. If the CSU's updated information requires a change in the current policy related to interest-bearing accounts, Commission staff will communicate the change to the field.

TAB 6 – CONSIDERATION OF AT-RISK INSTITUTIONS REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM

Gloria Falcon, Manager of Program and Policy Development, briefly summarized the changes that were discussed during the morning workgroup meeting. After some discussion by the Committee related to at-risk institution, appeals, and the communications that would be sent

1 to schools as warnings. The Committee would like to see warning letters go out to the schools
2 prior to discontinuing Cal Grant advances. Staff indicated that they would send an Operations
3 Memo summarizing the Commission's action (pending approval by the Commissioners in June)
4 and the process staff would use to carry out the Commission's direction. The Operations Memo
5 would also explain the appeals process. PASD Division Chief Mistler agreed to contact the six
6 identified at-risk institutions to determine whether or not they have separate accounts for Cal
7 Grant funds.

8 On **MOTION** by Member Graham, **SECONDED** by Member De La Garza and
9 **CARRIED**, the GAC approved the following motion: *Option 1 – Under this method, the*
10 *Commission would release Cal Grant funds to the institution after the institution has verified a*
11 *student's eligibility and has posted the requested payment to WebGrants. Under this option; 1)*
12 *The institution must maintain Cal Grant funds in a separate bank account, 2) The Commission*
13 *must verify eligibility and post payments (reimbursement) to WebGrants for eligible students; 3)*
14 *the Commission will approve disbursement of Cal Grant funds to the institution; and 4) the*
15 *institution must submit documentation within two weeks of disbursement that each student*
16 *received Cal Grant funds.*

17 **TAB 7 – CONSIDERATION OF COMMISSIONER'S DIRECTIVES: ADMINISTRATION**
18 **OF CAL GRANT PROGRAM AND ADDING ADDITIONAL POSITIONS TO THE**
19 **GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

20 Member Bonnel agreed to send all GAC members a link to the website containing the
21 Power Point presentation shown at CASFAA. Committee members were reminded to be careful
22 not to discuss the Power Point with other members to avoid Bagley-Keene violations.

23 PASD Division Chief Mistler agreed to visit local campuses for each segment to learn
24 more about what they do on campus and how to reduce duplicative workload. Member Jeffery
25 recommended bringing a list of all eligibility requirements to site visits. Member Holland offered
26 to help redesign a few of the Cal Grant letters – potentially through a design class on campus.

1 Chair Lindsey recommended a 2-3 hour teleconference in July to discuss the CASFAA
2 Power Point presentation and to help define the scope for the CSAC Power Point regarding
3 processing similarities and differences. Staff would share the Power Point presentation with
4 members for comment.

5 Concerned about High School representation, the Commission requested that additional
6 K-12 School Representatives be added to GAC. Member Pace and Alternate Member McClain
7 indicated that, although the information gained at GAC is valuable, they felt additional positions
8 would not be practical since topics discussed at GAC are generally geared toward college
9 students. It was expressed that student representation is critical at GAC Meetings. To increase
10 attendance from student representatives, it was recommended that student appointees have
11 two alternates each to ensure student representation at GAC Meetings.

12 **TAB 8 – REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS FROM MARCH 14, 2008 MEETING**

13 Commission staff agreed to contact GAC members to discuss the next meeting date,
14 location and potential for a teleconference meeting. Members offered various locations to
15 assist. The Committee Chair had hoped to discuss how the GAC Chair and Vice Chair will work
16 with Commission staff. This issue was deferred to a future meeting.

17 Commission staff is working on developing a survey to gather information related to
18 SEARS and whether or not the SEARS is providing information needed by schools and
19 Commission staff. Commission staff also responded to questions related to the timing of the
20 Cal Grant Entitlement awards. Commission discussions related to the implementation of the
21 Entitlement program indicated that the Commissioners were informed that the Entitlement
22 awards could potentially be made as early as January. Discussion related to the roles and
23 responsibilities of GAC members would be postponed – potentially a subject for the October
24 planning meeting.

25 Member McClain initiated a discussion on the Cal Grant award letter and the non-user
26 friendly aspects of its appearance and content. Staff agreed, and would like to continue to work

1 on this issue. There have been questions as to whether or not the letter needs to go out and
2 whether or not school efforts duplicate the Commission's letter. Information Technology Chief
3 John Bays responded indicating that the Commission is tied to the IBM Mainframe processing
4 system which is not flexible. Additionally, the total number of letters that are sent, over 100
5 different system-generated letters and the limited fonts and formatting available, make it difficult
6 to improve aesthetics.

7 Member Graham announced exciting news. Student member Ricardo Tejada received a
8 major scholarship and will be attending England's Cambridge University in 2009 to earn a
9 Master's Degree in Urban Planning, Regeneration and Growth.

10 There being no further business, the meeting of the Grant Advisory Committee
11 was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

12
13
14
15
16

MARY LINDSEY
17 GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**CALIFORNIA STUDENT AID COMMISSION
GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**

10834 International Drive
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

**MINUTES
August 7, 2008**

A meeting of the Grant Advisory Committee was held on Thursday, August 7, 2008, in Conference Room A at the CSAC South Building.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mary Lindsey, Chair, PI
Sharon Bowles, Vice Chair, K-12
Chris Shultz, UC (Alternate)
Susan Gutierrez, CSU
Lisa Douglass, AICCU
Catherine Graham, AICCU
Frederick Holland, PI
Kate Jeffery, UC
Coleetta McElroy, CASFAA
Sally Pace, K-12
Richard Quintana, CCC (Alternate)

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC:

Tina Miller, PI

STAFF:

John Bays, Chief, Information Technology
Janet McDuffie, Chief, Federal Policy & Programs
Catalina Mistler, Chief, PASD
Steve Caldwell, Manager, Program Administration
Bryan Dickason, Manager, Cal Grant Operations
Thea Pot-Van Atta, Manager, Student Support
Services
Charles Wood, Program Compliance
Joe Lopez, Manager, Specialized Programs
Gloria Falcon, Manager, PPD
Clarita Cortez, PPD
Suzan Donald, PPD
Tae Kang, PPD
Lori Nezhura, Governmental Relations
Patsy Chavez, PPD

AICCU (Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities)
CASFAA (California Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators)
CCC (California Community College)
CSU (California State University)
HS (High School)
K-12 (Kindergarten – 12th grade)
PASD (Program Administration and Services Division)
PI (Proprietary Institution)
PPD (Program Policy and Development Branch)
UC (University of California)

1 Roll Call was taken, a quorum was recognized, and Chair Lindsey called the meeting to
2 order.

3 **TAB 1 – COMMITTEE CHAIR’S REPORT**

4 Chair Lindsey spoke about the best use of time during GAC Meetings. Chair Lindsey
5 requested that once a person has spoken on a topic, they write down their additional comments
6 and hold their responses or comments until everyone has spoken and hold their hands up with
7 fingers raised indicating their second or third comments. Chair Lindsey also requested that
8 everyone summarize their comments and not reiterate what others are saying to make the best
9 use of time and move forward during meetings.

10 Motion sheets were discussed and members were reminded to use the green forms
11 when a motion is made. The maker of the motion is responsible for writing out the motion for
12 the record. Member Bowles will be the keeper of the forms until the meeting ends to ensure
13 accountability for the motions.

14 Chair Lindsey acknowledged that Commissioner Dan Friedlander resigned and he was
15 replaced by Commissioner Barry Keene, who was a former Senator and has already begun his
16 service on the Commission.

17 The At-Risk Institutions issue was addressed at the last Commission meeting, but was
18 tabled for further follow-up work on the separate account issue, and that all the institutions had
19 not yet been contacted. Also, the Interest-Bearing Account issue is pending.

20 Commissioners again requested GAC to consider adding additional high school
21 representatives to GAC. Chair Lindsey gave her input received from Vice Chair Bowles and
22 Member Pace. The Commissioners conceded after some discussion.

23 **TAB 2 – EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT**

24 Janet McDuffie, Chief of the Federal Policy and Programs Division, provided the
25 Executive Director’s Report in the absence of Diana Fuentes-Michel, Executive Director. The
26 Commission is still awaiting the passing of the State budget. Currently, the Commission is

1 anticipating a potential \$1.6 million reduction. Chief McDuffie explained that due to the potential
2 sale of EdFund and this reduction, several staff were placed on the State Restriction of
3 Appointment List (SROA). These experienced staff were given notice of potential layoff and the
4 increased opportunity to apply for positions with transfer to other State agencies. The
5 Commission was affected by the need to absorb EdFund civil service staff and going through
6 several layoff plans as required by the Department of Personnel Administration. This resulted in
7 a reduction of experienced staff in critical areas throughout the Commission. Although
8 Commission staff is working hard to meet workload expectations, this reduction will require
9 changes in terms of how Commission staff communicate and provide customer and stakeholder
10 services. The pending sale of EdFund has also affected the Commission's move to a new
11 facility. Commission staff had to make all space as usable as possible, so the Commission no
12 longer has a meeting location that is large enough for GAC meetings.

13 Staff has completed the high school graduation date project. Commission staff can now
14 collect the data without having to go through the institutions to validate high school graduation.

15 Funding for the Cal-SOAP and Cash for College programs is being placed on the
16 application for the Federal Challenge Access Grant, which has already been transmitted to the
17 United States Department of Education (USED). Commission staff are awaiting the award
18 funds, which are expected later in August. There are still issues with the gap funding within the
19 Cal-SOAP programs. The Commissioners requested support from segmental representatives.
20 Responses were received and Commission staff is compiling the responses.

21 **TAB 3 – COMMISSION STAFF LIAISON REPORT**

22 Catalina Mistler, Chief of the Program Administration and Services Division, indicated
23 that due to the reductions being faced by the Commission, GAC will have to begin thinking of
24 how and where future meetings will be held.

25

26

1 The Governor's proposed budget includes the elimination of the competitive Cal Grant
2 program awards. The Commission sent postcards notifying students who met the March 2nd
3 deadline to check the CSAC Web site and Web Grants for Cal Grant confirmation.

4 Staff is currently processing G-6 forms for the Transfer Entitlement Program.
5 Commission staff sent over 20,000 G-6 forms, and approximately 8,000 have been completed.
6 Staff is keying those into the system to confirm the Transfer Entitlement E-2 awards. For the
7 2008-09 award year, we offered 11.7 percent more High School Entitlement awards than in
8 2007-08.

9 Cal Grant C Supplements have been received, but will not be keyed into the system until
10 after entitlements are keyed into the system. Staff is working to identify ways to key them into
11 the system so they can be processed as soon as the budget is passed.

12 An Operations Memo, GOM #2008-13, was distributed to explain the status of awards.
13 In addition, a Special Alert, GSA 2008-22, was released with notification of the change in the
14 Call Center's hours from 8:00 am-5:00 pm to 1:00 pm-5:00 pm. This is a direct result of the
15 budget reductions. The Commission had to layoff approximately 15 student assistants who
16 answered phones in the Call Center. In addition, the Call Center's e-mail volume has increased
17 with the reduction of the Call Center's hours. Commission staff filled about eight of eleven
18 vacancies in the program area. However, these new staff will require training since they are all
19 new to State Civil Service.

20 Member Douglass asked if it was possible to have students volunteer in the Call Center.
21 Member Bowles added the possibility of giving students work experience credits to entice them.
22 Chief McDuffie indicated that was being considered; however, research is being done regarding
23 the liability insurance associated to having volunteers work at the Commission.

24 The Real-Time database project is underway and is anticipated to be tested and
25 launched in the winter term.

1 The Commission received the award notification from the federal government for the
2 Chafee Program, which is administered by the Commission for the California Department of
3 Social Services. The award is a little less than the 2007-08 allocation. The Commission is
4 hopeful that the State funding appropriation portion does not get eliminated or reduced in the
5 final budget. Staff is currently working on sending award notifications to potential Chafee
6 recipients. Once the budget is signed, payments can be processed. A Special Alert, GSA
7 2008-24, was recently released informing schools of the status of the Chafee Program awards.

8 In WebGrants, approximately 71,000 students have been offered a Cal Grant
9 Entitlement award. In order to be awarded, each student's high school graduation must be
10 certified, either by high school certification, college certification or self-certification. To date,
11 only about 8,900 have been certified. Approximately 4,000 students do not need to be certified
12 since they were awarded based on a GED score. Students that have not been certified will be
13 placed on hold and will be unable to receive their award. Bryan Dickason, Manager of Cal
14 Grant Operations, reported that in early June, schools were notified that they could either go
15 into WebGrants to verify the students that graduated, or that students can self-certify using a
16 downloadable form. Commission staff will send students an e-mail giving them instructions on
17 how to certify their high school graduation by self-certification via WebGrants.

18 Member Graham asked, with budget cuts and with a possible reduction in training staff,
19 if Commission staff will provide training at CASFAA where schools can receive needed
20 information and updates. Chief Mistler relayed that all Commission trainers transferred to other
21 agencies with the exception of Manager Dickason. The Commission recognizes the importance
22 of supplying information to institutions and we are researching how this can be done in a
23 different setting due to the changes the Commission is facing. For example, one school
24 requested and received on-site training for their staff at the Commission. Manager Dickason
25 has committed to providing training at CCCSFAAA and CASFAA. Member Graham agreed to
26 send information on using a videoconferencing application called Wimba.

1 Lori Nezhura, Governmental Relations, reported that Assembly Bill 2260 was signed into
2 law. Effective January 1, 2009, the Commission will be able to offer Cal Grant B awards based
3 on college GPAs.

4 **TAB 4 – APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MARCH 14, 2008, AND MAY 15, 2008**

5 On **MOTION** by Member Pace, **SECONDED** by Member Bowles and **CARRIED**, the
6 GAC approved the minutes of the March 14, 2008 GAC Meeting, as amended.

7 The May 15, 2008 Minutes were discussed. Research is pending regarding page 7, Tab
8 Item 7, the Commission's directive to add additional K-12 members. This topic was discussed
9 but was inadvertently omitted from the minutes. Program Policy and Development staff will
10 review the transcripts and make the necessary corrections.

11 **TAB 5 – REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS FROM THE MAY 15, 2008 MEETING**

12 Gloria Falcon, Manager of the Program Policy and Development Branch, summarized
13 the Action Items from the May 15, 2008 Meeting.

14 Item 1 - Action Item charts are now included with minutes.

15 Item 2 – A correction was made to Member Bonnel's last name.

16 Item 3 – All GAC attendees are now listed on the cover page of the minutes, as well as
17 workgroup and meeting notices.

18 Item 4 – Member Holland contacted schools regarding payment periods and would
19 present his findings.

20 Item 5 – Member Kulju was not present to share his discussions with the Department of
21 Finance regarding the Cal Grant Account Maintenance and Annual Interest issues.

22 Item 6 – Manager Falcon contacted six at-risk institutions and would present her
23 findings.

24 Item 7 – GAC members were sent a link to the Web site containing the Power Point
25 presentation shown at CASFAA.

1 Item 8 – Chief Mistler began this action item, but it is still pending. Chief Mistler would
2 discuss this when the agenda topic arises later this meeting.

3 Item 9 – It was recommended that eligibility requirements are taken to on-site visits.
4 This item is pending since there was no recollection of this action item’s purpose.

5 Item 10 – Member Holland completed his action item. A design class at Member
6 Holland’s institution developed three different examples of Cal Grant letters as a project. These
7 samples will be shared at the next GAC Meeting as an agenda item.

8 Item 11 – There was a recommendation to hold a teleconference meeting in July 2008
9 regarding the CASFAA Power Point presentation in relation to similarities and differences. This
10 issue is an agenda item for this meeting. The teleconference did not take place due to
11 scheduling conflicts.

12 Item 12 – The CASFAA Power Point was shared with GAC Members.

13 Item 13 – In order to receive more student representation at GAC Meetings, the
14 Commission will add a request, in the nomination letter to appointing authorities, that they also
15 submit the names and contact information for two student alternates.

16 **TAB 6 – WORKGROUP UPDATES AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

17 **Tab 6.a – Cal Grant Account Maintenance and Annual Interest Calculation**

18 Member Graham reported on the Workgroup discussion regarding the Cal Grant
19 Account Maintenance and Annual Interest Calculation. With the absence of Member Kulju, no
20 information was available regarding his conversations with his finance staff and Department of
21 Finance staff. Chief McDuffie gave an update on this issue. Conversation regarding this issue
22 is being deferred due to unresolved questions and pending legal review. Once a decision is
23 made by legal staff, GAC members can discuss this issue further.

24 **Tab 6.b – Payment Periods for Non-Traditional Based Schools**

25 The Committee discussed Payment Periods for Non-Traditional Based Schools.
26 Member Holland and Tae Kang, Staff Member of the Program Policy and Development Branch,

1 provided information and examples of how payments could be processed by non-traditional
2 based schools. One example suggested moving to a Pell Grant-type payment system. Another
3 option presented by Staff Member Kang was to look at different payment periods for non-term
4 based schools. This information will be shared with Member Bonnel, since he was not present,
5 along with other institutions and will be discussed during the October GAC Meeting. This
6 workgroup is not yet concluded.

7 **Tab 6.c – Student Expense and Resources Survey (SEARS) Information**

8 On **MOTION** by Member Jeffery, **SECONDED** by Member Graham and **CARRIED**, the
9 GAC approved the following motion: *GAC Recommends: 1) The deadline for campuses to
10 submit the student expense budget data to be used in CSAC's preliminary Cal Grant awards be
11 moved from December to February 15th. If campuses do not submit updated data by February
12 15th, CSAC will use the campus' prior year information, and 2) To be able to use the updated
13 information, CSAC will begin making Cal Grant awards around March 1st, or as soon as CSAC
14 has input the expense budget information.*

15 The purpose and State's interest in SEARS was discussed and resulted in a two-fold
16 purpose. One is to provide data that is not available from other sources, especially for
17 undergraduate resources, and could include graduate resources and expense data. This helps
18 policy makers in making educated decisions about State financial aid and other issues related to
19 the access and affordability to postsecondary education in California. The other purpose
20 assists individual campuses to establish defensible expense budgets by promoting a
21 standardized methodology. The SEARS survey will maintain status quo. This workgroup is
22 concluded.

23 **TAB 7 – CONSIDERATION OF AT-RISK INSTITUTIONS REIMBURSEMENT** 24 **PROGRAM**

25 Manager Falcon reported that last Fall, Commissioners directed CSAC staff to develop
26 criteria to identify at-risk institutions and seek a similar criteria used by the USED, in addition to

1 reviewing how CSAC is defining at-risk institutions as compared to how USED identifies these
2 schools as being financially unstable or administratively incapable. To determine at-risk
3 institutions, Commission staff met with USED staff, along with accrediting agency
4 representatives and other governmental officials. In addition to reviewing USED's report that
5 identifies at-risk institutions, Commission staff has been attending meetings with USED where
6 they are able to receive information that an institution is at risk prior to that school appearing on
7 the at-risk report.

8 The Commission has considered putting these at-risk institutions in a reimbursement-
9 only program. Institutions found at risk will receive a letter from the Commission informing them
10 that they will be placed in this category. In addition, at-risk institutions will be notified 30 days
11 before payments are halted by the Commission. At that time, the institution will have the
12 opportunity to state their case and appeal the Commission's decision.

13 Another option agreed to by GAC members was that the Commission would release Cal
14 Grant funds to institutions that are at-risk, after the institution has verified a student's eligibility
15 and has posted the requested payment onto WebGrants. The Commission will then approve
16 the disbursement of Cal Grant funds to the institution. The institution will be required to submit
17 documentation within two weeks of disbursement and verify that each of those students have
18 actually been disbursed the Cal Grant award. In addition, these institutions must maintain a
19 separate account for Cal Grant funds.

20 At the time Commission staff identified at-risk institutions, six were identified. Of the six,
21 three are no longer in this category, and one is no longer a Title IV school, which means they
22 are no longer Cal Grant qualified. Currently there are two at-risk institutions, both of which have
23 separate accounts.

24

25

26

1 **TAB 8 – REVIEW OF CAL GRANT PROCESSES FOR POTENTIAL AREAS OF**
2 **DUPLICATON OF EFFORT**

3 At a recent Commission Meeting, CASFAA and CCCSFAAA prepared a presentation for
4 the Commissioners that identified similarities and differences in administering Federal and State
5 programs. Commission Chair Galligani directed Commission staff to work with GAC members
6 to identify similarities and differences. The presentation was viewed and it was discussed, in
7 summary, that institutions and Commission staff collect GPA and graduation verification,
8 California residency, income and asset information, and make award notifications. Manager
9 Dickason added that the Real-Time Committee is creating a web service to allow campuses
10 access to the GPA database. Several members commented that it would be beneficial if the
11 information was on a central database so all schools could find students' information rather than
12 require the student to submit information to several schools if a student were to apply to more
13 than one school. Members Jeffrey and Graham suggested listing the Cal Grant eligibility
14 requirements and identifying which areas are collected solely by the institution or by the
15 Commission, and which areas are collected by both the institutions and the Commission. Chair
16 Lindsey suggested we begin looking at duplication by first gathering information to see what
17 segments are doing and where there are duplicative efforts. A workgroup was formed and
18 Member Gutierrez and Chair Lindsey will gather information, submit their findings to Chief
19 Mistler so Commission staff can review and provide input, then distribute to GAC members,
20 without any discussion amongst members.

21 **TAB 9 – CONSIDERATION OF FUTURE MEETING TOPICS**

22 Chief Mistler suggested that we include, as an agenda item, "Consideration of Future
23 Meeting Topics", at every GAC Meeting. This topic can help to generate issues for discussion
24 at future GAC Meetings.

25 At the next GAC Meeting, the following topics will be discussed: Member Holland's
26 letters created by students at his institution; the new GI Bill for Cal Grant awards for veterans

1 and changes to the Higher Education Act in relation to veterans; under-utilization of APLE; the
2 creation of a form for agenda topics; duplication of efforts; the interest calculation issue; and
3 payment for non-term based institutions.

4 Manager Dickason recommended the formation of a workgroup for alternative selection
5 methods for Cal Grant C Supplements. Members Pace and McElroy volunteered to research
6 this issue and report their findings at the next GAC Meeting.

7 Chair Lindsey asked if the agenda could contain an estimated allocation of time for each
8 agenda topic to limit the amount of discussion time spent for each topic. Manager Falcon
9 indicated that the Commission's staff counsel will need to be advised and consulted before time
10 limits are placed on the agenda.

11 Member Graham recommended that staff have reference materials available when
12 presenting a topic so those materials can be viewed during discussion of those items at GAC
13 Meetings, should questions arise.

14 There being no further business, the meeting of the Grant Advisory Committee was
15 adjourned at 5:07 p.m.

16
17
18
19
20
21

MARY LINDSEY
GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE CHAIR

GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
ACTION ITEMS 2008

Shaded Items have been completed.

ITEM	DATE	TAB	AGENDA TOPIC	REQUESTED BY	ACTION ITEM	RESPONSIBLE	STATUS
1	08/07/08	4	5/15/08 Minutes changes	Member Graham	Corrections to Tab 7 of 5/15/08 minutes need to be made. Transcripts will be reviewed to verify information and corrections will be made.	Gloria Falcon Patsy Chavez	Completed. Minutes-Tab 7 information omitted was added to minutes regarding the addition of K-12 representatives.
2	08/07/08	4	5/15/08 Minutes changes	Member Graham	Member Graham indicated corrections need to be made to Page 2, Line 13, of minutes. "Lost" should be "regained". Transcripts will be reviewed to verify information and corrections will be made if correct.	Gloria Falcon Patsy Chavez	Completed. Minutes not changed. Minutes should remain with "lost" since the competitive program was in danger of losing funding.
3	08/07/08	5	Commission Directive: Administration of CG Program	Member Jeffery	Member Jeffery recommended that a list of eligibility requirements be taken to all on-site visits to Cal Grant participating institutions.	Catalina Mistler	Completed
4	08/07/08	5	Commission Directive: Administration of CG Program	Member McClain	Member Holland submitted three redesigned Cal Grant letters that were completed by students at his institution. These letters will be shared at October's GAC Meeting.	Member Holland	Completed. Documents received by Patsy from Member Holland
5	08/07/08	6.a	Cal Grant Account Maintenance & Annual Interest Calculation and Negative Interest	Chair Lindsey Janet McDuffie	CSAC Staff Counsel Tippins will review the interest earned information. The outcome will be shared with GAC and the Commissioners.	Janet McDuffie Keri Tippins	Pending legal review.
6	08/07/08	6.b	Payment Period for Non-Traditional Based Schools	Member Holland	It was suggested that Cal Grant payments for non-traditional based schools be modeled as Pell Grant payments. Member Holland will forward a copy of his Pell Grant payment example to Member Bonnel.	Member Holland	10/9 Workgroup and Agenda Item

**GRANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
ACTION ITEMS 2008**

Shaded Items have been completed.							
ITEM	DATE	TAB	AGENDA TOPIC	REQUESTED BY	ACTION ITEM	RESPONSIBLE	STATUS
7	08/07/08	8	Duplicative Efforts	Chair Lindsey	Chair Lindsey and Member Gutierrez volunteered to be responsible for coordinating the data collected from schools and segments on possible areas of duplication through a chart format using eligibility requirements as the category. This chart will be submitted to CSAC staff for collaboration. It will then be sent out to segments to complete.	Chair Lindsey Member Gutierrez	10/9 Workgroup and Agenda Item
8	08/07/08	8	Duplicative Efforts	Chair Lindsey	Chair Lindsey suggested that CSAC create a Cal Grant Eligibility Estimator.	Catalina Mistler Bryan Dickason	Defect submitted to CSAC IT
9	08/07/08	7	At-Risk Institutions	Catalina Mistler Gloria Falcon	The results of the At-Risk Institutions discussion will be shared with Commissioners at the September Commission Meeting.	Chair Lindsey Catalina Mistler Gloria Falcon	Completed. Update will be provided to Commissioners at next Comm Meeting.
10	08/07/08	9	Alternate Selection Methods for Cal Grant C	Member Pace	A workgroup regarding alternate selection methods for Cal Grant C was discussed. Member Pace and Member McElroy will meet to further discuss.	Member Pace Member McElroy	10/9 Workgroup and Agenda Item
11	08/07/08	9	Time limits to agendas	Chair Lindsey	Chair Lindsey requested a legal opinion on setting time limits to agenda items.	Gloria Falcon	Completed. Agendas will include "estimated time" next to each item.
12	08/07/08	9	Agenda Materials	Member Graham	Member Graham requested that reference materials be made available as a resource for agenda items at future GAC Meetings.	GAC Members CSAC staff	
13	08/07/08	9	Agenda Discussion Items	Member Bowles	Develop form for future agenda topics of discussion or suggestions.	GAC Members CSAC staff	
14	08/07/08	9	Consideration of future topics	GAC Members	Member Pace suggested that "Future Agenda Topics" be a standing agenda item.	Catalina Mistler Gloria Falcon Patsy Chavez	Completed. Added to Agenda on an ongoing basis..
15	08/07/08	9	Future Topics: APLE Underutilization	Kate Jeffery	Member Jeffery requested a reviews of how APLE can be better utilized.	Catalina Mistler Steve Caldwell	Will be provided during Commission Liaison update.

2009 CSAC Meeting Schedule

Tab 7.a

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

FEBRUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28

MARCH						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

MEETING	COLOR KEY
Commission Meetings	Purple
EdFund Board Meeting	Blue
Joint CSAC/EdFund Workshop	Yellow
GAC Workgroups & Meetings	Green
LAC Meetings	Pink
Commission Holidays	Red

APRIL						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

MAY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

JUNE						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

Commission Meetings:
Feb 26-27, Apr 16-17, Jun 25-26, Sep 3-4, No

EdFund Board Meetings:
Feb 20, May 20-21, Aug 14, Nov 13

Joint CSAC/EdFund Workshop:
Jul 23-24

Grant Advisory Committee (GAC) Workgroups & Meetings:

PROPOSED MEETING DATES:
*Jan 15, Mar 12, May 7, Jul 9, Oct 8, *Dec 1
*Select either Jan or Dec

Loan Advisory Council (LAC):

JULY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

AUGUST						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29

SEPTEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

OCTOBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

NOVEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

DECEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

**COMPETITIVE CAL GRANT A AND B PROGRAM
SCORING SUMMARY FOR 2009-10**

ELEMENTS	MAXIMUM POINTS
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA)	70
PARENT EDUCATIONAL LEVEL (Mother and Father)	18
ACCESS EQUALIZER	18
STUDENT or PARENT HOUSEHOLD STATUS	18
FAMILY INCOME and HOUSEHOLD SIZE	76

GPA	SCORE
2.00 - 2.04	30
2.05 - 2.09	31
2.10 - 2.14	32
2.15 - 2.19	33
2.20 - 2.24	34
2.25 - 2.29	35
2.30 - 2.34	36
2.35 - 2.39	37
2.40 - 2.44	38
2.45 - 2.49	39
2.50 - 2.54	40
2.55 - 2.59	41
2.60 - 2.64	42
2.65 - 2.69	43
2.70 - 2.74	44
2.75 - 2.79	45
2.80 - 2.84	46
2.85 - 2.89	47
2.90 - 2.94	48
2.95 - 2.99	49
3.00 - 3.04	50
3.05 - 3.09	51
3.10 - 3.14	52
3.15 - 3.19	53
3.20 - 3.24	54
3.25 - 3.29	55
3.30 - 3.34	56
3.35 - 3.39	57
3.40 - 3.44	58
3.45 - 3.49	59
3.50 - 3.54	60
3.55 - 3.59	61
3.60 - 3.64	62
3.65 - 3.69	63
3.70 - 3.74	64
3.75 - 3.79	65
3.80 - 3.84	66
3.85 - 3.89	67
3.90 - 3.94	68
3.95 - 3.99	69
4.00	70

FAFSA VALUE	PARENTS' EDUCATION	SCORE per PARENT
1	Middle School/Jr High	9
2	High School	5
3	College or Beyond	0
4	Other / Unknown	9

DEPENDENT STUDENT: PARENT HOUSEHOLD	
Married	0
Unmarried	18
Separated / Divorced	18
Widowed	18

PARENTS' EDUCATION SAMPLES		
FAFSA VALUE		SCORE
FATHER	MOTHER	
		0
	1	9
	2	5
	3	0
	4	9
1		9
1	1	18
1	2	14
1	3	9
1	4	18
2		5
2	1	14
2	2	10
2	3	5
2	4	14
3		0
3	1	9
3	2	5
3	3	0
3	4	9
4		9
4	1	18
4	2	14
4	3	9
4	4	18

INDEPENDENT STUDENT: STUDENT HOUSEHOLD	
Married	0
Single, no dependents	0
Single, with dependents	18

OR

INDEPENDENT STUDENT: IF STUDENT IS AN ORPHAN OR WARD OF THE COURT	
Married	0
Single, no dependents*	22
Single, with dependents	18

* Single, no dependents can receive a maximum of 72 points on income and family size. To ensure that these students can earn the maximum 200 points, they receive an additional four points for household status.

**2009-10 COMPETITIVE CAL GRANT A AND B PROGRAM
SCORING FOR ACCESS EQUALIZER**

Student will receive Access Equalizer points if a disadvantaged high school experience is indicated either by:

1. The high school code on the GPA verification form is one of the following:
 - * A continuation high school; or
 - * A high school in the upper quartile of free or reduced lunch program; or
 - * A high school in the lowest quartile of university-going rate, excluding those high schools having no reported university-going rate and those having a free or reduced lunch rate of less than 25 percent.

or

2. The student submitted a GED test score.

GPA from	Disadvantaged High School Experience	
	No	Yes
High School	0	18
Non-High School	0	See below chart

Number of Years Out of High School	Educational Level				
	No College	1	2	3	4
2-3	9	6	3	0	0
4-5	12	9	6	0	0
6-7	15	12	9	3	0
8 or more	18	15	12	6	3

**TABLE 1: DEPENDENT STUDENTS
2009-10 COMPETITIVE CAL GRANT A AND B PROGRAMS
PROPOSED SCORING FOR FAMILY INCOME AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE**

Parents' Income	Size of Household								
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2
\$0 - \$18,000	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
\$18,001 - \$19,300	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
\$19,301 - \$20,600	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
\$20,601 - \$21,900	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
\$21,901 - \$23,200	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	75
\$23,201 - \$24,500	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	73
\$24,501 - \$25,800	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	72
\$25,801 - \$27,100	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	71
\$27,101 - \$28,400	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	74	70
\$28,401 - \$29,700	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	73	69
\$29,701 - \$31,000	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	72	68
\$31,001 - \$32,300	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	71	67
\$32,301 - \$33,600	76	76	76	76	76	76	75	70	66
\$33,601 - \$34,900	76	76	76	76	76	76	74	69	65
\$34,901 - \$36,200	76	76	76	76	76	76	73	68	64
\$36,201 - \$37,500	76	76	76	76	76	76	72	67	63
\$37,501 - \$38,800	76	76	76	76	76	76	71	66	62
\$38,801 - \$40,100	76	76	76	76	76	75	70	65	61
\$40,101 - \$41,400	76	76	76	76	76	74	69	64	60
\$41,401 - \$42,700	76	76	76	76	76	73	68	63	59
\$42,701 - \$44,000	76	76	76	76	76	72	67	62	58
\$44,001 - \$45,300	76	76	76	76	76	71	66	61	57
\$45,301 - \$46,600	76	76	76	76	75	70	65	60	56
\$46,601 - \$47,900	76	76	76	76	74	69	64	59	55
\$47,901 - \$49,200	76	76	76	76	73	68	63	58	54
\$49,201 - \$50,500	76	76	76	76	72	67	62	57	53
\$50,501 - \$51,800	76	76	76	75	71	66	61	56	52
\$51,801 - \$53,100	76	76	76	74	70	65	60	55	51
\$53,101 - \$54,400	76	76	76	73	69	64	59	54	49
\$54,401 - \$55,700	76	76	76	72	68	63	58	52	48
\$55,701 - \$57,000	76	76	75	71	67	62	57	51	47
\$57,001 - \$58,300	76	76	74	70	66	61	56	50	45
\$58,301 - \$59,600	76	76	73	69	65	60	55	49	44
\$59,601 - \$60,900	76	76	72	68	64	59	54	47	42
\$60,901 - \$62,200	76	75	71	67	63	58	53	46	41
\$62,201 - \$63,500	76	74	70	66	62	57	51	44	40
\$63,501 - \$64,800	76	73	69	65	61	56	50	43	38
\$64,801 - \$66,100	76	72	68	64	60	55	49	41	37
\$66,101 - \$67,400	75	71	67	63	59	53	47	39	35
\$67,401 - \$68,700	74	70	66	62	58	52	46	38	33
\$68,701 - \$70,000	73	69	65	61	57	51	44	36	32
\$70,001 - \$71,300	72	68	64	60	56	50	43	34	30
\$71,301 - \$72,600	71	67	63	59	55	48	41	32	28
\$72,601 - \$73,900	70	66	62	58	54	47	40	30	
\$73,901 - \$75,200	69	65	61	57	53	46	38		
\$75,201 - \$76,500	68	64	60	56	52	44	36		
\$76,501 - \$77,800	67	63	59	55	50	43	34		
\$77,801 - \$79,100	66	62	58	54	49	41	32		
\$79,101 - \$80,400	65	61	57	53	48	39	30		
\$80,401 - \$81,700	64	60	56	52	46	37			
\$81,701 - \$83,000	63	59	55	50	45	36		Ineligible	
\$83,001 - \$84,300	62	58	54	49	43	34			
\$84,301 - \$85,600	61	57	53	48	42	32			
\$85,601 - \$86,900	60	56	52	46	40				
\$86,901 - \$88,200	59	55	50	45	38				
\$88,201 - \$89,500	58	54	49	43	36				
\$89,501 - \$90,800	57	53	48	42	35				
\$90,801 - \$92,100	56	52	46	40	33				

NOTE: The maximum income ceilings for the Cal Grant program are within the family income ranges.
The cells above the bold line in the matrix show Cal Grant B eligible incomes.
All unshaded incomes are Cal Grant A eligible.

**TABLE 2: INDEPENDENT STUDENTS
2009-10 COMPETITIVE CAL GRANT A AND B PROGRAMS
PROPOSED SCORING FOR FAMILY INCOME AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE**

With Dependents Student/Spouse Income	Size of Household								
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2
\$0 - \$21,625	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
\$21,625 - \$23,125	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	75
\$23,125 - \$24,625	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	74
\$24,625 - \$26,125	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	73
\$26,125 - \$27,625	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	75	70
\$27,625 - \$29,125	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	74	70
\$29,125 - \$30,625	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	72	69
\$30,625 - \$32,125	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	71	67
\$32,125 - \$33,625	76	76	76	76	76	76	75	70	66
\$33,625 - \$35,125	76	76	76	76	76	76	74	69	65
\$35,125 - \$36,625	76	76	76	76	76	76	73	67	64
\$36,625 - \$38,125	76	76	76	76	76	76	71	66	63
\$38,125 - \$39,625	76	76	76	76	76	75	70	65	62
\$39,625 - \$41,125	76	76	76	76	76	74	69	64	61
\$41,125 - \$42,625	76	76	76	76	76	73	68	63	60
\$42,625 - \$44,125	76	76	76	76	76	72	67	62	58
\$44,125 - \$45,625	76	76	76	76	76	70	66	61	57
\$45,625 - \$47,125	76	76	76	74	75	69	65	59	56
\$47,125 - \$48,625	76	76	76	76	74	68	63	58	55
\$48,625 - \$50,125	76	76	76	76	72	67	62	57	53
\$50,125 - \$51,625	76	76	76	75	71	66	61	56	52
\$51,625 - \$53,125	76	76	76	74	70	65	60	55	51
\$53,125 - \$54,625	76	76	76	73	69	64	59	53	49
\$54,625 - \$56,125	76	76	76	72	68	62	58	52	48
\$56,125 - \$57,625	76	76	75	71	67	61	57	51	47
\$57,625 - \$59,125	76	76	74	69	65	60	55	49	44
\$59,125 - \$60,625	76	76	73	68	64	59	54	48	43
\$60,625 - \$62,125	76	75	71	67	63	58	53	46	41
\$62,125 - \$63,625	76	74	70	66	62	57	51	44	39
\$63,625 - \$65,125	76	73	69	65	61	55	50	42	38
\$65,125 - \$66,625	76	72	68	64	60	54	48	41	36
\$66,625 - \$68,125	76	71	67	63	59	53	47	38	34
\$68,125 - \$69,625	74	69	65	61	57	51	45	36	32
\$69,625 - \$71,125	72	68	64	60	56	50	43	34	30
\$71,125 - \$72,625	71	67	63	59	55	48	41	32	28
\$72,625 - \$74,125	70	66	62	58	54	47	39	29	
\$74,125 - \$75,625	69	65	61	57	52	45	37		
\$75,625 - \$77,125	68	64	60	56	51	43	35		
\$77,125 - \$78,625	67	63	59	54	49	42	33		
\$78,625 - \$80,125	66	62	57	53	48	40	31		
\$80,125 - \$81,625	64	60	56	52	46	38			
\$81,625 - \$83,125	63	59	55	50	45	36		Ineligible	
\$83,125 - \$84,625	62	58	54	49	43	33			
\$84,625 - \$86,125	61	57	52	47	41	31			
\$86,125 - \$87,625	60	56	51	45	39				
\$87,625 - \$89,125	47	55	50	44	38				
\$89,125 - \$90,625	45	54	49	43	37				
\$90,625 - \$92,125	44	53	48	42	36				

NOTE: The maximum income ceilings for the Cal Grant program are within the family income ranges. The cells above the bold line in the matrix show Cal Grant B eligible incomes. All unshaded incomes are Cal Grant A eligible.

**TABLE 3 SINGLE INDEPENDENT AND MARRIED STUDENTS
2009-10 COMPETITIVE CAL GRANT A AND B PROGRAM
SCORING FOR FAMILY INCOME AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE**

Without Dependents Other Than Spouse				
Student/Spouse Income			Married Couple	Single
\$0	-	\$8,015	72	72
\$8,016	-	\$8,585	72	71
\$8,586	-	\$9,155	72	70
\$9,156	-	\$9,725	72	69
\$9,726	-	\$10,295	72	67
\$10,296	-	\$10,865	72	66
\$10,866	-	\$11,435	72	65
\$11,436	-	\$12,005	72	64
\$12,006	-	\$12,575	72	63
\$12,576	-	\$13,145	72	62
\$13,146	-	\$13,715	72	61
\$13,716	-	\$14,285	72	60
\$14,286	-	\$14,855	72	59
\$14,856	-	\$15,425	72	58
\$15,426	-	\$15,995	72	57
\$15,996	-	\$16,565	71	56
\$16,566	-	\$17,135	70	55
\$17,136	-	\$17,705	69	53
\$17,706	-	\$18,275	68	52
\$18,276	-	\$18,845	67	51
\$18,846	-	\$19,415	67	50
\$19,416	-	\$19,985	66	49
\$19,986	-	\$20,555	65	48
\$20,556	-	\$21,125	64	47
\$21,126	-	\$21,695	63	46
\$21,696	-	\$22,265	61	45
\$22,266	-	\$22,835	60	44
\$22,836	-	\$23,405	59	43
\$23,406	-	\$23,975	58	42
\$23,976	-	\$24,545	57	41
\$24,546	-	\$25,115	56	40
\$25,116	-	\$25,685	55	39
\$25,686	-	\$26,255	54	38
\$26,256	-	\$26,825	53	37
\$26,826	-	\$27,395	52	36
\$27,396	-	\$27,965	51	35
\$27,966	-	\$28,535	50	34
\$28,536	-	\$29,105	49	33
\$29,106	-	\$29,675	47	32
\$29,676	-	\$30,245	46	
\$30,246	-	\$30,815	45	
\$30,816	-	\$31,385	44	
\$31,386	-	\$31,955	43	
\$31,956	-	\$32,525	42	
\$32,526	-	\$33,095	41	
\$33,096	-	\$33,665	40	
				Ineligible

NOTE: The maximum income ceilings for the Cal Grant program are within the family income ranges.

CALIFORNIA STUDENT AID COMMISSION
2009-10 Student Expense Budgets

ALLOWANCE	WITH PARENTS	ON CAMPUS HOUSING	OFF CAMPUS HOUSING
TUITION AND FEES¹	ACTUAL INSTITUTIONAL CHARGES		
BOOKS AND SUPPLIES²	\$1,638 PER ACADEMIC YEAR		
FOOD³ Per Month: Per Year:	\$ 482 / MO \$ 4,338 / YR	ACTUAL INSTITUTIONAL CHARGES ⁴	\$ 386 / MO \$ 3,474 / YR
HOUSING⁵ Per Month: Per Year:	INCLUDED IN ABOVE		\$ 822 / MO \$ 7,398 / YR
TRANSPORTATION⁶ Per Month: Per Year:	\$ 116 / MO \$ 1,044 / YR	\$ 88 / MO \$ 792 / YR	\$ 130 / MO \$ 1,170 / YR
PERSONAL / MISC⁷ Per Month: Per Year:	\$ 344 / MO \$ 3,096 / YR	\$ 250 / MO \$ 2,250 / YR	\$ 314 / MO \$ 2,826 / YR
CHILD / DEPENDENT CARE	REASONABLE EXPENSES WITH ADEQUATE DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED BY THE STUDENT, DEPENDING UPON AGE AND NUMBER OF CHILDREN		
LOAN FEES	FOR STUDENT LOAN BORROWERS, ACTUAL OR AVERAGE LOAN ORIGATION AND INSURANCE FEES		
TOTAL , Excluding Allowances based on actual institutional charges Per Month: Per Year:	\$ 1,124 / MO \$ 10,116 / YR	\$ 520 / MO \$ 4,680 / YR	\$ 1,834 / MO \$ 16,506 / YR

¹ Includes all mandatory fees.

² The breakdown for this category is as follows: books (\$900), educational supplies (\$234), course material fees (\$126), and computer-related expenses (\$378), excluding the costs associated with the purchase of a personal computer.

³ Includes food, snacks, meals on campus, household supplies.

⁴ If contract is for less than nine months, adjustments may be made at the rate of \$358/month or fraction thereof.

⁵ Includes dorm charges, rent, and utilities.

⁶ Includes travel to and from parent's residence and transportation costs to and from classes and work. (e.g., bus fare, gasoline, tolls, parking.)

⁷ Includes clothing, laundry and dry-cleaning, personal care, gifts, recreation, medical etc.

NOTE: The expense budgets shown on this table are based upon average expenses reported by students at the University of California, California State University, and California independent institutions, California Community Colleges in the 2006-07 Student Expenses and Resources Survey (SEARS), adjusted for three years of inflation. Private career college data are from the 2003-04 SEARS, adjusted for six years of inflation.